Report No: T140819D10-SF

### **ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992**

in accordance with the requirements of FCC Report and Order: ET Docket 93-62



### **FCC TEST REPORT**

For

Handheld Terminal (WIFI & BT)

**Trade Name: ZEBEX** 

Model: Z-2170 plus; Z-2171 plus; Z-2172 plus

Issued by

ZEBEX INDUSTRIES INC. B1F.-1, No. 207, Sec. 3, Beixin Rd, Xindian Dist, New Taipei City 23143, Taiwan

Issued by

Compliance Certification Services Inc.
No.11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Dist.,
New Taipei City 24891,
Taiwan. (R.O.C.)
http://www.ccsrf.com

service@ccsrf.com
Issued Date: 2014/09/22



**Note:** This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document.

**Revision History** 

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Effect Page	Revised By
00	2014/09/22	Initial Issue	ALL	Peter Chen
01	2014/10/06	Add 10g SAR limit, 10g SAR test Results, 10g SAR Summary of Highest SAR Values.	5,26,34,35	Peter Chen

Page 2 Rev. 01

# **Table of Contents**

1	Certi	ificate of Compliance (SAR Evaluation)	5
2	Desc	ription of Equipment Under Test	6
3	Requ	uirements for Compliance Testing Defined	7
	3.1	Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined by the FCC	7
4	Dosi	metric Assessment System	8
	4.1	Measurement System Diagram	<u></u>
	4.2	System Components	10
5	Eval	uation Procedures	13
6	SAR	Measurement Procedures	15
	6.1	Normal SAR Test Procedure	15
7	Devi	ce Under Test	17
	7.1	Band Interface	17
	7.2	Simultaneous Transmission	18
8	Sum	mary of SAR Test Exclusion Configurations	19
	8.1	Standalone SAR Test Exclusion Calculations	19
	8.1.1	SAR Exclusion Calculations for Wi-Fi Antenna < 50mm from the User	20
	8.1.2	SAR Exclusion Calculations for Wi-Fi Antenna > 50mm from the User	21
	8.2	Required Test Configuration	22
	8.2.1	SAR Test Configuration	24
9	Mea	surement Uncertainty	25
10	Expo	osure Limit	26
11	Tissu	ue Dielectric Properties	27
	11.1	Test Liquid Confirmation	27
	11.2	Typical Composition of Ingredients for Liquid Tissue Phantoms	28
	11.3	Simulating Liquids Parameter Check Results	29
12	Syste	em Performance Check	30
	12.1	System Performance Check Results	31
13	RF O	utput Power Measurement	32
	13.1	Wi-Fi (2.4 GHz Band)	32
	13.2	Bluetooth	33
14	SAR	Measurements Results	34
	14.1	Summary of Highest SAR Values	35
15	Equi	pment List & Calibration Status	36
16	Facil	ities	37
17		rence	

18	Attachments	38

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 1 Certificate of Compliance (SAR Evaluation)

**Applicant** ZEBEX INDUSTRIES INC.

B1F.-1, No. 207, Sec. 3, Beixin Rd, Xindian Dist, New Taipei City

Report No: T140819D10-SF

23143, Taiwan

**Equipment Under Test:** Handheld Terminal (WIFI & BT)

Trade Name: ZEBEX

**Model Number:** Z-2170 plus; Z-2171 plus; Z-2172 plus

**Date of Test:** September 19, 2014

**Device Category:** PORTABLE DEVICES

**Exposure Category:** GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

Applicable Standards								
FCC	<ul> <li>IEEE 1528 2013</li> <li>KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r03</li> <li>KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r02</li> <li>KDB 248227 D01 SAR measurement for 802 11 a b g v01r02</li> <li>KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r02</li> <li>KDB 941225 D07 UMPC Mini Tablet v01r01</li> </ul>							
	Limit							
	1g:1.6 W/kg 10g:4.0 W/kg							
Test Result								
	Pass							

The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Approved by:

Tested by:

Alex Wu

Section Manager

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Peter Chen SAR Engineer

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

Page 5 Rev. 01

# 2 Description of Equipment Under Test

Product	Handheld Terminal (WIFI & BT)							
Trade Name	ZEBEX							
Model Number	Z-2170 plus; Z-	2171 plus	; Z-2172 plus					
	Model Number	r	Difference					
	Z-2170 plus		CCD Scan Engine					
Madal Discrepancy	Z-2171 plus		Laser Scan Engine					
Model Discrepancy	Z-2172 plus		2D Scan Engine					
	<ol> <li>For more details, please refer to the User's Manual of the EUT.</li> <li>The model Z-2170 Plus was considered the main model for</li> </ol>							
Transmitters	Wi-Fi & Bluetooth							
	Bluetooth:	Bluetooth: GFSK for 1Mbps;π/4-DQPSK for 2Mbps;8DPSK for 3						
Modulation Technique	802.11b: Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum(DSSS)							
ivioudiation reclinique	802.11g:	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFD)						
	802.11n:	11n: Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (C						
	Brand name	Tomtech						
Antenna Specification	Parts Number	BIAA01-0	001R002					
	Туре	PCB						
	Brand name:Et	ica Batter	y Inc/DLG Power Battery (Zhangjiagang)co					
Battery	Ltd.							
Buttery		•	01235-120mAh					
	Rating:3.7Y 220	00mAh / 3	3.7Y 120mAh					

**Remark:** The sample selected for test was prototype that approximated to production product and was provided by manufacturer

Page 6 Rev. 01

### 3 Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined

### 3.1 Requirements for Compliance Testing Defined by the FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996 [1]. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 W/kg for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6].

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Page 7 Rev. 01


### 4 Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4/DAST5 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m) which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4-SN: 3979 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure with accuracy of better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure and found to be better than ±0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE 1528 2013.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Page 8 Rev. 01

### 4.1 Measurement System Diagram



### The DASY4/DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St¨aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, ADconversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is
  battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the
  EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4/DASY5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.

Page 9 Rev. 01

### 4.2 System Components

#### **DASY4/DASY5 Measurement Server**



The DASY4/DASY5 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4/DASY5 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.



The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

### **Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)**

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE4 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



### **EX3DV4** Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements

**Construction:** Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

**Calibration:** Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz.

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800

 $\label{lem:cf-calibration} \textbf{CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request.}$ 

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity:  $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$  (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

**Directivity:**  $\pm$  0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm\,0.5$  dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

**Dynamic Range:**  $10 \mu W/g$  to > 100 mW/g; Linearity:  $\pm 0.2 dB$ 

(noise: typically  $< 1 \mu W/g$ )



**Dimensions:** Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm)

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

Application: High precision dosimetric measurements in any

exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision

of better 30%.



Report No: T140819D10-SF

Interior of probe

### SAM Phantom (V4.0)

**Construction:** The shell corresponds to the specifications of the

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2013, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually

teaching three points with the robot.

**Shell Thickness:** 2 ±0.2 mm **Filling Volume:** Approx. 25 liters

**Dimensions:** Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm



**Construction:** Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and

body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209 Part II and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is supported by software version DASY4/DASY5 and higher and is compatible with all SPEAG

dosimetric probes and dipoles

**Shell Thickness:**  $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm (sagging: } <1\%)$ 

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

**Dimensions:** Major ellipse axis: 600 mm

Minor axis: 400 mm 500mm





Page 11 Rev. 01

#### **Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom**

Construction: In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0 or Twin SAM, the

Mounting Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom

locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).



Report No: T140819D10-SF

#### System Validation Kits for SAM Phantom (V4.0)

**Construction:** Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables measurement

of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 2450 MHz

**Return loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

**Dimensions:** D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm



### System Validation Kits for ELI4 phantom

**Construction:** Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun Enables measurement

of feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions

Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 2450 MHz

**Return loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

**Dimensions:** D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm



Page 12 Rev. 01

### 5 Evaluation Procedures

#### **Data Evaluation**

Device parameters:

The DASY4/DASY5 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm<sub>i</sub>,  $a_{i0}$ ,  $a_{i1}$ ,  $a_{i2}$ 

- Conversion factor  $ConvF_i$ - Diode compression point  $dcp_i$ - Frequency f- Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity σ

- Density ho

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  $U_i$  = Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)  $dcp_i$  = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:

$$E_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{i}}{Norm_{i} \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-field probes:

$$H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$$

with  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $Norm_i$  = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$  for E0field Probes

*ConvF* = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

Ei = Electric field strength of channel i in V/mHi = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

Page 13 Rev. 01

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in W/kg

 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m

 $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{377}$$
 or  $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$ 

with  $P_{pwe}$  = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

 $E_{tot}$  = total electric field strength in V/m  $H_{tot}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m

Page 14 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### **6** SAR Measurement Procedures

### 6.1 Normal SAR Test Procedure

#### • Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

#### Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4/DASY5 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, the grid resolution has to less than 15 mm by 15 mm at frequency ≤2GHz; the grid resolution has to less than 12mm by 12 mm at frequency between 2GHz to 4GHz; grid resolution has to less than 10 mm by 10 mm at frequency between 4GHz to 6GHz.

### According to KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01

	≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz		
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	5 ± 1 mm	½·δ·ln(2) ± 0.5 mm		
Maximum probe abgle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location	30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°		
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δxzoom, Δyzoom	≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm		
	When the x or y dimension of measurement plane orientati above, the measurement reso corresponding x or y dimension least one measurement point	on, is smaller than the olution must be ≤ the on of the test device with at		

Page 15 Rev. 01

#### • Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures points in accordance with the frequency can be divided into three parts. (1)The zoom scan volume was set to 5x5x7 points at frequency  $\leq 2GHz$ . (2) The zoom scan volume was set to 7x7x7 points at frequency between 2GHz to 4GHz (3) The zoom scan volume was set to 7x7x12 points at frequency between 4GHz to 6GHz. The measures points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

According to KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01

			≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz			
Maximum zoom scan spatial	resolution:	≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm				
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	Unifor	rm grid: Δzzoom(n)	≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm			
	graded	Δzzoom(1):between 1st two points losest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm			
	grid	Δzzoom(n>1): between subsequent points	≤ 1.5·Δzzoom(n-1)				
Maximum zoom scan volume	х, у, z	≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm				

### • Power Drift Measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY4/DASY5 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

### Z-Scan

The Z Scan job measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. A user can anchor the grid to the current probe location. As with any other grids, the local Z-axis of the anchor location establishes the Z-axis of the grid.

Page 16 Rev. 01

## 7 Device Under Test

### 7.1 Band Interface

Tx Frequency Bands	•	802.11 b/g/n: 2412 - 2462 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 – 2480 MHz
Mode	•	802.11 b/g/n HT20/HT40 Bluetooth 2.1

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Page 17 Rev. 01

7.2 Simultaneous Transmission

No.	Conditions	Body SAR	Hotspot
1	Wi-Fi 2.4GHz + Bluetooth	X	×

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$  : The Product can simultaneously transmit

☒: The Product can't simultaneously transmit

Page 18 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 8 Summary of SAR Test Exclusion Configurations

### 8.1 Standalone SAR Test Exclusion Calculations

Since the Dedicated Host Approach is applied, the standalone SAR test exclusion procedure in KDB 447498 section 4.3.1 is applied in conjunction with KDB 616217 section 4.3 to determine the minimum test separation distance:

1. According to KDB 447498 Section 4.1 5) if the antenna is at close proximity to user then the outer surface of the DUT should be treated as the radiating surface. The test separation distance is then determined by the smallest distance between the outer surface of the device and the user. For the purposes of this report close proximity has been defined as closer than 50 mm. For antennas <50 mm from the rear or edge the separation distance used for the estimated SAR calculations is 0 mm.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

- 2. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5mm, a distance of 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.
- 3. When the separation distance from the antenna to an adjacent edge is > 5 mm, the actual antenna-to-edge separation distance is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.
- 4. If the antenna to DUT adjacent edge or bottom separation distance >50mm the actual antenna to user separation distance is used to determine SAR exclusion and estimated SAR value.

Refer to Appendix for the specific details on the antenna-to-antenna and antenna-to-edge distances used for test exclusion calculations.

Page 19 Rev. 01

### 8.1.1 SAR Exclusion Calculations for Wi-Fi Antenna < 50mm from the User

According to KDB 447498 v05 r02 in section 4.3.1, if the calculated threshold value is > 3 then SAR testing is required.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Autoria			Frequency	Output	Power	Separation Distances(mm)							Calculated Threshold Value					
Antenna	Band Mode	(MHz)	dBm	mW	Rear	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4	Front	Rear	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4	Front		
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11b	2437	16	40	28.7	2.6	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	12.5	12.5	12.5	>50mm	12.5	12.5	
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11g	2437	16	40	28.7	3.1	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	12.5	12.5	12.5	>50mm	12.5	12.5	
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11n HT20	2437	16	40	28.7	3.1	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	12.5	12.5	12.5	>50mm	12.5	12.5	
Wi-Fi Main	Bluetooth	Bluetooth	2402	8	6	28.7	3.1	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	1.9	1.9	1.9	>50mm	1.9	1.9	

Page 20 Rev. 01

### 8.1.2 SAR Exclusion Calculations for Wi-Fi Antenna > 50mm from the User

According to KDB 447498 v05 r02, if the calculated Power threshold is less than the output power then SAR testing is required.


Report No: T140819D10-SF

Assess Book Made		Frequency	Output	Power	Separation Distances(mm)						Calculated Threshold Value						
Antenna	Band	Mode (MHz	(MHz)	dBm	mW	Rear	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4	Front	Rear	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4	Front
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11b	2437	16	40	28.7	2.6	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	<50mm	<50mm	<50mm	1084.8	<50mm	<50mm
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11g	2437	16	40	28.7	2.6	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	<50mm	<50mm	<50mm	1084.8	<50mm	<50mm
Wi-Fi Main	2.4GHz	802.11n HT20	2437	16	40	28.7	2.6	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	<50mm	<50mm	<50mm	1084.8	<50mm	<50mm
Wi-Fi Main	Bluetooth	Bluetooth	2402	8	6	28.7	2.6	13.5	158.4	13.5	5.4	<50mm	<50mm	<50mm	1084.5	<50mm	<50mm

Page 21 Rev. 01

### 8.2 Required Test Configuration





Separation Distance (mm)	Wi-Fi Antenna (Main)
Top-Edge (Edge1)	2.63
Right-Edge (Edge2)	13.51
Bottom-Edge (Edge3)	158.35
Left-Edge (Edge4)	13.51
Rear Surface	28.69
Front	5.44

Page 23 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 8.2.1 SAR Test Configuration

Test Configurations	Rear	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4	Front
802.11b	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
802.11 g	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
802.11n HT20	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
802.11n HT40	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Bluetooth	No	No	No	No	No	No

### Note(s):

- 1. Yes = SAR is required.
  - No = SAR is not required
- 2. The edge 1 is not expected to be facing the user or nearby persons during normal operation, we do not have to test that edge.

Page 24 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

# 9 Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement uncertainty for 300 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram

ivicasurement uncertainty for 500 wirds to 5 Griz averaged over 1 gran						
Uncertainty Component	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	<sup>C</sup> i (10g)	Std. Unc.(1-g)	<sup>V</sup> i or Veff
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration (k=1)	6.00	Normal	1	1	6.00	∞
Probe Isotropy	7.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.07	∞
Boundary Effect	0.65	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.38	∞
Linearity	4.70	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limit	1.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.30	Normal	1	1	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.80	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.46	∞
Integration Time	2.60	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.23	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.67	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.15	8
Test sample Related						
Test sample Positioning	3.70	Normal	1	1	3.7	89
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.40	Normal	1	1	3.4	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters						
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.50	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	4.33	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	4.14	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	1.53	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4.22	Normal	1	0.64	2.70	39
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	3.92	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.36	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	-1.33	Normal	1	0.6	-0.80	39
Temp. Unc Conductivity	1.70	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.77	∞
Temp. Unc Permittivity	0.30	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.04	∞
		RSS			11.45	611
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			22.9	0%
Expanded Uncertainty U, Coverage Factor = 2, > 95 % Confidence =		k=2			1.79	dB

Page 25 Rev. 01

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.4 8.0 2.0

10 Exposure Limit

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.08 1.6 4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any

1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. **SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles** is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the

Report No: T140819D10-SF

shape of a cube.

### **Population/Uncontrolled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

### **Occupational/Controlled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

### NOTE

# GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT

1.6 W/kg

Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

4.0 W/kg

Page 26 Rev. 01

### 11 Tissue Dielectric Properties

### 11.1 Test Liquid Confirmation

### **Simulating Liquids Parameter Check**

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within  $\pm$  5% of the values given in the table below 5% may not be easily achieved at certain frequencies.

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE 1528 2013 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in IEEE 1528 2013 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE 1528 2013

Target Frequency	He	ad	Во	ody
(MHz)	ε <sub>r</sub>	σ(S/m)	ε <sub>r</sub>	σ(S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5000	36.2	4.45	49.3	5.07
5100	36.1	4.55	49.1	5.18
5200	36.0	4.66	49.0	5.30
5300	35.9	4.76	48.9	5.42
5400	35.8	4.86	48.7	5.53
5500	35.6	4.96	48.6	5.65
5600	35.5	5.07	48.5	5.77
5700	35.4	5.17	48.3	5.88
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

Page 27 Rev. 01

### 11.2 Typical Composition of Ingredients for Liquid Tissue Phantoms

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Ingredients					Frequen	cy (MHz)				
(% by weight)	4!	50	83	35	9:	15	19	00	2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

alt:  $99^{+}\%$  Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar:  $98^{+}\%$  Pure Sucrose Water: De-ionized,  $16~\text{M}\Omega^{+}$  resistivity HEC: Hydroxy thyl Cellulose DGBE:  $99^{+}\%$  Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra-pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1, 1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

Page 28 Rev. 01

11.3 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check Results

Date	Band	Freq(MHz)	Measured			Standard		Δ		Limit(%)
Date	Danu		e' (εr)	e''	σ	e' (εr)	σ	e' (εr)	σ	±5
		2412	52.24	14.81	1.98	52.75	1.91	-0.97%	3.70%	±5
		2437	52.13	14.91	2.02	52.72	1.94	-1.12%	4.17%	±5
2014/9/19	Body 2450	2442	52.11	14.92	2.02	52.71	1.94	-1.14%	4.22%	±5
		2462	52.02	14.99	2.05	52.68	1.97	-1.27%	4.22%	±5
		2472	51.97	15.02	2.06	52.67	1.98	-1.33%	4.11%	±5

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Page 29 Rev. 01

### 12 System Performance Check

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### **System Performance Check Measurement Conditions**

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4/DASY5 system with an E-fileld probe EX3DV4 SN: 3979 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15 mm (below 1 GHz) and 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration (dx=dy= 5 mm, dz= 5 mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 3.0 mm.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 100 mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

### **Reference SAR Values for System Performance Check**

The reference SAR values can be obtained from the calibration certificate of system validation dipoles

System	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Freq. (MHz)	Target SAR Values (W/kg)			
Dipole	Serial No.	Cai. Date	rieq. (ivinz)	1g/10g	Head	Body	
D2450V2	728	5/20/2014	2450	1g	52.6	50.2	
D2450V2 728		3/20/2014	2430	10g	24.5	23.4	

Page 30 Rev. 01

12.1 System Performance Check Results

Date	9	System Dipol	е	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
Date	Туре	Type Serial No.		Farameters	raiget	ivicasureu	Deviation[/6]	Lilliteu[/6]	
2014/9/19	D2450V2	22450\/2 729	Body	1g SAR:	50.20	48.10	-4.18	± 5	
2014/9/19	/9/19 D2450V2 728		войу	10g SAR:	23.40	22.50	-3.85	± 5	

Report No: T140819D10-SF

Page 31 Rev. 01

ID: JNF-Z-217XP Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 13 RF Output Power Measurement

### 13.1 Wi-Fi (2.4 GHz Band)

Required Test Channels per KDB 248227 D01

Mode	Band	Freq.	Ch #	Default Test Channels			
Wiode	(GHz)	(MHz)	0.1.11	802.11b	802.11g		
		2412	1#	<b>✓</b>	$\nabla$		
802.11 b/g	2.4	2437	6	<b>✓</b>	∇		
		2462	11 <sup>#</sup>	<b>√</b>	∇		

### Notes

√ = "default test channels"

 $\nabla$  = possible 802.11g channels with maximum average output ¼ dB the "default test channels"

# = when output power is reduced for channel 1 and /or 11 to meet restricted band requirements

the highest output channels closest to each of these channels should be tested.

The indicated Wi-Fi target powers in the following table are absolute maximums.

**Output power table** 

Band	Mode	Data rate	Ch#	Freq.	Т	/alDuna\			ne-up Tune-up	Avg. Pwr (dBm)		
(GHz)		(Mbps)		(MHz)	Main	Aux	Total	(dB)	Pwr (dBm)	Main	Aux	Total
			1	2412	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.4		
	802.11b	1	6	2437	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.6		
			11	2462	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.7		
			1	2412	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.3		
2.4	802.11g	6	6	2437	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.7		
			11	2462	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.6		
	002.11.		1	2412	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.4		
	802.11n MCS0	6	2437	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.7			
	HT20	11	2462	14.0			±2.0	16.0	15.7			

#### Note(s):

SAR is not required for 802.11g/HT20/HT40 channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels per KDB 248227 D01

Page 32 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 13.2 Bluetooth

Output power table

Band	Mode	Ch#	Freq.	1	arget Pw (dBm)	r	Tune-up Tolerance	Maximum Tune-up		Measured g. Pwr (dE	
(GHz)	Wode		(MHz)	Main	Aux	Total	(dB)	Pwr (dBm)	Main	Aux	Total
		0	2402	6.0			±2.0	8.0	6.9		
Bluetooth	DH5	39	2441	6.0			±2.0	8.0	7.0		
		78	2480	6.0			±2.0	8.0	7.5		
		0	2402	3.0			±2.0	5.0	4.0		
Bluetooth	3DH5	39	2441	3.0			±2.0	5.0	4.3		
		78	2480	3.0			±2.0	5.0	4.0		

Page 33 Rev. 01

### 14 SAR Measurements Results

Wi-Fi (2.4GHz Band):

Dand	Test	Channal	Freq.	Chain	Dist.	Power	(dBm)	Measured	Reported	Nata
Band	Position	Channel	(MHz)	Chain	(mm)	Tune up limit	Measured	1g SAR (W/kg)	SAR(W/kg)	Note
	Front	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.826	0.885	
	Front	1	2412	0	0	16.0	15.4	1.230	1.429	
	Front	6	2437	0	0	16.0	15.6	1.090	1.184	
802.11b	Rear	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.019	0.020	
	Edge2	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.046	0.049	
	Edge4	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.031	0.033	
	Front	1	2412	0	0	16.0	15.4	1.220	1.417	1

### Note(s):

- Repeated measurements are required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.80 W/kg. If the measured SAR values are < 1.45 W/kg with ≤20% variation, only one repeated measurement is required to reaffirm that the results are not expected to have substantial variations, which may introduce significant compliance concerns. (Per KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r03)</li>
  - 1.1 Original SAR =1.23/kg, therefore two times repeat SAR is required.
  - 1.2 Repeat SAR = 1.22/kg < 1.45W/kg
  - 1.3 SAR variation= 0.2% < 20%

Donal	Test	Channel	Freq.	Chain	Dist.	Power	(dBm)	Measured	Reported	Nata
Band	Position	Channel	(MHz)	Chain	(mm)	Tune up limit	Measured	10g SAR (W/kg)	SAR(W/kg)	Note
	Front	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.317	0.340	
	Front	1	2412	0	0	16.0	15.4	0.474	0.551	
802.11b	Front	6	2437	0	0	16.0	15.6	0.419	0.455	
802.110	Rear	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.009	0.009	
	Edge2	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.021	0.023	
	Edge4	11	2462	0	0	16.0	15.7	0.012	0.013	·

Page 34 Rev. 01

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### 14.1 Summary of Highest SAR Values

Results for highest reported SAR values for each frequency band and mode

Technology/Band	Test configuration	Mode	Highest Reported 1g-SAR (W/kg)	
Wi-Fi 2.4 GHz	Front	802.11b	1.429	

Technology/Band	Test configuration	Mode	Highest Reported 10g-SAR (W/kg)
Wi-Fi 2.4 GHz	Front	802.11b	0.551

Page 35 Rev. 01

# 15 Equipment List & Calibration Status

Equipment List & Cambration Status					
Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Cycle(year)	Calibration Due
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46213916	1	2015/06/25
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070D	N/A	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Agilent	4416	GB41291611	1	2015/9/4
Power Sensor	Agilent	8481H	MY41091956	1	2015/9/4
Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	SPEAG	DAE4	558	1	2015/07/21
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3979	1	2015/3/3
2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	728	1	2015/05/19
Robot	Staubli	RX90L	F02/5T69A1/A/01	N/A	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuit	ZVE-8G	665500309	N/A	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuit	ZHL-1724HLN	D072602#2	N/A	N/A

Page 36 Rev. 01

### 16 Facilities

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at
No. 81-1, Lane 210, Bade Rd. 2, Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C
$\boxtimes$ No.11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Dist., New Taipei City 24891, Taiwan. (R.O.C.)
No. 199, Chunghsen Road, Hsintien City, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

### 17 Reference

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: T140819D10-SF

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-\_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-\_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10

Page 37 Rev. 01

### 18 Attachments

Exhibit	Content	
1	System Performance Check Plots	
2	SAR test plots for Wi-Fi 2.4GHz Band	
3	SAR_Probe_EX3DV4_sn3979	
4	SAR_DAE4_sn558	
5	SAR_Dipole_D2450v2_sn728	
6	T140819D10-SF PHOTOs	

Report No: T140819D10-SF

### **END OF REPORT**

Page 38 Rev. 01