

April 27, 2001

Federal Communication Commission
7435 Oakland Mills Road
Columbia, MD 21046

Attention: Commission

In reference to a request for certification for a Secure Care Model 135 13.56MHz RF ID Transmitter and a Secure care Model 135 418MHz RF ID transmitter. Elite performed a certification of the above referenced product manufactured by Secure Care. Deficiencies were discovered during the certification process. The noted deficiencies were addressed in correspondence between the appropriate test facilities and Elite Electronic Engineering. The correspondence is indicated below.

Questions from Elite dated April 25, 2001.

1. Additional provisions 15.225. Frequency stability data incomplete. The frequency stability measurements are required to be taken in 10° intervals and at the low battery point per 2.1055. Since the power level is below the general limits of 15.209, we suggest you apply under the provisions of section 15.209, which do not require frequency stability measurements.
2. FCC ID numbers indicated in the users manual must be identical to the FCC ID indicated on the label and the application. The FCC ID number in the application and the exhibit is listed as KNKTX0001. The FCC ID number in the users manual is listed as KNK-Tx0001, which may cause some confusion. Please change the manual or the label. Since the FCC ID number is not required to be in the manual, the FCC ID number may be removed from the manual.

Responses to Elite questions dated March 12, 2001.

Per your suggestion, due to the low power level of the device we will request certification to 15.209 instead of 15.225 for the 13.56MHz ID Transmitter. Attached please find the revised user manual, report of measurements and data sheets referencing 15.209 limits and the correct FCC ID number.

Attached is the correct data sheet for the 13.56MHz ID transmitter. We had an incorrect data sheet in our system and when a member of my staff went to change the reference to 15.209 yesterday he apparently changed the wrong sheet. The attached data is correct and is the same as the original data submitted with the exception that it references 15.209 instead of 15.225 and corrects a typo in the antenna polarization column which Ray noticed. The correct polarization should have been v for vertical not c. Sorry for the error/confusion. If you have any questions please give me a call.

Richard King
Certification Department Coordinator
Elite Electronic Engineering