Subject: FDS RF Exposure calculation at 24 GHz

## Summary:

This RF exposure analysis is intended to justify compliance with limits for $24-25 \mathrm{GHz}$ transmitters operated within 20 cm of a user. The analysis is based on the total available power being radiated off of the antenna in the near-field.

Guidance was provided through the FCC KDB system and from presentations by the FCC to the TCB council.

## Device details:

Chipset: Silicon Radar TRX_024_006 24-GHz IQ Transceiver; operating in low PA gain mode nominal output power 0dBm

Expected line loss is -1.12 dB (see page 5)
https://siliconradar.com/datasheets/Datasheet TRX 024006 V2.1.pdf

Antenna: rectangular patch with underlying truncated ground plane
10 mils of Rogers 4350 substrate under the antenna copper layer, with full ground plane below.



Figure 1 - Antenna Feedline, Co-planar strip line
$G=4 \pi n A / \lambda^{2}$
$\eta=\left(G \times \lambda^{2}\right) / 4 \pi A$
$\lambda=12.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
$G=1$
$A=3 \times 5=15 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
$0.83=-0.81 \mathrm{~dB}$
Estimated antenna efficiency $=60 \%=-2.22$

## from radar chip datasheet:

Pin 10 controls power output, low is P_OUT_MAX - 4dB;

| 10 | pwr1 | Power-amplifier gain control input (with internal $100-\mathrm{k} \Omega$ pull-up resistor): <br> $1-$ Pout_max $^{2} 0-$ P Out_max $-4 \mathrm{~dB}(1=3.3 \mathrm{~V}, 0=0 \mathrm{~V})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

P_OUT_MAX is 4dBm nominal, 6dBm max. Therefore, with pwr1 (pin10) low PTX is 0dBm nominal, +2dBm abs. max.

| Transmitter output power | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{TX}}$ | 2.5 | 4 | 6 | dBm |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Adjustable range output <br> power (pwr1 pin) | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {TX_ADJ }}$ | 0 |  | 4 | dBm | Power amplifier gain control <br> $1-\mathrm{P}_{\text {out_MAX }}$ <br> $0-\mathrm{P}_{\text {OUT_MAX }}-4 \mathrm{dBm}$ |

SW controls pwr1 (pin10) to drive it low at all times. The pin is never allowed to go high.


Figure 2 - Expected line loss of 1 cm feed, -1.2 dB max.


Figure 3 - distance from patch antenna to outer enclosure

Limits from FCC Part 1.1310
Table 1-Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPe)

| Frequency range $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Electric field strength (V/m) | Magnetic field strength <br> (A/m) | Power density ( $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | Averaging time (minutes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3-3.0 | 614 | 1.63 | *100 | 6 |
| 3.0-30 | 1842/f | 4.89/f |  | 6 |
| 30-300 | 61.4 | 0.163 | 1 1.0 | 6 |
| 300-1,500 |  |  | f/300 | 6 |
| 1,500-100,000 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| (B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3-1.34 | 614 | 1.63 | *100 | 30 |
| 1.34-30 | 824/f | 2.19/f | *180/f ${ }^{2}$ | 30 |
| 30-300 | 27.5 | 0.073 | - 0.2 | 30 |
| 300-1,500 |  |  | f/1500 | - 30 |
| 1,500-100,000 |  |  | 1.0 | 30 |

Note: allowance for $1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ limit to be averaged over $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge} 2$
https://transition.fcc.gov/oet/ea/presentations/files/oct18/5.1-TCB-RF-Exposure-OrderNPRM-Issues-MD.PDF

Output power $=-3.5 \mathrm{dBm}=0.45 \mathrm{~mW}$ (combination of nominal output power - feed line loss - antenna efficiency, $0 \mathrm{dBm}-1.3 \mathrm{~dB}-2.2 \mathrm{~dB}$ )

Exposure limit per FCC Part $1.1310=1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$
Area of antenna $=0.3 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}=0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge} 2$ (only 1 element transmits)
$0.45 \mathrm{~mW} / 0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge} 2=3 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ (all power distributed over area of antenna)
Averaged over $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge} 2=3 / 4=0.75 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$
See Page 3 of this document for the allowance of averaging over $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge} 2$.

This value takes all of the available power and assumes all of it radiates directly off the surface area of the antenna and the user would be infinitely close to the antenna. This would be considered a worse-case evaluation. Assuming the separation due to the case and any layers of clothing the user would be wearing, the field would normally disperse over a larger area. Actual exposure is expected to be much lower. In addition, the antenna is a single element and not an array. The gain is not expected to increase as the user enters the far field.


Each of the patches was measured to be $0.3 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. the patch on the right is the transmit antenna.

## Conclusion:

Based on the nominal power output, feed line loss, antenna efficiency and apperature size, it was found that the exposure would be less than $1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ averaged of $4 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{\wedge} 2$.

## Attachment Details:
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