

## Chris Harvey

---

**From:** Tom Cokenias [tom@tncokenias.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 29, 2003 12:46 PM  
**To:** Chris Harvey  
**Subject:** Re: Invensys application AN01T3340 FCC ID: KCH-XEMICS-BAT



Limited\_Modular\_let  
ter.pdf (26...



XEMICS\_label.pdf  
(54 KB)



Xemics\_29Dec\_RPT  
COR.pdf (856 K...

Hi Chris,

Attached please find the letter, label, and corrected report. The report now has a section III referencing acceptable test procedures, and the radiated test procedure correctly describes the different orientations into which the EUT was placed for testing.

I will upload these documents to the CCS website as well.

Please let me know by return if there is anything else you need. I have a class 2 permissive change application waiting to be submitted for this product.

Best regards

Tom

>Tom, the above referenced application has been reviewed for both  
>technical and administrative content. The following items need to be  
>addressed so that continued review of this application can be  
>completed.  
>  
>This application is apparently being filed for Limited Modular Approval  
>(LMA). A document has been submitted that has a file name that implies  
>an LMA filing, but the content of the letter does not specifically  
>request LMA approval. If this LMA is being requested, please revise  
>the letter to request LMA approval, ensuring that the FCC ID number of  
>the application is included and ensuring that all 8 requirements for  
>LMA are addressed.  
>  
>Please provide a sample FCC ID label and label location diagram. If  
>this is an LMA approval, please ensure that the LMA labeling  
>requirements are also addressed.  
>  
>The photographs of test setups implies that the EUT was tested in 3  
>orthogonal axis, however the test report does not mention the  
>orientation beyond being vertical. Please correct the report if the  
>unit was in fact reoriented as in the photographs.  
>  
>The test procedure used for these test is not specified in the test  
>report. Please state the test procedure used, ensuring that it is an  
>acceptable test procedure for FCC compliance.  
>  
>Best regards,

>  
>Chris Harvey  
>Charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com  
>  
>The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can  
>continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the  
>requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may  
>result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also,  
>please note that partial responses increase processing time and should  
>not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this  
>correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below  
>the name of the sender.