

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE SAR EVALUATION


<p><b><u>Test Lab:</u></b></p> <p><b>CELLTECH RESEARCH INC.</b> Testing and Engineering Lab 1955 Moss Court Kelowna, B.C. V1Y 9L3 Canada Phone: 250 - 860-3130 Fax: 250 - 860-3110 Toll Free: 1-877-545-6287 e-mail: <a href="mailto:celltech@globuswireless.com">celltech@globuswireless.com</a> web site: <a href="http://www.globuswireless.com">www.globuswireless.com</a></p>	<p><b><u>Applicant Name:</u></b></p> <p><b>ITRONIX CORPORATION</b> 801 South Stevens Street Spokane, WA 99204 Attn: Fred Phillips, Certification Engineer Phone: 509-742-1506 Fax: 509-626-4204</p>
--	---

<p><b>FCC ID:</b> KBCXC6250RIM801D <b>Model(s):</b> XC6250PRO, XC6250+ <b>Equipment Type:</b> Ruggedized Laptop PC with ARDIS Radio Modem <b>Tx Frequency Range:</b> 806-825 MHz <b>Rx Frequency Range:</b> 851-870 MHz <b>Max. RF Output Power:</b> 1.5 Watts <b>FCC Rule Part(s):</b> 2.1093; ET Docket 96.326</p>
--

This wireless mobile and/or portable device has been shown to be compliant for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3-1999. (See test report).

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Celltech Research Inc. certifies that no party to this application has been denied FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 853(a).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Shawn McMillen**  
**General Manager**  
**Celltech Research Inc.**



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	1
2.0	<b>DESCRIPTION OF EUT.....</b>	1
3.0	<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....</b>	2
4.0	<b>MEASUREMENT SUMMARY.....</b>	3
4.1	<b>SAR LIMITS.....</b>	3
4.2	<b>DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION.....</b>	4
4.3	<b>EVALUATION PROCEDURES.....</b>	5
	a: (i) Body-worn .....	
5.0	<b>SYSTEM VALIDATION.....</b>	5
6.0	<b>SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES.....</b>	6
6.1	<b>TISSUE PARAMETERS.....</b>	6
7.1	<b>SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS.....</b>	7
8.0	<b>TEST EQUIPMENT LIST.....</b>	8
9.0	<b>MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....</b>	9
10.0	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	10
	<b>APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA.....</b>	11
	<b>APPENDIX B - DIPOLE VALIDATION.....</b>	12
	<b>APPENDIX C - PROBE CALIBRATION.....</b>	13
	<b>APPENDIX D - TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS.....</b>	14

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report is designed to show compliance of the Itronix Model: XC6250 Rugged Notebook PC with RIM 801D Wireless Radio Modem FCC ID: KBCXC6250RIM801D with FCC Part 2, 1093, ET Docket 96-326 Rules for mobile and portable devices. The test procedures, as described in American National Standards Institute C95.1 - 1992 (1), FCC OET Bulletin 65-1997 were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

## 2.0 DESCRIPTION of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

<b>EUT Type</b>	Ruggedized Laptop PC w/ ARDIS Radio Modem	<b>Equipment Class</b>	Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter (TNB)
<b>Radio Type</b>	RIM 801D (ARDIS Network)	<b>Model No.(s)</b>	XC6250 PRO XC6250+
<b>FCC ID</b>	KBCXC6250RIM801D	<b>S/N No.</b>	Pre-production
<b>Tx Frequency Range (MHz)</b>	806-825	<b>Max. RF Output Power</b>	1.5 Watts
<b>Rx Frequency Range (MHz)</b>	851-870	<b>Signal Modulation(s)</b>	FSK MDC, RD-LAP
<b>Antenna Type</b>	Omni-Directional ( $\lambda/2$ )	<b>Antenna Length</b>	175 mm
<b>AC Adapter</b>	ITE AD50W1P-244	<b>Battery</b>	Itronix 7.2V 4500mAh NiMH



Figure 1. Front of EUT



Figure 2. Side of EUT



Figure 3. Rear of EUT

### **3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM**

Celltech Research SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY system is comprised of the robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing brain or muscle equivalent material (see Figure 6). The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronics (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card. The DAE3 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



Figure 4. DASY3 SAR Measurement System

#### 4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

The measurement results were obtained with the EUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT are reported in Appendix A.

Frequency (MHz)	Mode Tested	Conducted Power (dBm)	Antenna Position	Phantom Position	Separation Distance (cm)	SAR (w/kg)
806	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	3.0	0.888
815	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	3.0	0.898
825	Unmod.	30.0	Vertical	Flat	3.0	1.01
Mixture Type: Muscle Dielectric Constant: 56.1 Conductivity: 0.95		ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population <b>Body SAR: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)</b>				

Notes:

1. The SAR values found are below the maximum limit of 1.6 w/kg.
2. The worst-case SAR value is 1.01 w/kg.
3. The EUT was tested with the antenna in the vertical upright position (normal operating position).  
 Note: Since the LCD contains no metal near the antenna, other antenna positions will not change the antenna's current distribution and reflection coefficient; therefore a change in SAR is not expected.

#### 4.1 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS (General populations/Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	SAR (W/Kg)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.00

- Notes:
1. The FCC SAR safety limits specified in the table above apply to devices operated in the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment.
  2. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.



#### **4.2 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION**

The Itronix XC6250PRO, XC6250+ Ruggedized Laptop PC with ARDIS Radio Modem FCC ID: KBCXC6250RIM801D was found to be compliant for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) based on the following test provisions and conditions:

- 1) The EUT is operated in a body-worn configuration. For the test setup the right side of the device (antenna side) was placed facing up and parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom with 3.0cm spacing between the antenna and the outer surface of the phantom.
- 2) The body-worn measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimize drift.
- 3) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- 4) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (Hot Spot) was determined relative to the device and its antenna.
- 5) The EUT is tested with a fully charged battery.




Figure 5. Test Setup with 3cm spacing between antenna and phantom surface.

### 4.3 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) evaluation was performed in the following manner:

a. (i) The evaluation was performed in an applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices worn about the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated at the center frequency of the band at maximum power. The side, which produced the greatest SAR, determined which side of the phantom would be used for the entire evaluation. The positioning of the head worn device relative to the phantom was dictated by FCC OET bulletin 65 Supp., C.

(ii) For body worn devices or devices which can be operated within 20 cm of the body, the planar section of the phantom was used. The type of device being evaluated dictated the distance of the EUT to the outer surface of the planar phantom.

b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY3 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 20mm x 20mm.

c. A 5x5x7 matrix was performed around the greatest spatial SAR distribution found during the area scan of the applicable exposed region. SAR values were then calculated using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm and averaged over spatial volumes of 1 and 10 grams.

d. If the EUT had any appreciable drift over the course of the evaluation, then the EUT was re-evaluated. Any unusual anomalies over the course of the test also warranted a re-evaluation.

### 5.0 SYSTEM VALIDATION

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified in the planar region of the phantom. For devices operating below 1GHz, an 835MHz dipole or 900MHz was used, depending on the operating frequency of the EUT. For devices operating above 1GHz, an 1800MHz dipole was used. A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and system was verified to a tolerance of  $\pm 5\%$ . Following the validation, the fluid remained or was changed depending on the particular part of the body being evaluated. The applicable verification(s) is/are as follows (see Appendix B for validation test plot):

Dipole Validation Kit	Target SAR 1g (w/kg)	Measured SAR 1g (w/kg)
D835V2	2.06	2.05

## 6.0 SIMULATED TISSUES

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue.

INGREDIENT	FREQUENCY (835MHz Muscle)
Water	52.4%
Sugar	45.0%
Salt	1.4%
HEC	1.0%
Bactericide	0.2%

## 6.1 TISSUE PARAMETERS

The dielectric parameters of the fluids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters of the fluid are as follows:

Frequency (Muscle)	Dielectric Constant $\epsilon_r$	Conductivity $\sigma$ (mho/m)	$\rho$ (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
800-850 MHz	56.1 ± 5%	0.95 ± 10%	1000



## **7.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS**

### **Specifications**

**POSITIONER:** Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L  
**Repeatability:** 0.02 mm  
**No. of axis:** 6

### **Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System**

#### **Cell Controller**

**Processor:** Pentium III  
**Clock Speed:** 450 MHz  
**Operating System:** Windows NT  
**Data Card:** DASY3 PC-Board

#### **Data Converter**

**Features:** Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic  
**Software:** DASY3 software  
**Connecting Lines:** Optical downlink for data and status info.  
Optical uplink for commands and clock

### **PC Interface Card**

**Function:** 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing  
Link to DAE3  
16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system  
serial link to robot  
direct emergency stop output for robot

### **E-Field Probe**

**Model:** ET3DV6  
**Serial No.:** 1387  
**Construction:** Triangular core fiber optic detection system  
**Frequency:** 10 MHz to 6 GHz  
**Linearity:**  $\pm 0.2$  dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

### **Phantom**

**Phantom:** Generic Twin  
**Shell Material:** Fiberglass  
**Thickness:**  $2.0 \pm 0.1$  mm

**8.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST**

<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM</b>		
<u><b>EQUIPMENT</b></u>	<u><b>S/N #</b></u>	<u><b>LAST CALIBRATION</b></u>
<b>DASY3 System</b> -Robot -ET3DV6 E-Field Probe -DAE -835MHz Validation Dipole -900MHz Validation Dipole -1800MHz Validation Dipole -Generic Twin Phantom V3.0	599396-01 1387 383 411 054 247 N/A	N/A Sept 1999 Sept 1999 Aug 1999 Aug 1999 Aug 1999 N/A
<b>85070C Dielectric Probe Kit</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter</b> -Power Sensor 80701A -Power Sensor 80701A	1835272 1833535 1833542	Oct 1999 Oct 1999 Oct 1999
<b>E4408B Spectrum Analyzer</b>	US39240170	Nov 1999
<b>8594E Spectrum Analyzer</b>	3543A02721	Mar 2000
<b>8753E Network Analyzer</b>	US38433013	Nov 1999
<b>8648D Signal Generator</b>	3847A00611	N/A
<b>5S1G4 Amplifier Research Power Amplifier</b>	26235	N/A

## 9.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Uncertainty Description	Error	Distribution	Weight	Standard Deviation	Offset
<b>Probe Uncertainty</b>					
Axial isotropy	±0.2 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±2.4 %	
Spherical isotropy	±0.4 dB	U-Shaped	0.5	±4.8 %	
Isotropy from gradient	±0.5 dB	U-Shaped	0	±	
Spatial resolution	±0.5 %	Normal	1	±0.5 %	
Linearity error	±0.2 dB	Rectangle	1	±2.7 %	
Calibration error	±3.3 %	Normal	1	±3.3 %	
<b>SAR Evaluation Uncertainty</b>					
Data acquisition error	±1 %	Rectangle	1	±0.6 %	
ELF and RF disturbances	±0.25 %	Normal	1	±0.25 %	
Conductivity assessment	±10 %	Rectangle	1	±5.8 %	
<b>Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation Uncertainty</b>					
Extrapolated boundary effect	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	±5 %
Probe positioning error	±0.1 mm	Normal	1	±1 %	
Integrated and cube orientation	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %	
Cube Shape inaccuracies	±2 %	Rectangle	1	±1.2 %	
Device positioning	±6 %	Normal	1	±6 %	
<b>Combined Uncertainties</b>				±11.7 %	±5 %

Measurement uncertainties in SAR measurements are difficult to quantify due to several variables including biological, physiological, and environmental. However, the estimated measurement uncertainties in SAR are less than 15-25 %.

According to ANSI/IEEE C95.3, the overall uncertainties are difficult to assess and will vary with the type of meter and usage situation. However, accuracy's of ± 1 to 3 dB can be expected in practice, with greater uncertainties in near-field situations and at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths), or areas where large reflecting objects are present. Under optimum measurement conditions, SAR measurement uncertainties of at least ± 2dB can be expected.

According to CENELEC, typical worst-case uncertainty of field measurements is ± 5 dB. For well-defined modulation characteristics the uncertainty can be reduced to ± 3 dB.

## **10.0 REFERENCES**


- (1) ANSI, *ANSI/IEEE C95.1: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 Ghz*, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992;
- (2) Federal Communications Commission, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields”, OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997;
- (3) Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Neils Kuster, “Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments”, *IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, Vol. 44, pp. 105 – 113, January, 1996.
- (4) Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, “Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision”, *IEICE Transactions of Communications*, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 – 652, May 1997.

***APPENDIX "A" - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA***

# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCXC6250RIM801D

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,180°);  
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.34,6.34,6.34); Crest factor: 1.0;  
Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.95$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 56.1$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>  
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0  
Cube 5x5x7  
SAR (1g): 0.888 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.608 mW/g

Low Channel  
Freq 806MHz  
Antenna separation distance 3.0cm  
Cond. Pwr. 30dBm  
Test Date Aug 08/2000






# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCXC6250RIM801D

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,180°);  
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.34,6.34,6.34); Crest factor: 1.0;  
Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.95$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 56.1$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>  
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0  
Cube 5x5x7  
SAR (1g): 0.898 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.617 mW/g


Mid Channel  
Freq 815MHz  
Antenna separation distance 3.0cm  
Cond. Pwr. 30dBm  
Test Date Aug 08/2000



# ITRONIX FCC ID: KBCXC6250RIM801D

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,180°);  
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.34,6.34,6.34); Crest factor: 1.0;  
Muscle 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.95$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 56.1$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>  
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0  
Cube 5x5x7  
SAR (1g): 1.01 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.678 mW/g

High Channel  
Freq 825MHz  
Antenna separation distance 3.0cm  
Cond. Pwr. 30dBm  
Test Date Aug 08/2000




***APPENDIX “B” – DIPOLE VALIDATION***

## Dipole 835 MHz

Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);  
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.34,6.34,6.34); Crest factor: 1.0;  
Brain 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.80$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 44.2$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>  
Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0  
Cube 5x5x7  
SAR (1g): 2.05 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.35 mW/g

Validation Test Date: Aug 08/2000



## Validation Dipole D835V2 SN:411, $d = 15\text{mm}$

Frequency: 835 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]


Generic Twin Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing:  $D_x = 20.0$ ,  $D_y = 20.0$ ,  $D_z = 10.0$

Probe: ET3DV5 - SN1342/DAE3; ConvF(5.75,5.75,5.75); Brain 835 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.80$  mho/m  $\epsilon_r = 44.2$   $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Cubes (2): Peak:  $3.07$  mW/g  $\pm 0.05$  dB, SAR (1g):  $2.06$  mW/g  $\pm 0.05$  dB, SAR (10g):  $1.38$  mW/g  $\pm 0.05$  dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 13.6 (12.7, 14.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.00 dB



***APPENDIX “C” – PROBE CALIBRATION***



# Probe ET3DV6

**SN:1387**

Manufactured:	September 21, 1999
Last calibration:	September 22, 1999

Calibrated for System DASY3

## DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

### Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	<b>1.55</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	<b>1.65</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	<b>1.64</b> $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

### Diode Compression

DCP X	<b>98</b> mV
DCP Y	<b>98</b> mV
DCP Z	<b>98</b> mV

### Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

**Brain**                      **450 MHz**                       $\epsilon_r = 48 \pm 5\%$                        $S = 0.50 \pm 10\%$  mho/m

ConvF X	<b>6.76</b> extrapolated	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	<b>6.76</b> extrapolated	Alpha <b>0.30</b>
ConvF Z	<b>6.76</b> extrapolated	Depth <b>2.52</b>

**Brain**                      **900 MHz**                       $\epsilon_r = 42.5 \pm 5\%$                        $S = 0.86 \pm 10\%$  mho/m

ConvF X	<b>6.34</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	<b>6.34</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha <b>0.47</b>
ConvF Z	<b>6.34</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth <b>2.25</b>

**Brain**                      **1500 MHz**                       $\epsilon_r = 41 \pm 5\%$                        $S = 1.32 \pm 10\%$  mho/m

ConvF X	<b>5.78</b> interpolated	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	<b>5.78</b> interpolated	Alpha <b>0.69</b>
ConvF Z	<b>5.78</b> interpolated	Depth <b>1.88</b>

**Brain**                      **1800 MHz**                       $\epsilon_r = 41 \pm 5\%$                        $S = 1.69 \pm 10\%$  mho/m


ConvF X	<b>5.50</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	<b>5.50</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha <b>0.81</b>
ConvF Z	<b>5.50</b> $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth <b>1.70</b>

### Sensor Offset


Probe Tip to Sensor Center	<b>2.7</b>	mm
Optical Surface Detection	<b>1.6 <math>\pm</math> 0.2</b>	mm

## Receiving Pattern (f), q = 0°


**f = 30 MHz, TEM cell ifi110**




**f = 100 MHz, TEM cell ifi110**




**f = 300 MHz, TEM cell ifi110**




**f = 900 MHz, TEM cell ifi110**






### Isotropy Error (f), q = 0°





# Frequency Response of E-Field

( TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22, R26 )




## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>brain</sub>) ( TEM-Cell:ifi110 )






## Conversion Factor Assessment



## Receiving Pattern (f) ( in brain tissue, z = 5 mm )



***APPENDIX “D” – TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS***

### SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

