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## Release Control Record

| Issue No. | Description | Date Issued |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SA180123E04C | Original release. | July 02, 2018 |

## 1 Certificate of Conformity

Product: Tri Band Whole Home Wi-Fi Extender
Brand: D-Link
Test Model: COVR-2200
Sample Status: ENGINEERING SAMPLE
Applicant: D-LINK Corporation
Test Date: June 15, 2018
Standards: FCC Part 2 (Section 2.1091)
KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06
IEEE C95.1-1992

The above equipment has been tested by Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services (H.K.) Ltd., Taoyuan Branch, and found compliance with the requirement of the above standards. The test record, data evaluation \& Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts of the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions specified in this report.


## 2 RF Exposure

2.1 Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

| Frequency Range <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Electric Field <br> Strength $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | Magnetic Field <br> Strength $(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{m})$ | Power Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Average Time <br> $($ minutes $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limits For General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure |  |  |  |  |
| $0.3-1.34$ | 614 | 1.63 | $(100)^{*}$ | 30 |
| $1.34-30$ | $824 / \mathrm{f}$ | $2.19 / \mathrm{f}$ | $\left(180 / \mathrm{f}^{2}\right)^{*}$ | 30 |
| $30-300$ | 27.5 | 0.073 | 0.2 | 30 |
| $300-1500$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{f} / 1500$ | 30 |
| $1500-100,000$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.0 | 30 |

$\mathrm{f}=$ Frequency in MHz ; *Plane-wave equivalent power density

### 2.2 MPE Calculation Formula

$\mathrm{Pd}=\left(\right.$ Pout $\left.^{*} \mathrm{G}\right) /\left(4^{*} \mathrm{pi}^{*} \mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$
where
$\mathrm{Pd}=$ power density in $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
Pout = output power to antenna in mW
$\mathrm{G}=$ gain of antenna in linear scale
$\mathrm{Pi}=3.1416$
$R=$ distance between observation point and center of the radiator in cm

### 2.3 Classification

The antenna of this product, under normal use condition, is at least 35 cm away from the body of the user. So, this device is classified as Mobile Device.

### 2.4 Antenna Gain

| Ant No. | Model | Antenna Gain (dBi) | Frequency rang (GHz) | Antenna type | Connector type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual-Ant 0 | 290-60110 | 5.23 | 2.4~2.4835 | PCB | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 3.76 | 5.15~5.25 |  |  |
|  |  | 3.04 | 5.25~5.35 |  |  |
| Dual-Ant 1 | 290-60111 | 4.76 | 2.4~2.4835 | PCB | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 5.45 | 5.15~5.25 |  |  |
|  |  | 5.31 | 5.25~5.35 |  |  |
| 5g_Ant 1 | 290-60107 | 5.24 | 5.47~5.725 | PCB | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 5.23 | 5.725~5.85 |  |  |
| 5g_Ant 1_B | 290-60105 | 5.12 | 5.47~5.725 | Dipole | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 5.09 | 5.725~5.85 |  |  |
| 5g_Ant 0 | 290-60108 | 3.84 | 5.47~5.725 | PCB | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 5.15 | 5.725~5.85 |  |  |
| 5g_Ant 0_B | 290-60106 | 3.45 | 5.47~5.725 | Dipole | i-pex(MHF) |
|  |  | 3.48 | 5.725~5.85 |  |  |


| Condition | Antenna No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 g _Ant 1 | 5g_Ant 0 |
| 2 | 5g_Ant 1_B | 5g_Ant 0_B |
| 3 | 5g_Ant 1_B | 5 g _Ant 0 |
| 4 | 5g_Ant 1 | 5g_Ant 0_B |

Note:

1. For Antenna Port Conducted Measurement, Condition 1 was selected for final test.

### 2.5 Calculation Result of Maximum Conducted Power

For 2.4GHz and 5 GHz (U-NII-1 band and U-NII-3 band) data was copied from the original test report (Report No.: SA180123E04)

| Operation <br> Mode | Evaluation <br> Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Max Power <br> $(\mathrm{mW})$ | Antenna Gain <br> $(\mathrm{dBi})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Power Density <br> $\left(\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Limit <br> $\left(\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WLAN 2.4GHz | 2437 | 694.376 | 8.01 | 35 | 0.28526 | 1 |
| WLAN UNII-1 | 5240 | 620.455 | 7.66 | 35 | 0.23516 | 1 |
| WLAN UNII-2A | 5310 | 249.765 | 7.26 | 35 | 0.08633 | 1 |
| WLAN UNII-2C | 5610 | 247.429 | 7.58 | 35 | 0.09207 | 1 |
| WLAN UNII-3 | 5785 | 993.819 | 8.20 | 35 | 0.42654 | 1 |

Note:
2.4GHz: Directional gain $=10 \log \left[\left(10^{\text {Chain0/20 }}+10^{\text {Chain } 1 / 20}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=8.01 \mathrm{dBi}$

5GHz:
U-NII-1: Directional gain $=10 \log \left[\left(10^{\text {Chain0/20 }}+10^{\text {Chain } 1 / 20}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=7.66 \mathrm{dBi}$
U-NII-2A: Directional gain $=10 \log \left[\left(10^{\text {Chain0 } / 20}+10^{\text {Chain } 1 / 20}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=7.26 \mathrm{dBi}$
U-NII-2C: Directional gain $=10 \log \left[\left(10^{\text {Chain0/20 }}+10^{\text {Chain } 1 / 20}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=7.58 \mathrm{dBi}$
U-NII-3: Directional gain $=10 \log \left[\left(10^{\text {Chain0/20 }}+10^{\text {Chain } 1 / 20}\right)^{2} / 2\right]=8.20 \mathrm{dBi}$

## Conclusion:

The formula of calculated the MPE is:
CPD1 / LPD1 + CPD2 / LPD2 + .etc. < 1
$\mathrm{CPD}=$ Calculation power density
LPD = Limit of power density

WLAN 2.4GHz + WLAN 5 GHz (low band) + WLAN 5 GHz (high band) $=0.28526 / 1+0.23516 / 1+0.42654 /$ $1=0.94696$
Therefore the maximum calculations of above situations are less than the " 1 " limit.

