

Received: from gatekeeper.fcc.gov (firewall-user@gatekeeper.fcc.gov [192.104.54.1]) by mx0-smtp.goodnet.com with ESMTTP id MAA11009 for <mflom@goodnet.com>; Tue, 27 Oct 1998 12:20:35 -0700 (MST)

Received: by gatekeeper.fcc.gov; id OAA25457; Tue, 27 Oct 1998 14:21:46 -0500 (EST)

Received: from mail.fcc.gov(165.135.30.12) by gatekeeper.fcc.gov via smap (4.1) id xma024946; Tue, 27 Oct 98 14:20:55 -0500

Received: from FCCMAIL-Message_Server by fcc.gov with Novell_GroupWise; Tue, 27 Oct 1998 14:17:41 -0500

Message-ID: <s635d605.031@fcc.gov>

X-Mailer: Novell GroupWise 4.1

Date: Tue, 27 Oct 1998 14:10:13 -0500

From: Charlie Cobbs <CCOBBS@fcc.gov>

To: mflom@goodnet.com

Subject: Reply to fax of Sept 29th

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Disposition: inline

Content-Type: text/plain

X-UIDL: 33cb7d462a085333f7f67d1bd83fb569

X-Mozilla-Status: 8001

Hi Mort:

I probably sent the original incoming to Frank for reply. Since he is away, let me try to answer your questions.

I think you may have enough data for a "certification" application since no requirement for third party testing is needed for EPIRBs in the U.S. However, they would have to file an application and get an FCC ID number for the units. Since I don't know what ETS30006 clause 6.3 really means, it probably is not sufficient for FCC. Vibration tests are not required for EPIRBs in the U.S.

Go through the data you have and see if all the needed elements are there. If not, you would have to "re-run" that test as necessary.

Its true, December 31, 1998, is my last day for work here. I'm retiring to help run a wildlife hospital down the road. We have some trips planned and we'll keep in touch with the folks here from time to time thorough e-mail.

Charlie