

**MFA** **M. Flom Associates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center**  
3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107, Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176  
www.mflom.com general@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

---

## Environmental Assessment

for

**Mobiles/Fixed Base Station**

for

**FCC ID:** FCC ID: K6610443240  
Model: VXR-9000V

to

**Federal Communications Commission**

**47 CFR 1.1310 (MPE)**  
Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

**Date Of Report:** January 28, 2004

### On the Behalf of the Applicant:

Vertex Standard Co., Ltd.

### At the Request of:

P.O. UPS 01/08/04

Vertex Standard USA Inc.  
10900 Walker Street  
Cypress, CA 90630

### Attention of:

Mikio Maruya, Executive Vice President  
(800) 255-9237; FAX: (800) 477-9237  
(714) 827-7600; FAX: -8100  
m.maruya@vxstdusa.com

### Supervised By:




Morton Flom, P. Eng.

## Table of Contents

<b>Rule</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
	Test Report	1
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	2
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	4
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5

Page Number 1 of 7.

*Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:*

- a) **Test Report (Supplemental)**
- b) Laboratory: M. Flom Associates, Inc.  
(FCC: 31040/SIT) 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
(Canada: IC 2044) Chandler, AZ 85225
- c) Report Number: d0410045
- d) Client: Vertex Standard USA Inc.  
10900 Walker Street  
Cypress, CA 90630
- e) Identification: VXR-9000V  
FCC ID: K6610443240  
S/N: 3N000001  
Description: VHF Repeater
- f) EUT Condition: Not required unless specified in individual tests.
- g) Report Date: January 28, 2004  
EUT Received: January 8, 2004
- h, j, k): As indicated in individual tests.
- i) Sampling method: No sampling procedure used.
- l) Uncertainty: In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.
- m) Supervised by:   
Morton Flom, P. Eng.
- n) Results: The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.
- o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from this laboratory.

Page Number 2 of 7.

**Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

**Name and Address of Applicant:**

Vertex Standard Co., Ltd.  
 4-8-8 Nakameguro, Meguro-Ku  
 Tokyo 153-8644 Japan

**Manufacturer:**

Applicant

**FCC ID:** K6610443240

**Model Number:** VXR-9000V

**Description:** VHF Repeater

**Type of Emission:** 16K0F3E, 11K0F3E

**Frequency Range, MHz:** 148 to 174

**Power Rating, Watts:** 10 to 50  
 Switchable  Variable  N/A

**Modulation:**  
 AMPS  
 TDMA  
 CDMA  
 OTHER

**Antenna:**  
 Helical  
 Monopole  
 Whip  
 Other

**Note:** For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBd) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.

M. Flom Associates, Inc. is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Association (A2LA) as shown in the scope below.



**THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR LABORATORY ACCREDITATION**

**ACCREDITED LABORATORY**

A2LA has accredited

**M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC.**  
Chandler, AZ

for technical competence in the field of

**Electrical (EMC) Testing**


The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 - 1999 "General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories" and any additional program requirements in the identified field of testing. Testing and calibration laboratories that comply with this International Standard also operate in accordance with ISO 9001 or ISO 9002.

Presented this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2001.



*Peter M. Almy*  
President  
For the Accreditation Council  
Certificate Number 1008.01  
Valid to December 31, 2002

For tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the laboratory's Electrical (EMC) Scope of Accreditation



**American Association for Laboratory Accreditation**

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC 17025-1999

M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC.  
Electronic Testing Laboratory  
3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107  
Chandler, AZ 85225  
Morton Flom Phone: 480 926 3100

**ELECTRICAL (EMC)**

Valid to: December 31, 2002 Certificate Number: 1008-01

In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA evaluation process, accreditation is granted to this laboratory to perform the following electromagnetic compatibility tests:

Tests	Standard(s)
RF Emissions	FCC Part 15 (Subparts B and C) using ANSI C63.4-1992, CISPR 11; CISPR 13; CISPR 14; CISPR 22; EN 55011; EN 55013; EN 55014; EN 55022; EN 50081-1; EN 50081-2; ICES-003; AS/NZS 1044; AS/NZS 1053; AS/NZS 3548; AS/NZS 4251.1; CNS 13438
Harmonic Currents	EN 61000-3-2
Fluctuation and Flicker	EN 61000-3-3
RF Immunity	EN: 50082-1, 50082-2 (both excluding "Power Frequency Magnetic Field Immunity"), 55024 (excluding Power Frequency Magnetic Field and Conducted Immunity); AS/NZS 4251.1
Electrostatic Discharge (ESD)	EN 61000-4-2
Radiated Susceptibility	EN 61000-4-3; ENV 50140; ENV 50204; IEC 1000-4-3; IEC 801-3
EFT	EN 61000-4-4; IEC 1000-4-4; IEC 801-4
Surge	EN 61000-4-5; ENV 50142; IEC 1000-4-5; IEC 801-5
Voltage Dips, Short Interruptions, and Line Voltage Variations	EN 61000-4-11
47 CFR (FCC)	Part: 2, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 74, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97, 101 (excluding SAR Testing)

*Robert M. Robinson*

(A2LA Cert. No. 1008.01) 05/10/02 Page 1 of 1

5301 Buckeystown Pike, Suite 350 • Frederick, MD 21704-8373 • Phone: 301-644 3248 • Fax: 301-662 2974

"This laboratory is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) and the results shown in this report have been determined in accordance with the laboratory's terms of accreditation unless stated otherwise in the report."

Should this report contain any data for tests for which we are not accredited, or which have been undertaken by a subcontractor that is not A2LA accredited, such data would not covered by this laboratory's A2LA accreditation.

**Standard Test Conditions  
and  
Engineering Practices**

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2000, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104 °F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.

Page Number 5 of 7.

**Name of Test:** Environmental Assessment

**Specification:** FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310

**Measurement Guide:** ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992

**Test Equipment:** Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of:  
Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation meter  
Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz)  
(Calibrated Nov-98)

**Measurement Procedure:**

1. The following measurements were performed with a Narda probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.
2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.
3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.
4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.
5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula  $\text{Power Density} = \text{EIRP} / 4\pi R^2$  (Peak Watts/m<sup>2</sup>). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.
6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of 0° to 360°.
7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).

**Results:** Attached.

Page Number 6 of 7.

**Test Setup:** Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)





Page Number 7 of 7.

**Name of Test:** R.F. Radiation Exposure

FCC Rules: 1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091  
 Description, EUT: See page 2 of Test Report

Limits: Controlled Exposure 0.3-3.0 MHz: Limit [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] = 100  
 47 CFR 1.1310 3.0-30 MHz: Limit [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] = (900/f<sup>2</sup>)  
 Table 1, (A) 30-300 MHz: Limit [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] = 1.0  
 300-1500 MHz: Limit [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] = f/300  
 1500-100,000 MHz: Limit [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] = 5.0

Instruments Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation Meter  
 Narda 8760B, E-field probe (300 kHz – 1 GHz)  
 Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz)

Test Frequencies, MHz 148 161 174  
 Power, Conducted, W = 50  
 Power + Ant. Gain = 0 dBd (50% duty cycle)  
 Limit: Uncontrolled Exposure = 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>  
 Antenna Gain = 0 dB  
 Antenna Model ¼ Wave Whip

Results at tested distances	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm <sup>2</sup>		
		Freq. 148 MHz Distance 94 cm	Freq. 161 MHz Distance 96 cm	Freq. 174 MHz Distance 96 cm
	2.0	0.88	0.86	0.87
	1.8	0.94	0.97	0.96
	1.6	0.98	0.99	0.99
	1.4	0.99	0.89	0.99
	1.2	0.82	0.65	0.76
	1.0	0.69	0.66	0.7
	0.8	0.68	0.60	0.68
	0.6	0.70	0.51	0.59
	0.4	0.59	0.48	0.52
	0.2	0.55	0.47	0.48

Power Density Calculations: The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average.

	148 MHz	161 MHz	174 MHz
Whole body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.782	0.708	0.754
Lower body average (0.2 - 0.8 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.63	0.515	0.568
Upper body average (1.0 - 2.0 m, mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) =	0.883	0.837	0.878

Supervised By:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

**(The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)**

**Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users**

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

**Antenna Minimum Safe Distance:** 96 cm, 50% Duty Cycle Factor.

Antenna Gain: zero dBd referenced to a dipole.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

**Antenna Mounting:** The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. 96 cm, 50% Duty Cycle Factor.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

**Base Station Installation:** The antenna should be fixed-mounted on an outdoor permanent structure. RF Exposure compliance must be addressed at the time of installation.

**Antenna Substitution:** Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

**Warning:** Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least 96 cm, 50% Duty Cycle Factor.

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna.

**Testimonial  
and  
Statement of Certification**

**This is to certify that:**

1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

Certifying Engineer:



Morton Flom, P. Eng.