

Hello Jim,

I have discussed this issue with Jon Curtis and he thinks that's a good idea to test the worst case. So we'll be okay if the testing was done with 3.0VDC so that it can produce maximum power output.

A brief explanation in the report will be needed, so that it's noted officially.

If you have more questions let us know please.

Best Regards,  
Yunus Faziloglu  
Reviewing Engineer  
Curtis-Straus TCB

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jim Blaha" <jblaha@lsr.com>  
To: <certification@curtis-straus.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2003 3:22 PM  
Subject: FW: Telecon with Curtis Strauss - Eunice 12/9/2003

> Per our Phone Discussions

>

> James Blaha

> Sr. Vice-President

> Quality Manager

> L. S. Compliance, Inc.

> W66 N220 Commerce Court

> Cedarburg, Wisconsin 53012

> Phone: 262-375-4400 x104

> Fax: 262-375-4248

>

> This message and the information contained herein are the proprietary  
> and confidential property of L. S. Compliance, Inc. and may be  
> privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not  
read,

> copy, disclose

or

> distribute its contents to any party, and notify the sender  
> immediately.

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Marc L Denis [<mailto:mdenis@lsr.com>]

> Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2003 9:42 AM

> To: Jim Blaha

> Subject: Telecon with Curtis Strauss - Eunice 12/9/2003

>

> Jim,

>

> Here are my recollections of the telecon of this morning to Eunice.

>

> Our client wants a modular certification. Their design incorporates  
> our XETI core IP and adds a connector and a DC-DC Converter. The DC  
> Converter manages the conversion of a nominal 9.6 VDC battery voltage  
> to our nominal 3.0 VDC. Graco developed the DC-DC converter and  
> selected a design which is rated at 400 mA continuous.  
>  
> In their application, the transmitter is active only briefly and so  
> even though the DC-DC converter is rated at 400 mA continuous duty,  
> at  
> their duty cycle it can supply the current required to allow the  
> transmitter to reach the +27dBm potential of the design at 3.0 Vdc.  
> However, their continuous duty application presents a practical  
> problem in that we can't easily test the module to the worst case  
> emissions using their DC-DC converter. So, the question posed was,  
> "May we use a lab supply to provide the 3.0 VDC so that we can test  
> the module to its rated power output without suffering a sagging  
> supply.?"  
>  
> As I understood Eunice's response, "Yes we may." We will need to  
> explain why we bypassed the DC-DC converter in the test report.  
>  
> If you feel additions or clarifications are required to make these  
> minutes accurate, please do so.  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Marc Denis  
> x111  
>