

RF EXPOSURE TEST REPORT

FCC ID : IHDT56ZP3
Equipment : Mobile Cellular Phone
Model Name : XT2143-1
Type Name : MC3A2
Applicant : Motorola Mobility LLC
222 W,Merchandise Mart Plaza, Chicago IL 60654 USA
Manufacturer : Motorola Mobility LLC
222 W,Merchandise Mart Plaza, Chicago IL 60654 USA
Standard : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)

The product was received on May 25, 2021 and testing was started from Jun. 24, 2021 and completed on Jun. 25, 2021. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample provide by manufacturer and the test data has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures given in 47 CFR Part 2.1093 and FCC KDB and has been pass the FCC requirement.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.



Approved by: Cona Huang / Deputy Manager



SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory

No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)



Table of Contents

1. Statement of Compliance	4
2. Guidance Applied.....	4
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information	5
3.1 General Information	5
4. RF Exposure Limits.....	6
4.1 Uncontrolled Environment.....	6
4.2 Controlled Environment.....	6
4.3 RF Exposure limit for above 6GHz.....	6
5. System Description and Setup	7
5.1 Test Site Location.....	7
6. Test Equipment List.....	8
6.1 PD System Performance Check Results.....	9
6.2 PD Test Result	10
7. Uncertainty Assessment	11
8. References.....	13
Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check	
Appendix B. Plots of High SAR Measurement	
Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate	
Appendix D. Test Setup Photos and Antenna Location	



History of this test report

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
FA151724	01	Initial issue of report	Jul. 02, 2021



1. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of SAR / PD found during testing for Motorola Mobility LLC, Mobile Cellular Phone, XT2143-1, are as follows.

Equipment Class	Frequency Band		Reported SAR			APD			Reported PD
			Head (1g SAR W/kg)	Body-worn (1g SAR W/kg)	Phablet (10g SAR W/kg)	Head (W/m ²)	Body-worn (W/m ²)	Phablet (W/m ²)	Body (mW/cm ²)
6XD	WLAN	6E	0.02	0.11	0.04	1.2	5.98	8.49	0.141
Date of Testing:			2021/6/24 ~ 2021/6/25						

Sporton Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 1190) and the FCC designation No. TW1190 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test. This device is in compliance with SAR and PD for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093), ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and FCC 47 CFR Part1.1310.

Reviewed by: Jason Wang
Report Producer: Carlie Tsai

2. Guidance Applied

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method, and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards, the below KDB standard may not including in the TAF code without accreditation.

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
- ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992
- IEEE 1528-2013
- SPEAG DASY6 System Handbook
- SPEAG DASY6 Application Note (Interim Procedure for Device Operation at 6GHz-10GHz)
- IEC TE63170:2018
- IEC 62479:2010
- FCC KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04
- FCC KDB 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06
- FCC KDB 648474 D04 SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets v01r03
- FCC KDB 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02



3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

3.1 General Information

Product Feature & Specification	
Equipment Name	Mobile Cellular Phone
Model Name	XT2143-1
Type Name	MC3A2
FCC ID	IHDT56ZP3
Wireless Technology and Frequency Range	GSM850: 824.2 MHz ~ 848.8 MHz GSM1900: 1850.2 MHz ~ 1909.8 MHz WCDMA Band II: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz WCDMA Band V: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 5: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 7: 2500 MHz ~ 2570 MHz LTE Band 12: 699 MHz ~ 716 MHz LTE Band 26: 814 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 38: 2570 MHz ~ 2620 MHz LTE Band 41: 2496 MHz ~ 2690 MHz LTE Band 42: 3450 MHz ~ 3550 MHz LTE Band 66: 1710 MHz ~ 1780 MHz 5G NR n5 : 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz 5G NR n7 : 2500 MHz ~ 2570 MHz 5G NR n41 : 2496 MHz ~ 2690 MHz 5G NR n66 : 1710 MHz ~ 1780 MHz 5G NR n78: 3450 MHz ~ 3550 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz WLAN 5.2G Band: 5150 MHz ~ 5250 MHz WLAN 5.3G Band: 5250 MHz ~ 5350 MHz WLAN 5.5G Band: 5470 MHz ~ 5725 MHz WLAN 5.8G Band: 5725 MHz ~ 5825 MHz WLAN U-NII 5: 5925 MHz ~ 6425 MHz WLAN U-NII 6: 6425 MHz ~ 6525 MHz WLAN U-NII 7: 6525 MHz ~ 6875 MHz WLAN U-NII 8: 6875 MHz ~ 7125 MHz Bluetooth: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz NFC : 13.56 MHz
Mode	GSM/GPRS/EGPRS RMC/AMR 12.2Kbps HSDPA HSUPA DC-HSDPA LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM 5G NR: DFT-s-OFDM/CP-OFDM, Pi/2 BPSK/QPSK/16QAM/64QAM/256QAM WLAN: 802.11a/b/g/n/ac/ax HT20/HT40/VHT20/VHT40/VHT80/VHT160/HE20/HE40/HE80/HE160 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE NFC:ASK
HW Version	DVT2
SW Version	RRG31.35
GSM / (E)GPRS Transfer mode	Class B – EUT cannot support Packet Switched and Circuit Switched Network simultaneously but can automatically switch between Packet and Circuit Switched Network.
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype
General Note: 1. Based on Part1 SAR report No.: FA151701 to evaluate WiFi 6E PD RF Exposure, for the WiFi 6E SAR, APD, and output power also refer to Part1 SAR report.	

4. RF Exposure Limits

4.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

4.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

4.3 RF Exposure limit for above 6GHz


According to ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, the criteria listed in Table 1 shall be used to evaluate the environmental impact of human exposure to radio frequency (RF) radiation as specified in §1.1310.

Peak Spatially Averaged Power Density was evaluated over a circular area of 4cm² per interim FCC Guidance for near-field power density evaluations per October 2018 TCB Workshop notes

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm ²)	Averaging time (minutes)
(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposures				
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	*(900/f ²)	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-100,000			5	6
(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure				
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	*(180/f ²)	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500			f/1500	30
1500-100,000			1.0	30

5. System Description and Setup

The DASY system used for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic Field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Windows 10 and the DASY6 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

5.1 Test Site Location

The SAR measurement facilities used to collect data are within both Sporton Lab list below test site location are accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 1190 and 0007) and the FCC designation No. TW1190 and TW0007 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test.

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory	
Test Site Location	TW1190 No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City 333, CHINESE TAIPEI	TW0007 No. 58, Aly. 75, Ln. 564, Wehnuia 3rd, Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, CHINESE TAIPEI
Test Site No.	SAR06-HY	SAR12-HY



6. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	5G Verification Source	10 GHz	1020	Jan. 18, 2021	Jan. 17, 2022
SPEAG	EUmmWV Probe Tip Protection	EUmmWV4	9441	Nov. 24, 2020	Nov. 23, 2021
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	656	Jan. 22, 2021	Jan. 21, 2022
TESTO	Hygro meter	608-H1	34893240	Nov. 18, 2020	Nov. 17, 2021
R&S	Signal Generator	SMA100A	101091	Jul. 20, 2020	Jul. 19, 2021
Agilent	Spectrum Analyzer	E4408B	MY44211028	Aug. 27, 2020	Aug. 26, 2021
Anritsu	Power Meter	ML2495A	1804003	Oct. 21, 2020	Oct. 20, 2021
Anritsu	Power Sensor	MA2411B	1726150	Oct. 21, 2020	Oct. 20, 2021
Agilent	Preamplifier	8449B	3008A02321	Oct. 28, 2020	Oct. 27, 2021
ATM	Dual Directional Coupler	C122H-10	P610410z-02	Note 1	
Warison	Directional Coupler	WCOU-10-50S-10	WR889BMC4B1	Note 1	
Woken	Attenuator 1	WK0602-XX	N/A	Note 1	
PE	Attenuator 2	PE7005-10	N/A	Note 1	
PE	Attenuator 3	PE7005- 3	N/A	Note 1	

General Note:

1. Prior to system verification and validation, the path loss from the signal generator to the system check source and the power meter, which includes the amplifier, cable, attenuator and directional coupler, was measured by the network analyzer. The reading of the power meter was offset by the path loss difference between the path to the power meter and the path to the system check source to monitor the actual power level fed to the system check source.
2. The dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification according to KDB 865664 D01. The dipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval. The justification data in appendix C can be found which the return loss is < -20dB, within 20% of prior calibration, the impedance is within 5 ohm of prior calibration for each dipole.

6.1 PD System Performance Check Results

The system was verified to be within ± 0.66 dB of the power density targets on the calibration certificate according to the test system specification in the user's manual and calibration facility recommendation. The 0.66 dB deviation threshold represents the expanded uncertainty for system performance checks using SPEAG's mmWave verification sources. The same spatial resolution and measurement region used in the source calibration was applied during the system check. The measured power density distribution of verification source was also confirmed through visual inspection to have no noticeable differences, both spatially (shape) and numerically (level) from the distribution provided by the manufacturer, per November 2017 TCBC Workshop Notes

Frequency (GHz)	Frequency (GHz)	5G Verification Source	Probe S/N	DAE S/N	Distance (mm)	Input Power (mW)	Measured 4 cm ² (W/m ²)	Targeted 4 cm ² (W/m ²)	Deviation (dB)	Date
SAR06	10	10GHz_1020	9441	656	10	74	44	42.2	0.18	2021/6/24




Figure 4-3
System Verification Setup Photo

System Performance Check Setup

6.2 PD Test Result

WLAN PD Note:

1. The manufacturer has confirmed that the devices tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the measurements. The DUT was connected to a wall charger for some measurements due to the test duration. It was confirmed that the charger plugged into this DUT did not impact the near-field PD test results.
3. Absorbed power density (APD) using a 4cm² averaging area is reported based on SAR measurements.
4. Power density was calculated by repeated E-field measurements on two measurement planes separated by $\lambda/4$.
5. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools.
6. Per FCC guidance and equipment manufacturer guidance, power density results were scaled according to IEC 62479:2010 for the portion of the measurement uncertainty > 30%. Total expanded uncertainty of 2.68 dB (85.4%) was used to determine the psPD measurement scaling factor.
7. The measurement procedure consists of measuring the PDinc at two different distances: 2 mm (compliance distance) and $\lambda/5$. The grid extents should be large enough to fully capture the transmitted energy. The grid step should be fine enough to demonstrate that the integrated Power Density iPDn fulfill the criterion described below. Since iPD ratio between the two distances is ≥ -1 dB, the grid step (0.0625) was sufficient for determining compliance at d=2mm.

$$10 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{iPD_n(2mm)}{iPD_n(\lambda/5)} \geq -1$$

<WLAN PD>

Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (mm)	Antenna	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)	Grip Step (λ)	iPDn	iPD ratio (≥ -1 dB)	Normal psPD (W/m ²)	Total psPD (W/m ²)
WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	15	6025	15.88	0.0625	0.997	0.0702615	0.719	0.785
WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	10mm	Ant 12+4	15	6025	15.88	0.25	0.981		0.146	0.167
WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	207	6985	13.20	0.0625	0.764	-0.8957223	0.685	0.732
WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	8.59mm	Ant 12+4	207	6985	13.20	0.25	0.939		0.206	0.217

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (mm)	Antenna	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Duty Cycle %	Grip Step (λ)	Scaling Factor for measurement uncertainty	Power Drift (dB)	Normal psPD (W/m ²)	Scaled Normal psPD (W/m ²)	Total psPD (W/m ²)	Scaled Total psPD (W/m ²)
01	WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	15	6025	15.88	16.50	100.00	0.0625	1.5535	-0.12	0.719	0.83	0.785	1.41
	WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	47	6185	15.51	16.00	100.00	0.0625	1.5535	-0.17	0.379	0.42	0.422	0.73
	WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	111	6505	13.96	14.00	100.00	0.0625	1.5535	0.16	0.338	0.34	0.431	0.68
	WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	175	6825	14.77	15.00	100.00	0.0625	1.5535	-0.05	0.204	0.22	0.316	0.52
	WLAN6GHz	802.11ac-VHT160 MCS0	Back	2mm	Ant 12+4	207	6985	13.20	13.50	100.00	0.0625	1.5535	-0.05	0.685	0.73	0.732	1.22

Test Engineer : Mood Huang

7. Uncertainty Assessment

Declaration of Conformity:

The test results with all measurement uncertainty excluded is presented in accordance with the regulation limits or requirements declared by manufacturers.

Comments and Explanations:

The declared of product specification for EUT presented in the report are provided by the manufacturer, and the manufacturer takes all the responsibilities for the accuracy of product specification.

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in table below.

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor^(a)	$1/k^{(b)}$	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) k is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.

The judgment of conformity in the report is based on the measurement results excluding the measurement uncertainty.

cDASY6 Module mmWave Uncertainty Budget Evaluation Distances to the Antennas > $\lambda/2\pi$ In Compliance with IEC/IEEE 63195					
Error Description	Uncertainty Value (\pm dB)	Probability	Divisor	(Ci)	Standard Uncertainty (\pm dB)
Uncertainty terms dependent on the measurement system					
Probe Calibration	0.49	N	1	1	0.49
Probe correction	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Frequency response (BW \leq 1 GHz)	0.20	R	1.732	1	0.12
Sensor cross coupling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Isotropy	0.50	R	1.732	1	0.29
Linearity	0.20	R	1.732	1	0.12
Probe scattering	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Probe positioning offset	0.30	R	1.732	1	0.17
Probe positioning repeatability	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02
Sensor mechanical offset	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Probe spatial resolution	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Field impedance dependance	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Amplitude and phase drift	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Amplitude and phase noise	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02
Measurement area truncation	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Data acquisition	0.03	N	1	1	0.03
Sampling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Field reconstruction	2.00	R	1.732	1	1.15
Forward transformation	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Power density scaling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00
Spatial averaging	0.10	R	1.732	1	0.06
System detection limit	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02
Uncertainty terms dependent on the DUT and environmental factors					
Probe coupling with DUT	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0
Modulation response	0.40	R	1.732	1	0.2
Integration time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0
Response time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0
Device holder influence	0.10	R	1.732	1	0.1
DUT alignment	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0
RF ambient conditions	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.0
Ambient reflections	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.0
Immunity / secondary reception	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0
Drift of the DUT		R	1.732	1	
Combined Std. Uncertainty					1.34
Expanded STD Uncertainty (95%)					2.68

PD Uncertainty Budget



8. References

- [1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- [2] ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992, "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz", September 1992
- [3] IEEE Std. 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", Sep 2013
- [4] SPEAG DASY System Handbook
- [5] FCC KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, "SAR Guidance for IEEE 802.11 (WiFi) Transmitters", Oct 2015.
- [6] FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, "Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies", Oct 2015
- [7] FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets", Oct 2015.
- [8] FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz", Aug 2015.
- [9] FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02, "RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations" Oct 2015.