M. Flom fissociates, Inc. - Global Compliance Center 3356 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107, Chandler, Arizona 85225-7176 www.mflom.com general@mflom.com (480) 926-3100, FAX: 926-3598

Environmental Assessment

for

Mobiles/Fixed Base Station

for

FCC ID: FCC ID: K6610354740 Model:VX-4207-7-45 and VX-4107-7-45

to

Federal Communications Commission

47 Cfr 1.1310 (MPE) Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Limits

Date Of Report: July 14, 2003

On the Behalf of the Applicant:

Vertex Standard Co., Ltd.

At the Request of:

P.O. UPS 07/02/2003

Vertex Standard USA Inc. 10900 Walker Street Cypress, CA 90630

Attention of:

Mikio Maruya, Executive Vice President (800) 255-9237; FAX: (800) 477-9237 (714) 827-7600; FAX: -8100 m.maruya@vxstdusa.com

Ower P. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

Table of Contents

Rule	Description	Page
	Test Report	1
	Identification of the Equipment Under Test	2
	Standard Test Conditions and Engineering Practices	4
1.1310	Environmental Assessment	5

Page Number 1 of 9.

Required information per ISO/IEC Guide 25-1990, paragraph 13.2:

a)	Test Report (Supplemental)	
b) Laboratory: (FCC: 31040/SIT) (Canada: IC 2044)	M. Flom Associates, Inc. 3356 N. San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, AZ 85225	
c) Report Number:	d0370009	
d) Client:	Vertex Standard USA Inc. 10900 Walker Street Cypress, CA 90630	
e) Identification:	VX-4207-7-45 and VX-4107-7-45 FCC ID: K6610354740	
Description:	UHF FM Mobile Transceiver	
f) EUT Condition:	Not required unless specified in individual tests.	
g) Report Date: EUT Received:	July 14, 2003 July 2, 2003	
h, j, k):	As indicated in individual tests.	
i) Sampling method:	No sampling procedure used.	
I) Uncertainty:	In accordance with MFA internal quality manual.	
m) Supervised by:	Morton Flom, P. Eng.	

n) Results:

The results presented in this report relate only to the item tested.

o) Reproduction: This report must not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from this laboratory.

Page Number	2 of 9.
-------------	---------

Identification of the Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Name and Address of Applicant:

Vertex Standard Co., Ltd. 4-8-8 Nakameguro, Meguro-Ku Tokyo 153-8644 Japan

Manufacturer:

Applicant

FCC ID:

Model Number:

Description:

Type of Emission:

Frequency Range, MHz:

450 to 512

x OTHER

16K0F3E, 11K0F3E

K6610354740

VX-4207-7-45 and VX-4107-7-45

UHF FM Mobile Transceiver

 Power Rating, Watts:
 10 to 45

 Switchable
 x
 Variable
 N/A

 Modulation:
 ______AMPS
 ______TDMA
 ______CDMA

Antenna: Helical Monopole Whip x Other

Note: For RF Safety test antenna gain taken at the upper range of expected gain (i.e. 0 dBd) and RF Power set to highest nominal power across all channels.

Page Number

3 of 9.

M. Flom Associates, Inc. is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Association (A2LA) as shown in the scope below.

		Association for Laboratory Accreditation
THE AMERICAN		M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC. Electronic Testing Laboratory 56 North San Marcos Place, Suite 107 Chandler, AZ 85225 Iorton Flom Phone: 480 926 3100
ASSOCIATION FOR LABORATORY ACCREDITATION	Valid to: December 31, 2002	ELECTRICAL (EMC) Certificate Number: 1008-01
ACCREDITED LABORATORY	In recognition of the successful con this laboratory to perform the follo <u>Tests</u>	npletion of the A2LA evaluation process, accreditation is granted to wing electromagnetic compatibility tests: <u>Standard(s)</u>
A2LA has accredited	RF Emissions	FCC Part 15 (Subparts B and C) using ANSI C63.4-1992, CISPR 11; CISPR 13; CISPR 14; CISPR 22; EN 55011; EN 55013; EN 55014; EN 55022;; EN 50081-1; EN 50081-2;
M. FLOM ASSOCIATES, INC. Chandler, AZ	Harmonic Currents	ICES-003; AS/NZS 1044; AS/NZS 1053; AS/NZS 3548; AS/NZS 4251.1; CNS 13438 EN 61000-3-2
for technical competence in the field of	Fluctuation and Flicker	EN 61000-3-3
Electrical (EMC) Testing	RF Immunity	EN: 50082-1, 50082-2 (both excluding "Power Frequency Magnetic Field Immunity"), 55024 (excluding Power Frequency Magnetic Field and Conducted Immunity); ASINZS 4251.1
The accreditation covers the specific tests and types of tests listed on the agreed scope of accreditation. This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 -	Electrostatic Discharge (ESD)	EN 61000-4-2
1999 "General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories" and any additional program requiremts in the identified field of testing. Testing and calibration laboratories that compley with this International Standard also	Radiated Susceptibility	EN 61000-4-3; ENV 50140; ENV 50204; IEC 1000-4-3; IEC 801-3
operate in accordance with ISO 9001 or ISO 9002.	EFT	EN 61000-4-4; IEC 1000-4-4; IEC 801-4
Presented this 2 rd day of March, 2001.	Surge	EN 61000-4-5; ENV 50142; IEC 1000-4-5; IEC 801-5
$\rho_{\rm eff} = \rho_{\rm eff} + \rho_{\rm$	Voltage Dips, Short Interruptions, Line Voltage Variations	EN 61000-4-11
President President For the Accreditation Council Certificate Number 1008.01 Valid to December 31, 2002	47 CFR (FCC)	Part: 2, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 74, 80, 87, 90, 95, 97, 101 (excluding SAR Testing)
		Revense M. Robinson
For tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies, please refer to the iaboratory's Electrical (EMC) Scope of Accreditation	(A2LA Cert. No. 1008.01) 05/10/0	2 Page 1 of 1
	5301 Buckeystown Pike, Suite 350 • Fi	rederick, MD 21704-8373 • Phone: 301-644 3248 • Fax: 301-662 2974 🛞

"This laboratory is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) and the results shown in this report have been determined in accordance with the laboratory's terms of accreditation unless stated otherwise in the report."

Should this report contain any data for tests for which we are not accredited, or which have been undertaken by a subcontractor that is not A2LA accredited, such data would not covered by this laboratory's A2LA accreditation.

Page Number 4 of 9.

Standard Test Conditions

and

Engineering Practices

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.4-1992/2000, section 6.1.9, and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104 °F) unless the particular equipment requirements specify testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Prior to testing, the EUT was tuned up in accordance with the manufacturer's alignment procedures. All external gain controls were maintained at the position of maximum and/or optimum gain throughout the testing.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.

Page Number	5 of 9.	
Name of Test:	Environmental Assessment	
Specification:	FCC: 47 CFR 1.1310	
Measurement Guide:	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992	
Test Equipment:	Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) measurement system, consisting of: Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation meter Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz) (Calibrated Nov-98)	
Measurement Procedure:	1. The following measurements were performed with a Narda probe using ANSI/IEEE C95.1 as a guide.	
	2. Prior to making any measurements, the measurements system was calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures.	
	3. The EUT's radiating element (antenna) was placed on a 1 m tall table for ease of testing. For equipment normally operated on a metal surface, a ground plane was used.	
	4. The remaining equipment necessary to operate the EUT was maintained at a distance from the measurement arrangement suitable to minimize interference with the measurements.	
	5. The minimum safe distance was calculated from the formula Power Density = EIRP / $4\pi R^2$ (Peak Watts/m ²). The calculation is shown with the measurement data.	
	6. With the EUT operating at maximum power, a search was initiated for worst case emissions with the probe raised and lowered over a range of 0.2 to 2 meters in height and over a horizontal plane of 0° to 360° .	
	7. Average values were calculated for the whole body (0.2-2.0m), lower body (0.2-0.8m) and upper body (1.0-2.0m).	
Results:	Attached.	

Page Number

6 of 9.

Test Setup:

Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



Page Number	7 of 9.	
Name of Test:	R.F. Radiation Exposure	
FCC Rules: Description, EUT:	1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 See page 2 of Test Report	
Test Frequency, MHz Antenna Gain Antenna Model	= 449.950 = 0 dBi ¼ Wave Whip	
Instruments	Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation Meter Narda 8760B, E-field probe (300 kHz – 1 GHz) Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz)	
Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure 47 CFR 1.1310 Table 1, (B)	0.3-1.234 MHz: 1.34-30 MHz: 30-300 MHz: 300-1500 MHz 1500-100,000 MHz:	Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 100$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = (180/f^{2})$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 0.2$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = f/1500$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 1.0$
Power, Conducted, W Power + Ant. Gain, W Limit: Uncontrolled Exposure Tested Distance:	= 49.5 = $49.5 + 0 dBd$ = $0.300 mW/cm^2$ 65 cm	
Results:	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm ²
at tested distance	2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2	0.03 0.02 0.09 0.10 0.22 0.29 0.11 0.06 0.03 0.01
Power Density	The measured power densit	y readings were summed and the

Power Density Calculations: For whole body: For lower body: For upper body: The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average. Average of 0.2 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.094 Average of 0.2 to 0.8 m, mW/cm² = 0.053 Average of 1.0 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.122

. Ower p. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

Page Number	8 of 9.		
Name of Test:	R.F. Radiation Exposure		
FCC Rules: Description, EUT:	1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 See page 2 of Test Report		
Test Frequency, MHz Antenna Gain Antenna Model	= 481.050 = 0 dBi ¼ Wave Whip		
Instruments	Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation Meter Narda 8760B, E-field probe (300 kHz – 1 GHz) Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz)		
Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure 47 CFR 1.1310 Table 1, (B)	0.3-1.234 MHz: 1.34-30 MHz: 30-300 MHz: 300-1500 MHz 1500-100,000 MHz:	Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 100$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = (180/f^{2})$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 0.2$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = f/1500$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 1.0$	
Power, Conducted, W Power + Ant. Gain, W Limit: Uncontrolled Exposure Tested Distance:	= 49.5 = 49.5 + 0 dBd $e = 0.326 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ 60 cm		
Results:	Probe Height, m	Power Density, mW/cm ²	
at tested distance	2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2	0.03 0.04 0.09 0.12 0.27 0.26 0.12 0.26 0.12 0.05 0.02 0.01	
Power Density	The measured power densit	ry readings were summed and the	

Power Density Calculations: For whole body: For lower body: For upper body: The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average. Average of 0.2 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.101 Average of 0.2 to 0.8 m, mW/cm² = 0.050 Average of 1.0 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.135

Ower p. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

Page Number	9 of 9.	
Name of Test:	R.F. Radiation Exposure	
FCC Rules: Description, EUT:	1.1307, 1.1310, 1.1311, 2.1091 See page 2 of Test Report	
Test Frequency, MHz Antenna Gain Antenna Model	= 512.050 = 0 dBi ¼ Wave Whip	
Instruments	Narda 8717-1174R, Radiation Meter Narda 8760B, E-field probe (300 kHz – 1 GHz) Narda 8761D, E-field probe (300 kHz – 3 GHz)	
Limits: Uncontrolled Exposure 47 CFR 1.1310 Table 1, (B)	0.3-1.234 MHz: 1.34-30 MHz: 30-300 MHz: 300-1500 MHz 1500-100,000 MHz:	Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 100$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = (180/f^{2})$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 0.2$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = f/1500$ Limit $[mW/cm^{2}] = 1.0$
Power, Conducted, W Power + Ant. Gain, W Limit: Uncontrolled Exposure Tested Distance:	= 49.5 = 49.5 + 0 dBd = 0.341 mW/cm^2 55 cm	
Results: at tested distance	Probe Height, m 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2	Power Density, mW/cm ² 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.10 0.29 0.33 0.11 0.06 0.03 0.01
Power Density	The measured power density	y readings were summed and the

Power Density Calculations: For whole body: For lower body: For upper body: The measured power density readings were summed and the results divided by the number of readings to calculate the average. Average of 0.2 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.105 Average of 0.2 to 0.8 m, mW/cm² = 0.053 Average of 1.0 to 2.0 m, mW/cm² = 0.140

. Ower p. Eng

Morton Flom, P. Eng.

(The following will be placed in the Instruction Manual)

Mandatory Safety Instructions to Installers & Users

Use only manufacturer or dealer supplied antenna.

Antenna Minimum Safe Distance: 65 cm, 50% Duty Cycle.

Antenna Gain: zero dBd referenced to a dipole.

The Federal Communications Commission has adopted a safety standard for human exposure to RF (Radio Frequency) energy which is below the OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Act) limits.

Antenna Mounting: The antenna supplied by the manufacturer or radio dealer must not be mounted at a location such that during radio transmission, any person or persons can come closer than the above indicated minimum safe distance to the antenna i.e. 65 cm.

To comply with current FCC RF Exposure limits, the antenna must be installed at or exceeding the minimum safe distance shown above, and in accordance with the requirements of the antenna manufacturer or supplier.

Base Station Installation: The antenna should be fixed-mounted on an outdoor permanent structure. RF Exposure compliance must be addressed at the time of installation.

Antenna Substitution: Do not substitute any antenna for the one supplied or recommended by the manufacturer or radio dealer. You may be exposing person or persons to excess radio frequency radiation. You may contact your radio dealer or the manufacturer for further instructions.

Warning: Maintain a separation distance from the antenna to a person(s) of at least 65 cm.

You, as the qualified end-user of this radio device must control the exposure conditions of bystanders to ensure the minimum separation distance (above) is maintained between the antenna and nearby persons for satisfying RF Exposure compliance. The operation of this transmitter must satisfy the requirements of Occupational/Controlled Exposure Environment, for work-related use. Transmit only when person(s) are at least the minimum distance from the properly installed, externally mounted antenna.

Testimonial and Statement of Certification

This is to certify that:

- 1. **That** the application was prepared either by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned.
- 2. **That** the technical data supplied with the application was taken under my direction and supervision.
- 3. **That** the data was obtained on representative units, randomly selected.
- 4. **That**, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in the application and accompanying technical data are true and correct.

N. Ower P. Sug

Certifying Engineer:

Morton Flom, P. Eng.