-----Original Message----- **From:** lucy_tsai@ccsemc.com.tw [mailto:lucy_tsai@ccsemc.com.tw] **Sent:** Wednesday, September 17, 2003 4:23 AM **To:** Steve Cheng **Cc:** Jonson (E-mail); Lucy (E-mail); Mike Kuo **Subject:** ??: RE: D-Link PCI adapter AN03T3189

Dear Steve,

Below please find the answers for Q1-Q6.

Q1, there are two antennas used, and their spec. are identical except the external color. One is gray and another is black.

Q2, please find the revised user manual for details.

Q3, we have corrected as the attached revised test report.

Q4, we have corrected to 17.88dBm, the highest value measured as recorded in the report .

Q5, yes, it is.

Q6, that's because the equipment's design. when measuring peak, it would show "LgAv", and show "PAvg" when measuring average. So that's why there has "LgAv" on the plot.

Please help to review them and isssue the grant asap, thank you.

Best Regards,

Lucy

Steve Cheng <scheng@ccsemc.com></scheng@ccsemc.com>	???:	"Lucy (E-mail)" <lucy_tsai@cclab.com.tw>, "Jonson (E-mail)" <jonson@cclab.com.tw></jonson@cclab.com.tw></lucy_tsai@cclab.com.tw>
2003/09/16 11:15 AM	2222·	Mike Kuo <mkuo@ccsemc.com></mkuo@ccsemc.com>
	??:	RE: D-Link PCI adapter AN03T3189

RT for project: AN03T3189

Notice_content

Question #1: external photo show 2 antennas, but antenna spec documents only one IW-241RS8-120-1, please clarify how many antenna will be used with this EUT?

Question #2: User manual p32 stated "Emissions: FCC part 15b, shall correct to 15c"

Question #3: EMC report p5, Test methodology shall per 15.247 not 15.407

Question #4: EMC report p 4 stated "2. EUT DESCRIPTION - Transmit Power 14 dBm " is not consistence with measured power.

Question #5: EMC report P18, is reading already corrected with antenna factor, amp, cable ...etc?

Question # 6: p21, why use LgAv function on power spectrum density measurement?

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Best Regards

Steve Cheng Compliance Certification Services 561F Monterey Road Morgan Hill, CA 95037 Tel:(408)463-0885 x:119 Fax:(408)463-0888 scheng@ccsemc.com http:\\www.ccsemc.com

Best regards,

Steve Cheng Email: scheng@ccsemc.com Tel: (408) 463-0885 ext:119