SAR TEST REPORT

Test item	:	Cellular/PCS GSM/GPRS Cellular WCDMA/HSDPA Cellular CDMA Phone with Bluetooth, WLAN and NFC
Model No.	:	KYL21
Order No.	:	DEMC1208-01410
Date of receipt	:	2012-08-08
Test duration	:	2012-09-14 ~ 2012-09-20
Date of issue	:	2012-09-24
Use of report	:	FCC Original Grant

Applicant : KYOCERA Corporation
2-1-1 Kagahara, Tsuzuki-ku, Yokohama-Shi, Kanagawa 224-8502, Japan

Test laboratory : Digital EMC Co., Ltd.
683-3, Yubang-Dong, Cheoin-Gu, Yongin-Si, Kyunggi-Do, 449-080, Korea

Test specification	:	§2.1093, FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C[July 2001]
Test environment	:	See appended test report
Test result	:	☒ Pass ☐ Fail

Tested by:	Witnessed by:	Reviewed by:
Engineer N.K.Lim	Engineer S.K. Ryu	Technical Director Harvey Sung

Table of Contents

1. INTROCUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95*.1-2005 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields," NCRP Report No. 86 NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU)absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ) It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1)

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{\rho\, dv}\right)$$

Figure 1.1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where:
σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m3)
E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

2. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

General Information

Equipment type	Cellular/PCS GSM/GPRS Cellular WCDMA/HSDPA Cellular CDMA Phone with Bluetooth, WLAN and NFC
FCC ID:	JOYKYL21
Equipment model name	KYL21
Equipment add model name	N/A
Equipment serial no.	Identical prototype
Mode(s) of Operation	GSM850, PCS1900, WCDMA850, CDMA Cellular, W-LAN(802.11a/b)
TX Frequency Range	824.2 ~ 848.8 MHz(Cellular Band) / 826.4 ~ 846.6 MHz(WCDMA FDD V) 824.7 ~ 848.31 MHz(CDMA Cellular) / 1850.2 ~ 1909.8 MHz(PCS Band) 2412 ~ 2462 MHz(802.11b) 5180 ~ 5240 MHz(802.11a - 5.2 GHz Band) / 5260 ~ 5320 MHz(802.11a - 5.3 GHz Band) 5500 ~ 5700 MHz(802.11a - 5.5 GHz Band) / 5745 ~ 5825 MHz(802.11a - 5.8 GHz Band)
RX Frequency Range	869.2 ~ 893.8 MHz(Cellular Band) / 871.4 ~ 891.6 MHz(WCDMA FDD V) 869.7 ~ 893.31 MHz(CDMA Cellular) / 1930.2 ~ 1989.8 MHz(PCS Band) 2412 ~ 2462 MHz(802.11b) 5180 ~ 5240 MHz(802.11a - 5.2 GHz Band) / 5260 ~ 5320 MHz(802.11a - 5.3 GHz Band) 5500 ~ 5700 MHz(802.11a - 5.5 GHz Band) / 5745 ~ 5825 MHz(802.11a - 5.8 GHz Band)

	Band	1g SAR (W/kg)		
		Head	Body - worn	Hotspot
Max. SAR Measurement	GSM850	0.349	0.550	0.550
	PCS1900	0.194	1.260	1.260
	CDMA Cellular	0.357	0.747	0.747
	WCDMA850	0.353	0.647	0.647
	2.4 G W-LAN(802.11b)	0.276	0.111	0.111
	5 G W-LAN(802.11a)	0.104	0.069	-
Simultaneous SAR per KDB 690783 D01		0.633	1.371	1.371

FCC Equipment Class	Licensed Portable Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
Date(s) of Tests	2012-09-14 ~ 2012-09-20
Antenna Type	Internal Type Antenna
Functions	● GSM/GPRS(GPRS Class: 33) / EDGE(EDGE RX Only) supported * DTM not supported ● CDMA Cellular EVDO not supported. ● BT(2.4GHz)/WLAN(2.4GHz, 5 GHz, 802.11a/b/g/n) supported, but 5.8 GHz WLAN not supported. * No simultaneous transmission between BT & WLAN ● Simultaneous transmission between GSM, CDMA, WCDMA, voice & WLAN / GPRS, CDMA, WCDMA & WLAN ● VoIP not supported. ● Mobile Hotspot supported. ● When Hotspot is enabled, all 5 GHz bands are disabled.

3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT

3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Measurements are performed using the DASY5 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY5 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, desktop computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 3.1).

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Intel Core i7-2600 3.4GHz desktop computer with Windows NT system and SAR Measurement Software DASY5, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.



Figure 3.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail.

3.2 EX3DV4 Probe Specification

Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 6 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 750 MHz, 835 MHz, 1750 MHz, 1900 MHz, 2300 MHz, 2450 MHz 2600 MHz, 3500 MHz, 5200 MHz, 5300 MHz, 5500 MHz, 5600 MHz, 5800 MHz
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB(30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Dynamic	5 μW/g to > 100 mW/g
Range	Linearity : ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length : 330 mm
Tip length	20 mm
Body diameter	12 mm
Tip diameter	2.5 mm
Distance from probe tip to sensor center	1.0 mm
Application	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones



Figure 3.2 Triangular Probe Configurations

Figure 3.3 Probe Thick-Film Technique

DAE System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Fig. 3.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip (see Fig. 3.3). It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY5 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 E-Probe Calibration

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz, and in a waveguide above 1GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment *

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium, correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent the rmist or based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\text{SAR} = C\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm^3 for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional toΔT / Δt , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;



Figure 3.4E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 900MHz



Figure 3.5 E-Field and Temperature Measurements at 1800MHz

3.4 Data Extrapolation

The DASY4 software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given like below;

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i=x,y,z)
U_i = input signal of channel **i** (i=x,y,z)
cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x,y,z)
$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x,y,z) $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field probes
ConvF = sensitivity of enhancement in solution
E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermetian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in W/g
E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m
σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm^3

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in W/cm^2
E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

3.5 SAM Twin PHANTOM

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.
Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 3.6)



Figure 3.6 SAM Twin Phantom

SAM Twin Phantom Specification

Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot. Twin SAM V5.0 has the same shell geometry and is manufactured from the same material as Twin SAM V4.0, but has reinforced top structure.
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm Width: 500 mm Height: adjustable feet

3.6Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0/V4.0c or ELI4, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter device in spherical coordinates. Rotation point is the ear opening point. Transmitter devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked for positioning at different phantom sections (left head, right head, flat).

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Figure 3.7 Mounting Device

3.7 Brain & Muscle Simulation Mixture Characterization



Figure 3.8 SimulatedTissue

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydrox-ethyl cellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 3.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Harts grove.

Table3.1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

INGREDIENTS		SIMULATING TISSUE							
		835 MHz Brain	835 MHz Muscle	1900 MHz Brain	1900 MHz Muscle	2450 MHz Brain	2450 MHz Muscle	5200 ~ 5800 MHz Brain	5200 ~ 5800 MHz Muscle
Mixture Percentage									
WATER		40.19	50.75	55.24	70.23	71.88	73.40	65.52	80.00
DGBE		-	-	44.45	29.48	7.990	26.54	-	-
SUGAR		57.90	48.21	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT		1.480	0.940	0.310	0.290	0.160	0.060	-	-
BACTERICIDE		0.180	0.100	-	-	-	-	-	-
HEC		0.250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Triton X-100		-	-	-	-	19.97	-	17.24	-
Diethylenglycol monohexylether		-	-	-	-	-	-	17.24	-
Polysorbate(Tween) 80		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.00
Dielectric Constant	Target	**41.5**	**55.2**	**40.0**	**53.3**	**39.2**	**52.7**	**-**	**-**
Conductivity (S/m)	Target	**0.90**	**0.97**	**1.40**	**1.52**	**1.80**	**1.95**	**-**	**-**

Salt: 99 % Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar: 98 % Pure Sucrose

Water: De-ionized, 16M resistivity HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99 % Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether,[2-(2-butoxyethoxy) ethanol]

Triton X-100(ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono[4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]

Note: Please refer to the target of 5 GHz dielectric constant and conductivity on 30 pages of this report.

3.8 SAR TEST EQUIPMENT

Table 3.2 Test Equipment Calibration

	Type	Manufacturer	Model	Cal.Date	Next.Cal.Date	S/N
☒	SEMITEC Engineering	SEMITEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	Shield Room
☒	Robot	SCHMID	TX60L	N/A	N/A	F12/5LP5A1/A/01
☒	Robot Controller	SCHMID	C58C	N/A	N/A	F12/5LP5A1/C/01
☒	Joystick	SCHMID	N/A	N/A	N/A	S-12030401
☒	Intel Core i7-2600 3.40 GHz Windows 7 Professional	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
☒	Probe Alignment Unit LB	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	SE UKS 030 AA
☒	Mounting Device	SCHMID	SD000H01HA	N/A	N/A	N/A
☐	Sam Phantom	SCHMID	TP1223	N/A	N/A	N/A
☐	Sam Phantom	SCHMID	TP1224	N/A	N/A	N/A
☒	Twin SAM Phantom	SCHMID	QD000P40CD	N/A	N/A	1679
☒	Head/Body Equivalent Matter(835MHz)	N/A	N/A	2012-01-01	2013-01-01	N/A
☒	Head/Body Equivalent Matter(1900MHz)	N/A	N/A	2012-01-01	2013-01-01	N/A
☒	Head/Body Equivalent Matter(2450MHz)	N/A	N/A	2012-01-01	2013-01-01	N/A
☒	Head/Body Equivalent Matter(5000MHz)	N/A	N/A	2012-01-01	2013-01-01	N/A
☒	Data Acquisition Electronics	SCHMID	DAE4V1	2012-04-23	2013-04-23	1335
☒	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SCHMID	EX3DV4	2012-06-20	2013-06-20	3866
☒	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SCHMID	EX3DV4	2012-01-27	2013-01-27	3643
☐	Dummy Probe	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
☒	835MHz System Validation Dipole	SCHMID	D835V2	2012-03-14	2014-03-14	464
☒	1900MHz System Validation Dipole	SCHMID	D1900V2	2012-03-16	2014-03-16	5d029
☒	2450MHz System Validation Dipole	SCHMID	D2450V2	2012-03-15	2014-03-15	726
☒	5000MHz System Validation Dipole	SCHMID	D5GHzV2	2012-01-20	2014-01-20	1103
☒	Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	2011-11-25	2012-11-25	MY46106970
☒	Signal Generator	Rohde Schwarz	SMR20	2012-03-05	2013-03-05	101251
☒	Amplifier	EMPOWER	BBS3Q7ELU	2012-09-18	2013-09-18	1020
☒	High Power RF Amplifier	EMPOWER	BBS3Q8CCJ	2011-11-07	2012-11-07	1005
☒	Power Meter	HP	EPM-442A	2012-03-05	2013-03-05	GB37170267
☒	Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2012-03-05	2013-03-05	3318A96566
☒	Power Sensor	HP	8481A	2012-02-27	2013-02-27	3318A96030
☒	Dual Directional Coupler	Agilent	778D-012	2012-01-09	2013-01-09	50228
☒	Directional Coupler	HP	773D	2012-07-01	2013-07-01	2389A00640
☒	Low Pass Filter 1,5 GHz	Micro LAB	LA-15N	2012-01-09	2013-01-09	N/A
☒	Low Pass Filter 3,0 GHz	Micro LAB	LA-30N	2012-09-17	2013-09-17	N/A
☒	Attenuators(3 dB)	Agilent	8491B	2012-07-02	2013-07-02	MY39260700
☒	Attenuators(10 dB)	WEINSCHEL	23-10-34	2012-01-09	2013-01-09	BP4387
☐	Step Attenuator	HP	8494A	2012-09-17	2013-09-17	3308A33341
☒	Dielectric Probe kit	Agilent	85070D	N/A	N/A	US01440118
☒	8960 Series 10 Wireless Comms. Test Set	Agilent	E5515C	2012-03-05	2013-03-05	GB43461134
☐	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	Rohde Schwarz	CMW500	2012-09-18	2013-09-18	100989

NOTE: The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by temperature measurement procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by Digital EMC before each test. The brain simulating material is calibrated by Digital EMC using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

4. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Automated TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Positioner

Robot	Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: TX60L
Repeatability	0.02 mm
No. of axis	6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor	Intel Core i7-2600
Clock Speed	3.40 GHz
Operating System	Windows 7 Professional
Data Card	DASY5 PC-Board

Data Converter

Features	Signal, multiplexer, A/D converter. & control logic
Software	DASY5
Connecting Lines	Optical downlink for data and status info Optical uplink for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function	24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing Link to DAE 4 16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system serial link to robot direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probes

Model	EX3DV4 S/N: 3643, S/N: 3866
Construction	Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)

Phantom

Phantom	SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material	Composite
Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm

Figure 2.2 DASY5 Test System

5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:



Sample SAR Area Scan

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.

2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the Inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm.

3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by sp line interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 30 mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Sample SAR Area Scan):

   a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.5 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

   b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional sp lines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

   c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.

5 GHz SAR Measurements

1. For 5 GHz testing, finer resolution Area scans were performed as specified by FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 - 6 GHz, KDB pub 865664. The 5 GHz Area Scan requires a minimum resolution of 10 mm on the x and y axis for each grid measurement point.

2. For 5 GHz testing, finer resolution zoom scans were performed as specified by FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 - 6 GHz, KDB pub 865664. The 5 GHz zoom scan requires a minimum volume of 24 mm X 24 mm X 20 mm and 7 X 7 X 11 points.

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Fig. 5.1). The perimeter side walls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.

Figure 5.1 Sam Twin Phantom shell

6. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION

6.1 HEAD POSITION



Figure 6.2 Close-up side view of ERPs

Figure 6.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point"M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point(ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the Ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.5. The plane Passing, through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck- Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate hand set positioning.

Figure 6.1 Front, back and side view SAM Twin Phantom

Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 6.3). The "test device reference point" was than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



Figure 6.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

6.2 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 6.4), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.



Figure 6.4Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.

3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).

4. The phone was hen rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical was respect to the line NF.

5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). (See Figure 6.5)



Figure 6.5Side view w/relevant markings

6.3 Positioning for Ear / 15 ° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.

2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.

3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 6.6).



Figure 6.6 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15°Position

6.4 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 6.8). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component(i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Figure 6.8 Body Belt Clip & Holster Configurations

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some.

Devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distances between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacing is documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom.

For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory (ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing. In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

7. IEEE P1528 –MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

835 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.9	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.9 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.0	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.0 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.0 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.1 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

835 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.1	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.1 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.3	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.3 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.1 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.2 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

1900 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 3.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 3.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.1	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.1 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.0 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.1 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

1900 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.5 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.8 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.2 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.4 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

2450 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.7	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.7 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.8 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.3 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.5 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

2450 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.9	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.9 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.3 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 24.6 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5200 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.7	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.7 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.5 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.1 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5200 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.9	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.9 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.9	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.9 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.6 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.2 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5500 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.7	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.7 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.5 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.5 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.0 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5500 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.9	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.9 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.6 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.1 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5800 MHz Head

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.8 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.8 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.5 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.1 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

5800 MHz Body

Error Description	Uncertaint value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	(Ci) 1g	Standard (1g)	vi 2 or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %	∞
Axial isotropy	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	± 9.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.543 %	∞
Boundary Effects	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Probe Linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.714 %	∞
Detection limits	± 0.25	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.144 %	∞
Readout Electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0 %	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.462 %	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.501 %	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.732 %	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.231 %	∞
Probe Positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.674 %	∞
Algorithms for Max. SAR Eval.	± 1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.577 %	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %	145
Device Holder	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %	5
Power Drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.887 %	∞
Physical Parameters						
Phantom Shell	± 4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.309 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid conductivity (Meas.)	± 4.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 4.5 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 2.887 %	∞
Liquid permittivity (Meas.)	± 4.8	Normal	1	0.6	± 4.8 %	∞
CombinedStandard Uncertainty					**± 12.5 %**	330
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					**± 25.0 %**	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE P1528 (2003)

8. ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 8.1.SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005

	HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS	
	General Public Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)	Occupational Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR * (Brain)	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ** (Whole Body)	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR *** (Hands / Feet / Ankle / Wrist)	4.00	20.0

NOTES:

* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole-body.

*** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 g of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e.as a result of employment or occupation).

9. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

9.1 Tissue Verification

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS									
Freq. [MHz]	Date(s)	Liquid	Ambient Temp.[°C]	Liquid Temp.[°C]	Parameters	Target Value	Measured Value	Deviation [%]	Limit [%]
835	Sept. 14, 2012	Head	22.3	22.4	εr	41.50	41.440	-0.14	± 5
					σ	0.900	0.881	-2.11	± 5
835	Sept. 14, 2012	Body	22.3	22.4	εr	55.20	53.211	-3.60	± 5
					σ	0.970	0.968	-0.21	± 5
835	Sept. 17, 2012	Head	22.4	22.5	εr	41.50	42.441	2.27	± 5
					σ	0.900	0.880	-2.22	± 5
835	Sept. 17, 2012	Body	22.4	22.5	εr	55.20	53.503	-3.07	± 5
					σ	0.970	0.972	0.21	± 5
835	Sept. 16, 2012	Head	22.6	22.7	εr	41.50	40.890	-1.47	± 5
					σ	0.900	0.909	1.00	± 5
835	Sept. 16, 2012	Body	22.6	22.7	εr	55.20	53.204	-3.62	± 5
					σ	0.970	0.969	-0.10	± 5
1900	Sept. 15, 2012	Head	22.1	22.3	εr	40.00	40.483	1.21	± 5
					σ	1.400	1.432	2.29	± 5
1900	Sept. 15, 2012	Body	22.1	22.3	εr	53.30	51.618	-3.16	± 5
					σ	1.520	1.551	2.04	± 5
2450	Sept. 18, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	39.20	39.231	0.08	± 5
					σ	1.800	1.822	1.22	± 5
2450	Sept. 18, 2012	Body	22.2	22.4	εr	52.70	50.974	-3.28	± 5
					σ	1.950	1.997	2.41	± 5
5180	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	36.00	35.715	-0.79	± 5
					σ	4.636	4.633	-0.06	± 5
5200	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	36.00	35.618	-1.06	± 5
					σ	4.660	4.652	-0.17	± 5
5260	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	35.90	35.621	-0.78	± 5
					σ	4.720	4.767	1.00	± 5
5180	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	εr	49.04	47.446	-3.25	± 5
					σ	5.276	5.105	-3.24	± 5
5200	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	εr	49.00	47.343	-3.38	± 5
					σ	5.300	5.138	-3.06	± 5
5260	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	εr	48.90	47.373	-3.12	± 5
					σ	5.372	5.260	-2.08	± 5
5500	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	35.60	35.188	-1.16	± 5
					σ	4.960	5.046	1.73	± 5
5500	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	εr	48.60	46.935	-3.43	± 5
					σ	5.650	5.594	-0.99	± 5
5800	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	εr	35.30	34.572	-2.06	± 5
					σ	5.270	5.373	1.95	± 5
5800	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	εr	48.20	46.271	-4.00	± 5
					σ	6.000	5.976	-0.40	± 5

Tissue Verification Note

Note : The dielectronic parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent E5071C Dielectronic Probe Kit and Agilent Network Analyzer.

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies (per IEEE 1528 6.6.1.2). The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY software rounds to three significant digits. Probe calibration used within ±100 MHz of the test frequency in either 5.725 - 5.85 or 5.47-5.725 GHz is acceptable per KDB Publication 865664 since the design of the SAR probe supports the extended frequency, provided the DASY software version recommended is used for the tests, and the expanded calibration uncertainty (k=2) is less than or equal to 15% (See SAR probe calibration certificate for this information). The dielectric and conductivities measured are within 10% and 5% respectively of the target parameters specified in Supplement C 01-01.

Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification

1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
2) The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
4) The complex relative permittivity , for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2}\int_a^b\int_a^b\int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp\left[-j\omega r(\mu_0\varepsilon_r'\varepsilon_0)^{1/2}\right]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos\phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

9.2 Test System Validation

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the ± 10 % of the specifications at 835 MHz, 1900 MHz, 2450 MHz, 5200 MHz, 5500 MHz and 5800 MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

SYSTEM DIPOLE VALIDATION TARGET & MEASURED											
Freq. [MHz]	System Validation Kit	Date(s)	Liquid	Ambient Temp.[°C]	Liquid Temp.[°C]	Probe S/N	Input Power (mW)	1 W Target SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation [%]
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 14, 2012	Head	22.3	22.4	3866	250	9.40	2.29	9.16	-2.55
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 14, 2012	Body	22.3	22.4	3866	250	9.53	2.38	9.52	-0.10
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 17, 2012	Head	22.4	22.5	3866	250	9.40	2.30	9.20	-2.13
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 17, 2012	Body	22.4	22.5	3866	250	9.53	2.47	9.88	3.67
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 16, 2012	Head	22.6	22.7	3866	250	9.40	2.34	9.36	-0.43
835	D-835V2, S/N: 464	Sept. 16, 2012	Body	22.6	22.7	3866	250	9.53	2.39	9.56	0.31
1900	D-1900V2, S/N: 5d029	Sept. 15, 2012	Head	22.1	22.3	3866	250	38.4	9.29	37.16	-3.23
1900	D-1900V2, S/N: 5d029	Sept. 15, 2012	Body	22.1	22.3	3866	250	39.6	9.73	38.92	-1.72
2450	D-2450V2, S/N: 726	Sept. 18, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	3866	250	52.0	13.2	52.80	1.54
2450	D-2450V2, S/N: 726	Sept. 18, 2012	Body	22.2	22.4	3866	250	50.2	13.0	52.00	3.59
5200	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	3643	100	79.7	7.30	73.00	-8.41
5200	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	3643	100	72.8	6.69	66.90	-8.10
5500	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	3866	100	84.9	8.04	80.40	-5.30
5500	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	3866	100	77.3	7.90	79.00	2.20
5800	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 19, 2012	Head	22.2	22.4	3643	100	79.9	7.77	77.70	-2.75
5800	D-5GHzV2, S/N: 1103	Sept. 20, 2012	Body	22.5	22.6	3643	100	71.5	6.92	69.20	-3.22

Note1 : Validation was measured with input 100 mW, 250 mW and normalized to 1W.

Note2 : Per KDB Publication 865664, when a reference dipole is not defined within ±100MHz of the test frequency, the system verification may be conducted within ± 200 MHz of the center frequency of the measurement frequencies if the SAR probe calibration is valid and the same tissue-equivalent matter is used for verification and test measurements.

Note3 : To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.



Figure 9.1 Dipole Validation Test Setup

10. Multiple TRANSMITTERS SAR CONSIDERATIONS

The following procedures adopted from "FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters"v01r05 #648474 on September 2008 are applicable to handsets with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11 a/b/g and Bluetooth devices which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter.

	2.45	5.15-5.35	5.47-5.85	GHz
P_{Ref}	12	6	5	mW
Device output power should be rounded to the nearest mW to compare with values specified in this table				

Table 10.1 Output Power Thresholds for Unlicensed Transmitters

	Individual Transmitter	Simultaneous Transmission
Licensed Transmitters	Routine evaluation required	SAR not required: Unlicensed only ○ when stand-alone 1-g SAR is not required and antenna is ≥ 5 cm from other antennas Licensed & Unlicensed ○ when the sum of the 1-g SAR is < 1.6 W/kg for all simultaneous transmitting antennas ○ when SAR to peak location separation ratio of simultaneous transmitting antenna pair is < 0.3 SAR required: Licensed & Unlicensed antenna pairs with SAR to peak location separation ratio ≥ 0.3; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in stand-alone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition Note: simultaneous transmission exposure conditions for head and body can be different for different style phones; therefore, different test requirements may apply
Unlicensed Transmitters	When there is no simultaneous transmission – ○ output ≤ 60/f: SAR not required ○ output > 60/f: stand-alone SAR required When there is simultaneous transmission – Stand-alone SAR not required when ○ output ≤ $2 \cdot P_{Ref}$ and antenna is ≥ 5.0 cm from other antennas ○ output ≤ P_{Ref} and antenna is ≥ 2.5 cm from other antennas ○ output ≤ P_{Ref} and antenna is < 2.5 cm from other antennas, each with either output power ≤ P_{Ref} or 1-g SAR < 1.2 W/kg Otherwise stand-alone SAR is required When stand-alone SAR is required ○ test SAR on highest output channel for each wireless mode and exposure condition ○ if SAR for highest output channel is > 50% of SAR limit, evaluate all channels according to normal procedures	
Jaw, Mouth and Nose	Flat phantom SAR required ○ when measurement is required in tight regions of SAM and it is not feasible or the results can be questionable due to probe tilt, calibration, positioning and orientation issues ○ position rectangular and clam-shell phones according to flat phantom procedures and conduct SAR measurements for these specific locations	When simultaneous transmission SAR testing is required, contact the FCC Laboratory for interim guidance.

Table 10.2 SAR Evaluation Requirements for Cell phones with Multiple Transmitters

SAR Test Exclusions Applied

Since Wireless Router operations are not allowed by the chipset firmware using 5 GHz WIFI, only 2.4 GHz WIFI Hotspot SAR tests and combinations are considered for SAR with respect to Wireless Router configurations in KDB 941225 D06.

Per KDB Publication 648474, **2.4 and 5 GHz W-LAN SAR is required since**(FCC ID: JOYKYL21) :

The maximum average conducted power of 2.4 GHz WIFI is 14.36 dBm (27.290 mW)

The maximum average conducted power of 5 GHz WIFI is 12.63 dBm (18.323 mW)

The Maximum average conducted power of Bluetooth is 6.97 dBm (4.977 mW)

The Bluetooth / 2.4G W-LAN to main antenna separation distance is 111.1 mm. (See Section 14.2 Antenna Distance)

The 5.2 / 5.3 / 5.6 W-LAN to main antenna separation distance is 118.65 mm. (See Section 14.2 Antenna Distance)

- Note 1: unlicensed transmitters stand alone SAR is not required when following condition.
  - ➢ Output ≤ $2 \cdot P_{Ref}$, and antenna is ≥ 5.0 cm from other antennas

Therefore Bluetooth stand alone SAR is not required.

Therefore 2.4G W-LAN stand alone SAR is required.

Therefore 5G W-LAN stand alone SAR is required.

10.1 SAR for Simultaneous Transmission

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.323	0.140	0.463	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.194	0.140	0.334
	Right Touch	0.349	0.276	0.625		Right Touch	0.144	0.276	0.420
	Left Tilt	0.265	0.175	0.440		Left Tilt	0.031	0.175	0.206
	Right Tilt	0.251	0.236	0.487		Right Tilt	0.017	0.236	0.253
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.331	0.140	0.471	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.344	0.140	0.484
	Right Touch	0.353	0.276	0.629		Right Touch	0.357	0.276	0.633
	Left Tilt	0.266	0.175	0.441		Left Tilt	0.206	0.175	0.381
	Right Tilt	0.239	0.236	0.475		Right Tilt	0.249	0.236	0.485

Table 10.1 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Held to Ear Voice Call with 2.4 GHz W-LAN

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.323	0.048	0.371	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.194	0.048	0.242
	Right Touch	0.349	0.050	0.399		Right Touch	0.144	0.050	0.194
	Left Tilt	0.265	0.104	0.369		Left Tilt	0.031	0.104	0.135
	Right Tilt	0.251	0.094	0.345		Right Tilt	0.017	0.094	0.111
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.331	0.048	0.379	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.344	0.048	0.392
	Right Touch	0.353	0.050	0.403		Right Touch	0.357	0.050	0.407
	Left Tilt	0.266	0.104	0.370		Left Tilt	0.206	0.104	0.310
	Right Tilt	0.239	0.094	0.333		Right Tilt	0.249	0.094	0.343

Table 10.2 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Held to Ear Voice Call with 5.2 GHz W-LAN

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.323	0.062	0.385	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.194	0.062	0.256
	Right Touch	0.349	0.044	0.393		Right Touch	0.144	0.044	0.188
	Left Tilt	0.265	0.101	0.366		Left Tilt	0.031	0.101	0.132
	Right Tilt	0.251	0.089	0.340		Right Tilt	0.017	0.089	0.106
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.331	0.062	0.393	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.344	0.062	0.406
	Right Touch	0.353	0.044	0.397		Right Touch	0.357	0.044	0.401
	Left Tilt	0.266	0.101	0.367		Left Tilt	0.206	0.101	0.307
	Right Tilt	0.239	0.089	0.328		Right Tilt	0.249	0.089	0.338

Table 10.3 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Held to Ear Voice Call with 5.3 GHz W-LAN

SAR for Simultaneous Transmission(Continued)

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.323	0.059	0.382	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.194	0.059	0.253
	Right Touch	0.349	0.057	0.406		Right Touch	0.144	0.057	0.201
	Left Tilt	0.265	0.094	0.359		Left Tilt	0.031	0.094	0.125
	Right Tilt	0.251	0.091	0.342		Right Tilt	0.017	0.091	0.108
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Head SAR	Left Touch	0.331	0.059	0.390	Head SAR	Left Touch	0.344	0.059	0.403
	Right Touch	0.353	0.057	0.410		Right Touch	0.357	0.057	0.414
	Left Tilt	0.266	0.094	0.360		Left Tilt	0.206	0.094	0.300
	Right Tilt	0.239	0.091	0.330		Right Tilt	0.249	0.091	0.340

Table 10.4 Simultaneous Transmission Summation for Held to Ear Voice Call with 5.5 GHz W-LAN

SAR for Simultaneous Transmission(Continued)

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Rear	0.550	0.111	0.661	Body SAR	Rear	1.260	0.111	1.371
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Body SAR	Rear	0.647	0.111	0.758	Body SAR	Rear	0.747	0.111	0.858

Table 10.5 Simultaneous Transmission Body-Worn With 2.4 GHz W-LAN – 1.0 cm

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Rear	0.550	0.069	0.619	Body SAR	Rear	1.260	0.069	1.329
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.2G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Body SAR	Rear	0.647	0.069	0.716	Body SAR	Rear	0.747	0.069	0.816

Table 10.6 Simultaneous Transmission Body-Worn With 5.2 GHz W-LAN – 1.0 cm

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Rear	0.550	0.061	0.611	Body SAR	Rear	1.260	0.061	1.321
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.3G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Body SAR	Rear	0.647	0.061	0.708	Body SAR	Rear	0.747	0.061	0.808

Table 10.7 Simultaneous Transmission Body-Worn With 5.3 GHz W-LAN – 1.0 cm

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Rear	0.550	0.055	0.605	Body SAR	Rear	1.260	0.055	1.315
Simult TX	**Configuration**	**WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)**	**5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**	**Simult TX**	**Configuration**	**CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)**	**5.5G W-LAN (802.11a) SAR (W/kg)**	**Σ SAR (W/kg)**
Body SAR	Rear	0.647	0.055	0.702	Body SAR	Rear	0.747	0.055	0.802

Table 10.8 Simultaneous Transmission Body-Worn With 5.5 GHz W-LAN – 1.0 cm

SAR for Simultaneous Transmission(Continued)

Simult TX	Configuration	GSM850 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	PCS1900 SAR (W/kg)	2.4G W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Top	-	0.100	0.100	Body SAR	Top	-	0.100	0.100
	Bottom	0.078	-	0.078		Bottom	0.989	-	0.989
	Front	0.371	0.106	0.477		Front	0.569	0.106	0.675
	Rear	0.550	0.111	0.661		Rear	1.260	0.111	1.371
	Right	0.507	-	0.507		Right	0.042	-	0.042
	Left	0.229	0.063	0.292		Left	0.107	0.063	0.170

Simult TX	Configuration	WCDMA 850 SAR (W/kg)	W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult TX	Configuration	CDMA Cellular SAR (W/kg)	W-LAN (802.11b) SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Top	-	0.100	0.100	Body SAR	Top	-	0.100	0.100
	Bottom	0.072	-	0.072		Bottom	0.068	-	0.068
	Front	0.444	0.106	0.550		Front	0.517	0.106	0.623
	Rear	0.647	0.111	0.758		Rear	0.747	0.111	0.858
	Right	0.598	-	0.598		Right	0.709	-	0.709
	Left	0.253	0.063	0.316		Left	0.302	0.063	0.365

Table 10.9 Simultaneous Transmission With 2.4 GHz W-LAN Hotspot – 1.0 cm

Note1 : "-", SAR results above shown in the table are zero for summation purposes. SAR was not required to be measured due to exclusions mentioned in Section "14.1 SAR Test Configuration".
Note2 : Body-Worn SAR : The Rear side hotspot SAR test configurations can be considered for body-worn accessory SAR. Although body-worn accessory conditions are typically for voice configurations, the GPRS slot frame averaged output power was more conservative and was included for the body-worn accessory SAR assessment.
The above numerical summed SAR was below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit. Therefore, no volumetric SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 648474.

10.2 Scaling SAR table for Output Power Tolerance (The worst case)

- HEAD Configuration

Band	MAX PWR (dBm) w/ + Torelance	MAX PWR(dBm) When SAR Test	MAX SAR Postion	MS Channel	Linear Scaling Factor	MAX Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	MAX Scaled 1g SAR (W/kg)	MAX WLAN 1g SAR (W/kg) @Same EUT Position	Σ SAR (W/Kg)
GSM850	32.00	31.14	Right Touch	190	1.219	0.349	**0.425**	0.276	**0.701**
PCS1900	30.00	28.99	Left Touch	190	1.262	0.194	**0.245**	0.140	**0.385**
CDMA850	23.50	22.88	Right Touch	190	1.153	0.357	**0.412**	0.276	**0.688**
WCDMA850	23.50	22.14	Right Touch	190	1.368	0.353	**0.483**	0.276	**0.759**

- BODY Configuration

Band	MAX PWR (dBm) w/ + Torelance	MAX PWR(dBm) When SAR Test	MAX SAR Postion	MS Channel	Linear Scaling factor	MAX Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	MAX Scaled 1g SAR (W/kg)	MAX WLAN 1g SAR (W/kg) @Same EUT Position	Σ SAR (W/Kg)
GSM850	32.00	31.12	Rear	190	1.225	0.550	**0.674**	0.111	**0.785**
PCS1900	27.00	26.36	Rear	810	1.159	1.260	**1.460**	0.111	**1.571**
CDMA850	23.50	22.89	Rear	384	1.151	0.747	**0.860**	0.111	**0.971**
WCDMA850	23.50	22.14	Raer	4183	1.368	0.647	**0.885**	0.111	**0.996**

10.3 Description of Volume Scan

In order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, volume scans are required.
In free space, these assessments can help to gain more information on the performance of the DUT (e.g., to determine the degree of symmetry of the filed radiated from a horn antenna).

For dosimetric application, it is necessary to assess the peak spatial SAR value averaged over a volume. For this purpose, fine resolution volume scans need to be performed at the peak SAR location(s) determined during the Area Scan. In DASY4 software these scans are called Zoom Scan jobs. The default Zoom Scan measures 7 x 7 x 7 points with a step size of 5 mm. Faster evaluations can be achieved with a reduced number of measurement points. For example, a Zoom Scan with a grid step size in x- and y-directions of 7.5 mm (5 x 5 x 7cube configuration) reduces the measurement time to almost half with only 1-2% difference in SAR reading compared to the fine-resolution 7 x 7 x 7 scan.

For SAR evaluations with larger spatial extensions (e.g., within a complete phantom head section) a Volume Scan job should be used.

The Volume Scan job is compatible with DASY4 SAR, PRO and NEO system levels. Volume Scans are used to assess peak SAR and averaged SAR measurement in largely extended 3-dimensional volumes within any phantom. This measurement does not need any previous area scan. The grid can be anchored to a user specific point or to the current probe location With an Administrator access mode, the grid can be optionally graded in Z-direction, whereby the smallest grid step and the grading ratio can be defined. Chosen grading ratio is automatically adjusted so that the desired extent in Z-direction is fully covered.



Under the Report page, the quantity to be evaluated for an instant report may be selected. This quantity can be: field magnitude, SAR, interpolated SAR or averaged SAR.

10.4 SAR Assessment

Alternative1

- Evaluation Method
  - Maximum summed SAR Value
- Description
  - Easiest and most conservative method to determine the upper limit of multi-band SAR
  - Example
    - F1's SAR Value is 0.9
    - F2's SAR Value is 1.3
    - Multi-band SAR Value is 0.9 + 1.3 = 2.2

Alternative2

- Evaluation Method
  - Selection of highest assessed maximum SAR Value
- Description
  - Accurate estimate of the multi-band SAR
  - Example
    - F1's SAR Value is 0.9
    - F2's SAR Value is 1.3
    - Multi-band SAR Value is 1.3

Alternative3

- Evaluation Method
  - Combining existing Area and Zoom Scan results by Post-Processor
- Description
  - Rapid way of obtaining the multi-band SAR. It is always applicable.
  - Example
    - F1's SAR Value is 0.9
    - F2's SAR Value is 1.3
    - Combining results by Post-Processor

Alternative4

- Evaluation Method
  - Combining existing Area and Zoom Scan results by Post-Processor
- Description
  - The most accurate way of assessing the multi-band SAR and always applicable.
  - Example
    - F1's SAR Value is 0.9
    - F2's SAR Value is 1.3
    - Combining results by Post-Processor



11. Configuring 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters for SAR Measurement

SAR Testing with IEEE 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters

Per KDB publication 248227, normal network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 a/b/g/n transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 for more details.

General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use. SAR for devices with switched diversity should be measured with only one antenna transmitting at a time during each SAR measurement, according to a fixed modulation and data rate. The same data pattern should be sued for all measurements.

Frequency Channel Configurations

802.11 a/b/g/n operating modes are tested independently according to the service requirements in each frequency band. 802.11 b/g/n modes are tested on channels 1, 6 and 11. 802.11a is tested for UNII operations on channels 36 and 48 in the 5.15-5.25 GHz band; channels 52 and 64 in the 5.25-5.35 GHz band; channels 104, 116 and 136 in the 5.470-5.725 GHz band; and channels 149 and 161 in the 5.8 GHz band. When 5.8 GHz §15.247 is also available, channels 149, 157 and 165 should be tested instead of the UNII channels. These are referred to as the “default test channels”. For 2.4 GHz, 802.11g/n modes were evaluated only if the output power was 0.25 dB higher than the 802.11b mode. For 5 GHz, 802.11n modes were evaluated only if the output power was 0.25 dB higher than the 802.11a mode. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.

Table 11.1 802.11 Test channels per FCC Requirements

Mode		GHz	Channel	Turbo Channel	“Default Test Channels”			
					§15.247		UNII	
					802.11b	802.11g		
802.11 b/g		2.412	1*		√	∇		
		2.437	6	6	√	∇		
		2.462	11*		√	∇		
802.11a	UNII	5.18	36				√	
		5.20	40	42 (5.21 GHz)				*
		5.22	44					*
		5.24	48	50 (5.25 GHz)			√	
		5.26	52				√	
		5.28	56	58 (5.29 GHz)				*
		5.30	60					*
		5.32	64				√	
		5.500	100	Unknown				*
		5.520	104				√	
		5.540	108					*
		5.560	112					*
		5.580	116				√	
		5.600	120					*
		5.620	124				√	
		5.640	128					*
		5.660	132					*
		5.680	136				√	
		5.700	140					*
	UNII or §15.247	5.745	149		√		√	
		5.765	153	152 (5.76 GHz)		*		*
		5.785	157		√			*
		5.805	161	160 (5.80 GHz)		*	√	
	§15.247	5.825	165		√			

12. SAR Measurement Conditions for UMTS

The following procedures were followed according to FCC "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices v02", Oct 2007.

Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to the procedures described in section 5.2 of 3GPP TS 34.121, using the appropriate RMC or AMR with TPC (transmit power control) set to all "1's" for WCDMA/HSDPA or applying the required inner loop power control procedures to maintain maximum output power while HSUPA is active. Results for all applicable physical channel configurations (DPCCH, DPDCHn and spreading codes, HSDPA, HSPA) should be tabulated in the SAR report. All configurations that are not supported by the DUT or cannot be measured due to technical or equipment limitations should be clearly identified.

Head SAR Measurements

SAR for head exposure configurations in voice mode is measured using a 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1's". SAR in AMR configurations is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel for 12.2 kbps AMR is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in 12.2 kbps AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signaling radio bearer) using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel.

Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations in voice and data modes is measured using a 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1's". SAR for other spreading codes and multiple DPDCHn, when supported by the DUT, are not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel, for each spreading code and DPDCHn configuration, are less than ¼ dB higher than those measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel with an applicable RMC configuration for the corresponding spreading code or DPDCHn using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR with 12.2 kbps RMC. When more than 2 DPDCHn are supported by the DUT, it may be necessary to configure additional DPDCHn for a DUT using FTM (Factory Test Mode) or other chipset based test approaches with parameters similar to those used in 384 kbps and 768 kbps RMC.

Handsets with Release 5 HSDPA

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSDPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured without HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is ≤ 75% of the SAR limit. Otherwise, SAR is measured for HSDPA, using the additional body SAR procedures in the "Release 5 HSDPA Data Devices" section of this document, on the maximum output channel with the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel. Handsets with both HSDPA and HSUPA should be tested according to Release 6 HSPA test procedures.

Handsets with Release 6 HSPA (HSDPA/HSUPA)

Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSUPA/HSDPA active is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured without HSUPA/HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is ≤ 75% of the SAR limit. Otherwise, SAR is measured for HSPA using the additional body SAR procedures in the "Release 6 HSPA Data Devices" section of this document, on the maximum output channel with the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel. When VOIP is applicable for head exposure in HSPA, SAR is not required when the maximum output of each RF channel with HSPA is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured using 12.2 kbps RMC; otherwise, the same HSPA configuration used for body measurements should be tested for head exposure.

13. SAR Measurement Conditions for CDMA2000

The following procedures were followed according to FCC "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices v02", Oct 2007.

Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to procedures in section 4.4.5.2 of 3GPP2 C.S0011/TIA-98-E. Results for at least steps 3, 4 and 10 of the power measurement procedures should be tabulated in the SAR report. Steps 3 and 4 should be measured using SO55 with power control bits in "All Up" condition. TDSO / SO32 may be used instead of SO55 for step 4. Step 10 should be measured using TDSO / SO32 with power control bits in the "Bits Hold" condition (i.e. alternative Up/Down Bits). All power measurements defined in C.S0011/TIA-98-E that are inapplicable to the DUT or cannot be measured due to technical or equipment limitations should be clearly identified in the test report.

Head SAR Measurements

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured to transmit at full rate using Loopback Service Option SO55. SAR for RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1 using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured using TDSO / SO32, to transmit at full rate on FCH with all other code channels disabled. SAR for multiple code channels (FCH + SCHn) is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured with FCH only. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel (FCH + SCHn) with FCH at full rate and SCH0 enabled at 9600 bps, using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR with FCH only for that channel.8 When multiple code channels are enabled, the DUT output may shift by more than 0.5 dB and lead to higher SAR drifts and SCH dropouts.

Body SAR in RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3.10 Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1; with Loopback Service Option SO55, at full rate, using the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

14. SAR CONSIDERATIONS

14.1 SAR Test Configurations

See Figure 14.1 for EUT antenna locations to determine the wireless router edges required for SAR testing based on FCC KDB 941225 D06. Certain EUT edges were not required to be evaluated for Wireless Router SAR if the transmitting antenna was greater than 2.5 cm from the edge of the device to be considered for RF exposure evaluation.

Mode	Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing					
	Top	Bottom	Front	Rear	Right	Left
GSM850	X	O	O	O	O	O
WCDMA850	X	O	O	O	O	O
PCS1900	X	O	O	O	O	O
CDMA Cellular	X	O	O	O	O	O
2.4G W-LAN(802.11b/g/n)	O	X	O	O	X	O

Table 14.1 Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing

Note : When Hotspot is enabled, all 5 GHz bands are disabled.

14.2 Antenna Distance



Figure 14.1 Identification of Sides for SAR Testing (Rear Side View)

15. SAR TEST SUMMARY AND POWER TABLE

See Measurement Result Data Pages

Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal

The EUT was placed into simulated call mode (GSM850, PCS1900, WCDMA850, CDMA Cellular and W-LAN (802.11a/b)) using manufacturers test codes. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR. When test modes are not available or inappropriate for testing a EUT, the actual transmission is activated through a base station simulator or similar equipment. See data pages for actual procedure used in measurement.

Also this EUT was tested WLAN test program to control DUT. The channel was selected at Low, Middle, and High channel. The output power level was set to rated max output power using the WLAN test program. This output power level was measured and recorded on the report as a begin power.

Device Test Conditions

The EUT is battery operated. Each SAR measurement was taken with a fully charged battery.
In order to verify that the device was tested at full power, conducted output power measurements were performed before and after each SAR measurement to confirm the output power. If a conducted power deviation of more than 5% occurred, the test was repeated.

Max. Burst-Averaged Output Power Table for KYL21 (GSM)

Band	Channel	Test Result(dBm)								
		Voice	GPRS/EDGE (GMSK) Data				EDGE(8-PSK) Data			
		GSM CS 1 Slot	GPRS 1 TX Slot	GPRS 2 TX Slot	GPRS 3 TX Slot	GPRS 4 TX Slot	EDGE 1TX Slot	EDGE 2TX Slot	EDGE 3TX Slot	EDGE 4TX Slot
GSM 850	128	31.44	31.44	28.15	26.50	25.02	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	190	31.14	31.12	28.20	26.44	25.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	251	31.38	31.37	28.26	26.45	25.13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GSM 1900	512	29.08	29.07	26.49	24.73	23.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	661	28.99	28.97	26.44	24.61	23.34	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	810	28.98	28.97	26.36	24.42	23.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 15.1 The power was measured E5515C

Calculated Max Frame-Averaged Output Table for KYL21 (GSM)

Band	Channel	Test Result(dBm)								
		Voice	GPRS/EDGE (GMSK) Data				EDGE(8-PSK) Data			
		GSM CS 1 Slot	GPRS 1 TX Slot	GPRS 2 TX Slot	GPRS 3 TX Slot	GPRS 4 TX Slot	EDGE 1TX Slot	EDGE 2TX Slot	EDGE 3TX Slot	EDGE 4TX Slot
GSM 850	128	22.41	22.41	22.13	22.24	22.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	190	22.11	22.09	22.18	22.18	21.99	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	251	22.35	22.34	22.24	22.19	22.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GSM 1900	512	20.05	20.04	20.47	20.47	20.09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	661	19.96	19.94	20.42	20.35	20.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	810	19.95	19.94	20.34	20.16	20.29	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

1. Both burst-averaged and calculated frame-averaged powers are included. Frame-averaged power was calculated from the measured burst-averaged power by converting the slot powers into linear units and calculating the energy over 8 timeslots.
2. The bolded GPRS modes were selected according to the highest frame-averaged output power table according to KDB 941225 D03.
3. GPRS(GMSK) output powers were measured with CS1.

GSM Class: B
GPRS Multislot class: 33 (max 4 TX Uplink slots)
DTM Multislot Class: N/A

Max. Power Output Table for KYL21 (WCDMA - HSDPA)

3GPP Release Version	Mode		Power (dBm)			MPR	B_c	$β_d$	$B_c/β_d$	Sub-Test
	Channel		4132	4183	4233					
99	WCDMA	RMC	22.06	22.14	21.85	-	-	-	-	-
		ARM	22.03	22.13	21.85	-	-	-	-	-
5	HSDPA (Cellular)		22.00	22.09	21.81	0	2/15	15/15	2/15	1
5			21.97	22.07	21.79	0	12/15	15/15	12/15	2
5			21.37	21.48	21.22	0.5	15/15	8/15	15/8	3
5			21.35	21.47	21.19	0.5	15/15	4/15	15/4	4
-	Channel		9262	9400	9538	-	-	-	-	-
99	WCDMA	RMC	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	-	-
		ARM	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	-	
5	HSDPA (PCS)		N/A	N/A	N/A	0	2/15	15/15	2/15	1
5			N/A	N/A	N/A	0	12/15	15/15	12/15	2
5			N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5	15/15	8/15	15/8	3
5			N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5	15/15	4/15	15/4	4

Table 15.2 The power was measured E5515C

Max. Power Output Table for KYL21 (CDMA Cellular)

Band	Channel	Frequency	SO55 [dBm]	SO55 [dBm]	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	1x EVDO Rev. 0 [dBm]	1x EVDO Rev. A [dBm]
	F-RC	MHz	RC1	RC3	FCH+SCH	FCH	(RTAP)	(RETAP)
Cellular	1013	824.7	22.44	22.50	22.51	22.53	N/A	N/A
	384	836.52	22.83	22.88	22.88	22.89	N/A	N/A
	777	848.31	22.65	22.67	22.67	22.68	N/A	N/A

Table 15.4 The power was measured E5515C

CDMA Cellular Note

Note 1: Per KDB Publication 941225 D01:

- Head SAR was tested with SO55 RC3. SO55 RC1 was not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB than the SO55 RC3 powers.
- Body SAR was tested with 1xRTT with TDSO / SO32 FCH Only. Ev-Do and TDSO / SO32 FCH + SCH SAR tests were not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the TDSO / SO32 FCH only powers.
- EVDO not supported about this device.

Max. Power Output Table for KYL21 (2.4G W-LAN)

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Channel No.	Output Power	
			dBm	mW
802.11b	**2412**	**1**	**14.36**	**27.290**
	2437	6	13.96	24.889
	2462	11	13.87	24.378
802.11g	2412	1	11.99	15.812
	2437	6	11.98	15.776
	2462	11	11.89	15.453
802.11n (HT20)	2412	1	12.01	15.885
	2437	6	12.06	16.069
	2462	11	11.69	14.757

Table 15.5 The power was measured the Average Power Meter

Max. Power Output Table for KYL21 (5 G W-LAN)

Mode	Band	Frequency (MHz)	Channel No.	Output Power	
				dBm	mW
802.11a	5.2 G	**5180**	**36**	**12.63**	**18.323**
		5200	40	12.55	17.989
		5240	48	12.54	17.947
	5.3 G	**5260**	**52**	**12.63**	**18.323**
		5300	60	12.28	16.904
		5320	64	12.37	17.258
	5.5 G	**5500**	**100**	**12.60**	**18.197**
		5580	116	12.49	17.742
		5700	140	12.24	16.749
802.11n (HT20)	5.2 G	5180	36	10.54	11.324
		5200	40	10.36	10.864
		5240	48	10.37	10.889
	5.3 G	5260	52	10.36	10.864
		5300	60	10.24	10.568
		5320	64	10.14	10.328
	5.5 G	5500	100	10.50	11.220
		5580	116	10.28	10.666
		5700	140	10.18	10.423
802.11n (HT20)	5.2 G	5190	38	8.42	6.950
		5230	46	8.20	6.607
	5.3 G	5270	54	8.00	6.310
		5310	62	8.20	6.607
	5.5 G	5510	102	8.07	6.412
		5550	110	8.13	6.501
		5670	134	7.69	5.875

Table 15.6 The power was measured the Average Power Meter & Spectrum Analyzer(Note 3)

Max. Power Output Table for KYL21 (Bluetooth)

channel	Frequency	Output Power(1Mbps)		Output power (2Mbps)		Output power (3Mbps)		Output power (LE)	
	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)	(dBm)	(mW)	(dBm)	(mW)	(dBm)	(mW)
Low	2402	6.23	4.198	4.73	2.972	4.74	2.979	-1.72	0.673
Mid	**2441**	**6.97**	**4.977**	5.51	3.556	5.52	3.565	-1.46	0.714
High	2480	6.20	4.169	4.75	2.985	4.77	2.999	-1.76	0.667

Table 15.7 The power was measured the Average Power Meter

W-LAN Notes

Note 1: Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes:

- For 2.4 GHz, highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate for IEEE 802.11b were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11g/n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11b mode.
- For 5 GHz, highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate for IEEE 802.11a were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11a mode.
- When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.
- The underlined data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.

Note 2 : Per FCC KDB Publication 443999 and RSS-210 A9.2(3), transmission on channels which overlap the 5600-5650 MHz is prohibited as a client. This device does not transmit any beacons or initiate any transmissions in 5.3 and 5.5 GHz Band. – indicates default channels per KDB Publication 248227. When the adjacent channels are higher in power then the default channels, these “required channels” are considered instead of the default channels for SAR testing.

Note 3 : UNII Bands(5180 MHz ~ 5700 MHz) were tested by spectrum analyzer. Please refer to the Test Report(NII) for determine the detailed power. Also 2.4 GHz W-LAN and 5.8 GHz Band W-LAN were tested by average power meter.

W-LAN and Bluetooth Power Measurement Setup



GSM, WCDMA and CDMA Power Measurement Setup



16. SAR TEST DATA RESULTS

16.1 Measurement Results (GSM850 Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.6	190(Mid)	GSM850	31.14	0.160	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	0.323
836.6	190(Mid)	GSM850	31.14	0.010	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	0.349
836.6	190(Mid)	GSM850	31.14	0.020	Standard	Left Tilt 15˚	Internal	0.265
836.6	190(Mid)	GSM850	31.14	-0.030	Standard	Right Tilt 15˚	Internal	0.251
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu. Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

16.2 Measurement Results (PCS1900 Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
1880.0	661(Mid)	PCS1900	28.99	-0.170	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	0.194
1880.0	661(Mid)	PCS1900	28.99	0.080	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	0.144
1880.0	661(Mid)	PCS1900	28.99	-0.090	Standard	Left Tilt 15°	Internal	0.031
1880.0	661(Mid)	PCS1900	28.99	-0.180	Standard	Right Tilt 15°	Internal	0.017
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu. Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

16.3 Measurement Results (WCDMA 850 Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	0.100	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	0.331
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	-0.140	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	0.353
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	-0.150	Standard	Left Tilt 15˚	Internal	0.266
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	0.090	Standard	Right Tilt 15˚	Internal	0.239
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/ General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □ Manu.Test Codes ▣BaseStation Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. WCDMA mode was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps configured in Test Loop Mode 1.

16.4 Measurement Results (CDMA Cellular Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.88	-0.030	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	0.344
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.88	0.160	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	0.357
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.88	0.090	Standard	Left Tilt 15°	Internal	0.206
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.88	0.100	Standard	Right Tilt 15°	Internal	0.249
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □ Manu.Test Codes ▣BaseStation Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. Head SAR was tested with SO55 RC3. SO55 RC1 was not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB than the SO55 RC3 powers.

16.5 Measurement Results (802.11b Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	-0.090	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	1 Mbps	0.140
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	0.060	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	1 Mbps	0.276
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	-0.170	Standard	Left Tilt 15˚	Internal	1 Mbps	0.175
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	-0.080	Standard	Right Tilt 15˚	Internal	1 Mbps	0.236
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣ Manu. Test Codes □ Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 2.4 G WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output power were not greater than 0.25 dB than that of the corresponding channel in the lowest data rate IEEE 802.11b mode.
10. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.

16.6 Measurement Results (802.11a - 5.2 G Band Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
5180	36	802.11a	12.63	-0.120	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.048
5180	36	802.11a	12.63	-0.060	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.050
5180	36	802.11a	12.63	0.020	Standard	Left Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.104
5180	36	802.11a	12.63	-0.130	Standard	Right Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.094
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Head** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣Manu. Test Codes □ Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 5 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11a. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11a mode.
10. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.

16.7 Measurement Results (802.11a - 5.3 G Band Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
5260	52	802.11a	12.63	0.130	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.062
5260	52	802.11a	12.63	-0.200	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.044
5260	52	802.11a	12.63	0.090	Standard	Left Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.101
5260	52	802.11a	12.63	0.020	Standard	Right Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.089
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure						**Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣Manu. Test Codes □ Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 5 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11a. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11a mode.
10. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.

16.8 Measurement Results (802.11a - 5.5 G Band Head SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Battery	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
5500	100	802.11a	12.60	0.190	Standard	Left Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.059
5500	100	802.11a	12.60	0.080	Standard	Right Touch	Internal	6 Mbps	0.057
5500	100	802.11a	12.60	-0.120	Standard	Left Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.094
5500	100	802.11a	12.60	-0.180	Standard	Right Tilt 15°	Internal	6 Mbps	0.091
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure						**Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣ Manu. Test Codes □ Base Station Simulator
6. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
7. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
8. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
9. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 5 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11a. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11a mode.
10. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.

16.9 Measurement Results (GSM850 GPRS Hotspot Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	31.12	-0.020	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.078
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	31.12	0.070	Front	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.371
836.6	190(Mid)	GSM850	31.14	0.070	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.542
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	31.12	0.060	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.550
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	28.20	-0.200	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.490
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 3 Tx	26.44	0.190	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.465
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 4 Tx	25.00	-0.130	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.440
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	31.12	-0.020	Right	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.507
836.6	190(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	31.12	-0.010	Left	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.229
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005 – SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu. Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
10. Top was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 14.1).

16.10 Measurement Results (PCS1900 GPRS Hotspot Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
1850.2	512(Low)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.49	-0.060	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.726
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.44	-0.090	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.871
1909.8	810(High)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.36	-0.060	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.989
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.44	-0.150	Front	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.569
1850.2	512(Low)	PCS1900	29.08	-0.050	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.991
1880.0	661(Mid)	PCS1900	28.99	-0.050	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.060
1909.8	810(High)	PCS1900	28.98	0.060	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.160
1850.2	512(Low)	GPRS 1 Tx	29.07	-0.020	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.999
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 1 Tx	28.97	-0.020	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.070
1909.8	810(High)	GPRS 1 Tx	28.97	0.000	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.170
1850.2	512(Low)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.49	0.080	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.100
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.44	0.070	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.220
1909.8	810(High)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.36	0.160	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.260
1850.2	512(Low)	GPRS 3 Tx	24.73	-0.010	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.020
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 3 Tx	24.61	-0.050	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.200
1909.8	810(High)	GPRS 3 Tx	24.42	0.020	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.210
1850.2	512(Low)	GPRS 4 Tx	23.10	-0.150	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.060
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 4 Tx	23.34	0.110	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.120
1909.8	810(High)	GPRS 4 Tx	23.30	-0.060	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1.220
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.44	0.100	Right	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.042
1880.0	661(Mid)	GPRS 2 Tx	26.44	0.090	Left	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.107
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure						**Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:
1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu. Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
10. Top was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 14.1).

16.11 Measurement Results (WCDMA 850 Hotspot Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	0.190	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.072
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	-0.090	Front	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.444
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	-0.150	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.647
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	0.060	Right	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.598
836.6	4183(Mid)	WCDMA 850	22.14	-0.170	Left	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.253
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/ General Population Exposure						**Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu.Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
10. WCDMA mode was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps configured in Test Loop Mode 1.
11. Body SAR is not required for handsets with HSDPA capabilities when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured without HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is ≤ 75% of the SAR limit.
12. Top was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 14.1).

16.12 Measurement Results (CDMA Cellular Hotspot Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch							
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.89	0.000	Bottom	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.068
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.89	-0.100	Front	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.517
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.89	0.100	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.747
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.89	0.060	Right	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.709
836.52	384	CDMA Cellular	22.89	-0.200	Left	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	0.302
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/ General Population Exposure						**Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram		

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On □Manu.Test Codes ▣Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
10. Body SAR was tested with 1xRTT with TDSO / SO32 FCH Only. Ev-Do and TDSO / SO32 FCH + SCH SAR tests were not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the TDSO / SO32 FCH only powers.
11. Top was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 14.1).

16.13 Measurement Results (802.11b Hotspot Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	0.040	Top	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1 Mbps	0.100
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	0.080	Front	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1 Mbps	0.106
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	-0.140	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1 Mbps	0.111
2412	1(Low)	802.11b	14.36	-0.060	Left	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	1 Mbps	0.063
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣Manu. Test Codes □Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
10. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 2.4 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output power were not greater than 0.25 dB than that of the corresponding channel in the lowest data rate IEEE 802.11b mode.
11. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.
12. Bottom and Right were not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5 cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 14.1).

16.14 Measurement Results (802.11a - 5 G Band Body SAR)

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin Power (dBm)	Drift Power (dB)	Configuration	Phantom Position	Antenna Type	Data Rate	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch								
5180	36	802.11a	12.63	0.000	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	6 Mbps	0.069
5260	52	802.11a	12.63	0.000	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	6 Mbps	0.061
5500	100	802.11a	12.60	0.000	Rear	1.0 cm without Holster	Internal	6 Mbps	0.055
ANSI / IEEE C95.1-2005– SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population Exposure**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram			

NOTE:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-body position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Prior to testing the conducted output power was measured.
4. The EUT is tested 2nd hot-spot peak, if it is less than 2dB below the highest peak.
5. Battery is fully charged for all readings.
6. Test Signal Call Mode □ Continuous Tx On ▣Manu. Test Codes □Base Station Simulator
7. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
8. Liquid tissue depth is 15.0cm.±0.1. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
9. Justification for reduced test configurations: per FCC/OET Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (Left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
11. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 5 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11a. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11a mode.
12. Per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06, when the same wireless modes and device transmission configurations are required for body-worn accessories and hotspot mode, it is not necessary to additionally test body-worn accessory SAR for the same device orientation. Therefore, the hotspot data for the back side configuration additionally shows body-worn compliance at the same distance.
13. When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.
14. When Hotspot is enabled, all 5 GHz bands are disabled.

17. CONCLUSION

Measurement Conclusion

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under the worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s)tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role impossible biological effect are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease).

Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

18. REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.

[2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, April 2006.

[3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.

[4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01),Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.

[5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 — IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.

[6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb,.1995.

[7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.

[8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.

[9] K. Polovċ, T.Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids. Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.

[11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10,Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.

[12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.

[13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bio electromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.

[14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

[15] W. Gander, Computer mathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.

[18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Pre standard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10 kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster , ETH, Eidgen Őssische Technische Technische Hoschschule Zűrich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

[21] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices v02, October 2007

[22] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11 a/b/g KDB Publication 248227

[23] Guidance PBA for 3GPP R6 HSPA v02r01, December 2009

[24]SAR Test Reduction GSM GPRS EDGE vo1, December 2008

[25]SAR for GSM E GPRS Dual Xfer Mode v01, January 2010

[26]SAR for LTE Devices v01, December 2010

[27] FCC Hot Spot SAR v01 KDB Publication 941225 D06, April 2011

[28] UMPC Mini Tablet Devices v01, April 2011

[29] FCC SAR Considerations for Cell Phones with Multiple Transmitters v01r05 #648474, Sept 2008

[30] FCC SAR Polcy Handsts Multi Xmiter Ant v01r01 #648474, Sept 2008

[31] Handset Wireless Battery Chargers v01r01 #648474, March 2012

[32] 447498 D01 Mobile Portable RF Exposure v04, Published on: Nov 13 2009

[33] 447498 D02 SAR Procedures for Dongle Xmtr v02, Published on: Nov 13 2009

[34] 615223 D01 802 16e WiMax SAR Guidance v01, Nov 13, 2009

[35] FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 - 6 GHz, KDB Publication 865664

[36] FCC Application Note for SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Consideration for Measurements at

150 MHz – 3 GHz, KDB Publication 450824