

## **Power Density Evaluation Report**

FCC ID	: IHDT56ZF3
Equipment	: Mobile Cellular Phone
Brand Name	Motorola
Model Name	: XT2113-1,XT2113-1PP
Applicant	: Motorola Mobility LLC 222 W,Merchandise Mart Plaza, Chicago IL 60654 USA
Standard	: FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)

The product was received on Mar. 18, 2021 and testing was started from Mar. 23, 2021 and completed on Mar. 27, 2021. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample provide by manufacturer and the test data has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures given in 47 CFR part2.1093 and has been pass the FCC requirement.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Gua Guarge

Approved by: Cona Huang / Deputy Manager



**Sporton International Inc.** No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan



## Table of Contents

2. Guidance Applied
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information 5   3.1 General Information 5   4. RF Exposure Limits 6   4.1 Uncontrolled Environment 6   4.2 Controlled Environment 6   5. System Description and Setup 7   5.1 Test Site Location 7   5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe 8   5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 9   5.4 Scan configuration 9   6. Test Equipment List 9
3.1 General Information 5   4. RF Exposure Limits 6   4.1 Uncontrolled Environment 6   4.2 Controlled Environment 6   5. System Description and Setup 7   5.1 Test Site Location 7   5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe 8   5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 9   5.4 Scan configuration 9   6. Test Equipment List 9
4. RF Exposure Limits
4.1 Uncontrolled Environment. 6   4.2 Controlled Environment. 6   5. System Description and Setup 7   5.1 Test Site Location. 7   5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe. 8   5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE). 9   5.4 Scan configuration 9   6. Test Equipment List 9
4.2 Controlled Environment
5. System Description and Setup 7   5.1 Test Site Location 7   5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe 8   5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 9   5.4 Scan configuration 9   6. Test Equipment List 9
5.1 Test Site Location 7   5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe 8   5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 9   5.4 Scan configuration 9   6. Test Equipment List 9
5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe
5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 9 5.4 Scan configuration 9 6. Test Equipment List 9 9
5.4 Scan configuration
6. Test Equipment List
7. System Verification Source
8. Power Density System Verification
9. System Verification Results
9.1 Computation of the Electric Field Polarization Ellipse
9.2 Total Field and Power Flux Density Reconstruction
9.3 Test Positions
10. RF Exposure Evaluation Results
11. 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT Sim-Tx analysis15
12. Simultaneous-Tx analysis
12.1 Simultaneous transmission analysis for WiFi/BT + 5G NR
13. Uncertainty Assessment
14. References

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check Appendix B. Plots of Power Density Measurement Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate Appendix D. Setup Photo



## History of this test report

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
FA082730-06	01	Initial issue of report	Apr. 29, 2021
FA082730-06	02	Update section10	May. 11, 2021
		•	•



#### 1. <u>Summary</u>

The maximum measured average power density found during testing for Motorola Mobility LLC, Mobile Cellular Phone, are as follows.

	Simultaneous transmission with other transmitters			
RF Trar	nsmitter	Measured PD (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Reported PD <sup>(*)</sup> (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Summation of Exposure Ratio
	n260	0.477	0.75	0.052
5G F K 2	n261	0.428	0.75	0.952
Result			PASS	

(\*) Reported PD=75% x PD design target +2.1 dB total uncertainty

#### Reviewed by: <u>Jason Wang</u> Report Producer: <u>Daisy Peng</u>

## 2. Guidance Applied

The Power Density testing specification, method, and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards, if the KDB standards were not list within TAF approval, because it is included in the KDB 447498.

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1091
- FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093
- FCC KDB 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06
- FCC KDB 648474 D04 SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets v01r03
- TCBC workshop notes
- IEC Draft TR 63170



## 3. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

#### 3.1 General Information

Equipment Name Mobile Cellular Phone
FCC ID IHDT56ZF3
Wireless Technology   Frequency Range and   Frequency Range and   Wireless Technology   Amount of the stand standard
GSM/GPRS/EGPRS RMC/AMR 12.2Kbps HSDPA
Mode DC-HSDPA DC-HSDPA LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM 5G NR: DFT-s-OFDM/CP-OFDM, Pi/2 BPSK/QPSK/16QAM/64QAM/256QAM WLAN: 802.11a/b/g/n/ac HT20/HT40/VHT20/VHT40/VHT80 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE NFC:ASK
EUT Stage Identical Prototype



## 4. <u>RF Exposure Limits</u>

#### 4.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

#### 4.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

The criteria listed in Table 1 shall be used to evaluate the environmental impact of human exposure above 6GHz to radio frequency (RF) radiation as specified in §1.1310.

Genera	I Population	Basic re	estriction for	r power	density	for frequenci	es between	1.5GHz and	100 GHz is	1.0 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	= 10
W/m <sup>2</sup>											

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Averaging time (minutes)
	(A) Limits for O	ccupational/Controlled Expo	sures	
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	6
3.0-30	1842/	f 4.89/	f *(900/f2)	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-100,000			5	6
	(B) Limits for Gene	ral Population/Uncontrolled	Exposure	
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34-30	824/	f 2. <u>1</u> 9/	f *(180/f2)	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500			f/1500	30
1500-100,000			1.0	30

Table 1



## 5. System Description and Setup

The system to be used for the near field power density measurement

- SPEAG DASY6 system
- SPEAG cDASY6 5G module software
- EUmmWVx probe
- 5G Phantom cover



#### 5.1 Test Site Location

Sporton Lab and below test site location are accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 1190) and the FCC designation No. TW1190 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test.

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory
Test Site Location	TW1190 No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City 333, CHINESE TAIPEI
Test Site No.	SAR06-HY

SPORTON LAB. FCC RF Exposure Report

#### 5.2 EUmmWave Probe / E-Field 5G Probe

The probe design allows measurements at distances as small as 2 mm from the sensors to the surface of the device under test (DUT). The typical sensor to probe tip distance is 1.5 mm.

Frequency	750 MHz – 110 GHz		
Probe Overall Length	320 mm		
Probe Body Diameter	8.0 mm		
Tip Length	23.0 mm		
Tip Diameter	8.0 mm		
Probe's two dipoles length	0.9 mm – Diode loaded		
Dynamic Range	< 20 V/m - 10000 V/m with PRE-10 (min < 50 V/m - 3000 V/m)		
Position Precision	< 0.2 mm		
Distance between diode sensors and probe's tip	1.5 mm		
Minimum Mechanical separation between probe tip and a Surface	0.5 mm		
Applications	E-field measurements of 5G devices and other mm-wave transmitters operating above 10GHz in < 2 mm distance from device (free-space) Power density, H-field and far-field analysis using total field reconstruction.		
Compatibility	cDASY6 + 5G-Module SW1.0 and higher		
	sensor 1,5mm calibrated device		





#### 5.3 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



#### 5.4 Scan configuration

Fine-resolution scans on 2 different planes are performed to reconstruct the E- and H-fields as well as the power density; the z-distance between the 2 planes is set to  $\lambda/4$ .

The (x, y) grid step is also set  $\lambda/4$ , the grid extent is set to sufficiently large to identify the field pattern and the peak.

## 6. Test Equipment List

Manufacturor	Nome of Equipment		Sorial Number	Calibration	
Wanulacturei	Name of Equipment	i ype/wodei	Serial Nulliper	Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	5G Verification Source	30GHz	1007	Nov. 17, 2020	Nov. 16, 2021
SPEAG	EUmmWV Probe Tip Protection	EUmmWV4	9441	Nov. 24, 2020	Nov. 23, 2021
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	656	Jan. 22, 2021	Jan. 21, 2022
TESTO	Hygro meter	608-H1	34893240	Nov. 18, 2020	Nov. 17, 2021
TESTO	Hygro meter	608-H1	34913912	Nov. 18, 2020	Nov. 17, 2021
Aglient	Spectrum Analyzer	E4408B	MY44211028	Aug. 27, 2020	Aug. 26, 2021
Custom Microwave	Standard Horn antenna	M15RH	V91113-A	NCR	NCR



## 7. System Verification Source

The System Verification sources at 30 GHz and above comprise horn-antennas and very stable signal generators.

ModelKa-band horn antennaCalibrated frequency:30 GHz at 10mm from the case surfaceFrequency accuracy± 100 MHzE-field polarizationlinearHarmonics-20 dBcTotal radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg		
Calibrated frequency:30 GHz at 10mm from the case surfaceFrequency accuracy± 100 MHzE-field polarizationlinearHarmonics-20 dBcTotal radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg	Model	Ka-band horn antenna
Frequency accuracy± 100 MHzE-field polarizationlinearHarmonics-20 dBcTotal radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg	Calibrated frequency:	30 GHz at 10mm from the case surface
E-field polarizationlinearHarmonics-20 dBcTotal radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg	Frequency accuracy	± 100 MHz
Harmonics-20 dBcTotal radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg	E-field polarization	linear
Total radiated power14 dBmPower stability0.05 dBPower consumption5 WSize00 x 100 x 100 mmWeight1 kg	Harmonics	-20 dBc
Power stability   0.05 dB     Power consumption   5 W     Size   00 x 100 x 100 mm     Weight   1 kg	Total radiated power	14 dBm
Power consumption   5 W     Size   00 x 100 x 100 mm     Weight   1 kg	Power stability	0.05 dB
Size   00 x 100 x 100 mm     Weight   1 kg	Power consumption	5 W
Weight 1 kg	Size	00 x 100 x 100 mm
	Weight	1 kg





## 8. Power Density System Verification

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications.

The EUT is replaced by a calibrated source, the same spatial resolution, measurement region and the test separation used in the calibration was applied to system check. Through visual inspection into the measured power density distribution, both spatially (shape) and numerically (level) have no noticeable difference. The measured results should be within 0.66dB of the calibrated targets.

Frequency [GHz]	Grid step	Grid extent X/Y [mm]	Measurement points
10	$0.25 \ (\frac{\lambda}{4})$	120/120	$16 \times 16$
30	$0.25 \ (\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4})$	60/60	$24 \times 24$
60	$0.25 \ (\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{4})$	32.5/32.5	$26 \times 26$
90	$0.25 \ (\frac{\lambda}{4})$	30/30	$36 \times 36$

Settings for measurement of verification sources





Verification Setup photo

## 9. System Verification Results

Date	Frequency (GHz)	5G Verification Source	Probe S/N	DAE S/N	Distance (mm)	Measured 4 cm^2 (W/m^2)	Targeted 4 cm^2 (W/m^2)	Deviation (dB)
2021/3/23	30G	30GHz_1007	9441	656	10mm	32.5	36.3	-0.48



#### 9.1 Computation of the Electric Field Polarization Ellipse

For the numerical description of an arbitrarily oriented ellipse in three-dimensional space, five parameters are needed: the semi-major axis (a), the semi-minor axis (b), two angles describing the orientation of the normal vector of the ellipse ( $\emptyset$ ,  $\theta$ ), and one angle describing the tilt of the semi-major axis ( $\psi$ ). For the two extreme cases, i.e., circular and linear polarizations, three parameters only (a,  $\emptyset$  and  $\theta$ ) are sufficient for the description of the incident field.



Illustration of the angles used for the numerical description of the sensor and the orientation of an ellipse in 3-D space.

For the reconstruction of the ellipse parameters from measured data, the problem can be reformulated as a nonlinear search problem. The semi-major and semi-minor axes of an elliptical field can be expressed as functions of the three angles ( $\emptyset$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\psi$ ). The parameters can be uniquely determined towards minimizing the error based on least-squares for the given set of angles and the measured data. In this way, the number of free parameters is reduced from five to three, which means that at least three sensor readings are necessary to gain sufficient information for the reconstruction of the ellipse parameters. However, to suppress the noise and increase the reconstruction accuracy, it is desirable that the system of equations be over determined. The solution to use a probe consisting of two sensors angled by  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  toward the probe axis and to perform measurements at three angular positions of the probe, i.e., at  $\beta 1$ ,  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$ , results in over-determinations by a factor of two. If there is a need for more information or increased accuracy, more rotation angles can be added. The reconstruction of the ellipse parameters can be separated into linear and non-linear parts that are best solved by the Givens algorithm combined with a downhill simplex algorithm. To minimize the mutual coupling, sensor angles are set with a shift of 90 degree ( $r_2 = r_1 + 90$  degree), and to simplify, the first rotation angle of the probe ( $\beta 1$ ) can be set to 0 degree.

#### 9.2 Total Field and Power Flux Density Reconstruction

Computation of the power density in general requires knowledge of the electric and magnetic field amplitudes and phases in the plane of incidence. Reconstruction of these quantities from pseudo-vector E-field measurements is feasible, as they are constrained by Maxwell's equations. SPEAG have developed a reconstruction approach based on the Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm, which benefits from the availability of the E-field polarization ellipse information obtained with the EUmmWV2 probe.

The average of the reconstructed power density is evaluated over a circular area in each measurement plane. Two average power density values can be computed, the average total power density and the average incident power density, and the average total power density is used to determine compliance.

- $|Re{S}|$  is the total Poynting vector
- $n \cdot Re\{S\}$  is the normal Poything vector

The software post-processing reports to values, "S avg tot" and "S avg inc". "S avg tot" represents average total power density (all three xyz components included), and "S avg inc" represents average normal power density. The average total power density "S avg tot" is reported to determine the device compliance.



#### 9.3 Test Positions

	Antenna	Measurement Plane							
Band	Module	Front 2mm	Back 2mm	Left Side 10mm	Right Side 10mm	Top Side 10mm	Bottom Side 10mm		
	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	No		
JG NK Danu 200	1	No	No	No	No	Yes	No		
5G NR Band 261	0	No	Yes	No	No	No	No		
	1	No	No	No	No	Yes	No		

From the Part 0 and simulation report, beam IDs with highest PD and corresponding input power limit were selected to be tested for each antenna module and for each frequency band.

## 10. <u>RF Exposure Evaluation Results</u>

- 1. The PD test was performed of a 2mm separation between sensor and EUT surface (the probe tip is 0.5mm to the EUT surface).
- 2. According to TCBC Workshop in October 2018, 4 cm<sup>2</sup> averaging area are used.
- 3. This device is enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, smart transmit will manage and ensure LTE and 5G simultaneous transmission is compliant. The validation of the time-averaging algorithm and compliance under the Tx varying transmission scenario for WWAN technologies are reported in Part 2 report.
- 4. Input power limit parameter for 5G mmW NR radio was calculated in RF Exposure Part 0 test report.
- The device was configured to transmit CW wave signal for testing, due to Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, additional testing was not required for different modulations (CP-OFDM QPSK, CP-OFDM 16QAM, CP-OFDM 64QAM), RB configurations, component carriers, channel configurations (low channel, mid channel, high channel).
- 6. Power density measurements were performed with DUT transmitting at input.power.limit for one single beam for each polarization (H & V) and one beam-pair, for each antenna type and for each antenna module (0,1) on the worst-surfaces.
- 7. The Beam ID with one of the highest initial simulated power density for that surface and distance was selected for Part 1 Power Density measurements.
- 8. Some Power Density Evaluations were performed at a more conservative power level.
- 9. It's illustrated in Part 0 report that , for 5G mmW NR since there is total design-related uncertainty arising from TxAGC and device-to-device variation, the worst-case RF exposure should be determined by accounting for this device uncertainty of 2.1 dB, as well as PD design target of 3.8 W/m2. Smart Transmit algorithm limits PD exposure to 75% of maximum to provide at least 25% margin allocated for 4G LTE anchor. Therefore, 5G mmW NR RF exposure for this DUT is evaluated by reported PD calculated as:

Reported PD=75% x PD design target +2.1 dB =4.62 W/cm2 = 4.62 mW/cm^2



#### Report No. : FA082730-06

Test number	Antenna module	Beam ID 1	Beam ID 2	Band	Frequency (GHz)	Exposure Surface	Input Power limit	Test separation	Modulation	Measured results Savg inc 4cm^2 (W/m2)	Measured results Savg tot 4cm^2 (W/m2)	Epeak [V/m]	Hpeak [A/m]
	Module 0	26	-	n260	38.5	Back	1.5	2mm	CW	2.53	3.26	79.8	0.201
	Module 0	-	148	n260	38.5	Back	2.49	2mm	CW	2.51	3.2	82.7	0.234
01	Module 1	23	-	n260	37	Top Side	1.69	2mm	CW	3.86	4.77	96.9	0.246
	Module 1	-	150	n260	37	Top Side	2.86	2mm	CW	3.9	4.45	71.8	0.206
	Module 0	26	154	n260	40	Back	-1.71	2mm	CW	1.49	1.88	54.4	0.151
	Module 1	12	140	n260	38.5	Top Side	-1.3	2mm	CW	2.16	2.74	63.9	0.209
	Module 1	0	-	n260	37	Top Side	7.21	2mm	CW	2.6	3.16	69	0.2
	Module 0	19	-	n261	28.35	Back	2.68	2mm	CW	3.49	4.07	85	0.219
	Module 0	-	147	n261	27.5	Back	2.34	2mm	CW	3.38	4.12	69.7	0.197
	Module 1	23	-	n261	27.925	Top Side	2.79	2mm	CW	3.15	3.9	67.3	0.182
02	Module 1	-	143	n261	27.925	Top Side	3.27	2mm	CW	3.42	4.28	73.6	0.205
	Module 0	27	155	n261	27.5	Back	-0.73	2mm	CW	2.95	3.58	69.2	0.165
	Module 1	12	140	n261	27.925	Top Side	-0.48	2mm	CW	1.03	1.65	49.2	0.117
	Module 0	1	-	n261	28.35	Back	8.56	2mm	CW	2.17	2.47	57.1	0.138



## 11. <u>5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT Sim-Tx analysis</u>

In 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT simultaneous transmission, 5G NR and LTE transmission are managed and controlled by Qualcomm® Smart Transmit, while the RF exposure from WLAN and BT radios is managed using legacy approach, i.e., through a fixed power back-off if needed.

Since WLAN and BT do not employ time-averaging, 1gSAR and 10gSAR measurement for WLAN and BT need to be conducted at their corresponding rated power following current FCC test procedures to determine reported SAR values.

Smart Transmit current implementation assumes hotspots from 5G NR and LTE are collocated. Therefore, for a total of 100% exposure margin, if LTE uses x%, then the exposure margin left for 5G NR is capped to (100-x)%. Thus, the compliance equation for LTE + 5G NR is

 $x\% *A + (100-x)\% *B \le 1.0,$ 

Where, A is normalized reported time-averaged SAR exposure ratio from LTE, and A  $\leq$  1.0; B is normalized reported time-averaged exposure ratio from 5G NR (i.e., PD exposure for mmW NR or SAR exposure for sub6 NR), and B  $\leq$  1.0.

Let C = normalized reported SAR exposure ratio from WLAN+BT, then for compliance,  $x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B + C \le 1.0$  (1)

 $x\% * A + (100-x)\% * B \le x\% * max(A, B) + (100-x)\% * max(A, B) \le max(A, B)$ 

 $x\% *A + (100-x)\% *B + C \le max(A, B) + C \le 1.0$  (2)

if A + C  $\leq$  1.0 and B + C  $\leq$  1.0 can be proven, then "x% \* A + (100-x)% \* B + C  $\leq$  1.0". Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis for 5G NR + LTE + WLAN + BT can be performed in two steps

Step 1: Prove total exposure ratio (TER) of LTE + WLAN + BT < 1 Step 2: Prove total exposure ratio (TER) of 5G NR + WLAN + BT < 1

Else, if A + C > 1.0 and/or B + C > 1.0, then the followings need to hold true for compliance:

i. A and C are decoupled based on the SPLSR criteria , and

- ii. (100-x)% \* B + C ≤ 1.0, and
- iii. x% \* A + (100-x)% \* B ≤ 1.0

Note iii. is covered in Part 2 report; i. and ii. should be addressed in Part 2 report.

Step 1: it's justified in Part 1 SAR report (Sporton report number FA082402-06 A, rev.01) Step 2: it's justified in section 12.1

# FCC RF Exposure Report

#### Report No. : FA082730-06

During TER analysis, the **reported time-averaged PD** (assuming *input.power.limit* for at least one beam < NV setting *Pmax*) applies only to the worst-surface of the device. For other surfaces, worst-case PD needs to be calculated to assess TER for the corresponding surface. To determine worst-case PD for other surfaces, using simulation results

- 1. Calculate ratio of simulated PD for desired surface to simulated PD of worstsurface for a given beam
- 2. Repeat 1 to obtain ratios for all supported beams, and determine maximum ratio

3. Repeat 1~2 to obtain the corresponding worst-case PD for rest of surfaces (non worst-case surfaces) needed for TER analysis.

For example, if the back surface of device has highest PD and is determined as worst-surface, then,

- Back\_surface\_worst-case\_PD = reported time-averaged PD where, reported time-averaged PD = PD\_design\_target + mmW device design related uncertainty
- For other surfaces
  - front\_surface\_worst-case\_PD = PD\_ratio\_front\_to\_back \* reported timeaveraged PD where, PD\_ratio\_front\_to\_back = max { simulated PD\_front(i) / simulated P\_back(i) , beam i = 1,2 ... N }, N= total N beams (all beams) supported by the mmW module being evaluated being evaluated.
  - Follow similar approach to determine worst-case PD for bottom/top/left/right (if applicable).
- For body-worn and hotspot scenario, if SAR was measured at 15mm and 10mm, respectively, then the worst-case PD at 15mm and 10mm separation distance should be determined per surface as
  - 15mm\_worst-case\_PD = PD\_ratio\_15mm\_to\_0mm \* reported timeaveraged PD Here, PD\_ratio\_15 mm\_to\_0mm = max { simulated Pd at 15 mm (i) / simulated PD at 0 mm (i) , beam i = 1,2 ... N }, N = total number of beams (all beams) supported by the mmW module being evaluated.
  - 10mm\_worst-case\_PD = PD\_ratio\_10mm\_to\_0mm \* reported timeaveraged PD Here, PD\_ratio\_15 mm\_to\_0mm = max { simulated Pd at 10 mm (i) / simulated PD at 0 mm
  - > Note the validated model/simulation should be used in worst-case PD determination.



## 12. Simultaneous-Tx analysis

NO.	Simultaneous Transmission Configurations	Exposure Positions						
	Simulaneous transmission configurations	Head	Hotspot	Body-worn	Extremity			
1.	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3+6 + LTE+ n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
2.	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 6 + BT Ant 3 + LTE+ n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3.	5GHz WLAN Ant 5+6 + BT Ant 3 + LTE+ n260/n261	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

#### **General Note:**

- 1. The WLAN and Bluetooth SAR test results were referring the report of FCC ID: IHDT56ZF3 (Sporton SAR Report No. FA082402-06A).
- 2. Considering n260/n261 transmitter with WLAN and Bluetooth can transmit simultaneously, the basic restrictions are on SAR and power density, and summation of these quantities should follow below formula and the simultaneous transmission analysis was following below step.
  - i) Use the standalone SAR according original report to collocate with n260/n261 transmitter power density at each exposure positions, if the result < 1, additional analysis is not necessary.

The [ $\sum$  of (the highest measured or estimated SAR for each standalone antenna configuration, adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance) / 1.6 W/kg] + [ $\sum$  of MPE ratios] is  $\leq$  1.0.

- 3. This device is enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature to control and manage transmitting power in real time and to ensure that the time-averaged RF exposure from WWAN is in compliance with FCC requirements. Since the device enabled with Qualcomm® Smart Transmit feature, 4G LTE and 5G mmW NR simultaneous transmission scenario does not need to be evaluated under Total Exposure Ratio (TER). The validation of the time-averaging algorithm and compliance under the Tx varying transmission scenario for WWAN technologies are reported in Part 2 report.
- 4. For 5G mmW NR, (100% \* PD\_design\_target +2.1 dB total uncertainty) /PD exposure limit will use this computed reported time-averaged PD for total exposure ratio (TER) analysis.



#### 12.1 Simultaneous transmission analysis for WiFi/BT + 5G NR

## <Head Exposure Condition>

Head		Reporte	TER ratio (Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation)			
Exposure Position	1	2	3	4		
	PD	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3+6	5GHz WLAN Ant 5+6	Bluetooth Ant 3	1+2 Summed	1+3+4 Summed
	4cm^2(W/m^2)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)		
Right Cheek	6.160	0.335	0.323	0.158	0.825	0.917
Right Tilted	6.160	0.335	0.379	0.158	0.825	0.952
Left Cheek	6.160	0.335	0.216	0.158	0.825	0.850
Left Tilted	6.160	0.335	0.255	0.158	0.825	0.874

#### <Hotspot Exposure Condition>

Body		Reporte	TER ratio (Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation)			
Exposure Position	1	2	3	4		
	PD	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3+6	5GHz WLAN Ant 5+6	Bluetooth Ant 3	1+2 Summed	1+3+4 Summed
	4cm^2(W/m^2)	(W/m^2) 1g SAR (W/kg)		1g SAR (W/kg)	ounned	ounned
Front at 5mm -	6.160	0.116	0.094	0.028	0.689	0.692
Back at 5mm -	6.160	0.382	0.279	0.028	0.855	0.808
Left side at 5mm -	6.160	0.079	0.065	0.028	0.665	0.674
Right side at 5mm -	6.160	0.138	0.106	0.028	0.702	0.700
Top side at 5mm -	6.160	0.255	0.057	0.028	0.775	0.669
Bottom side at 5mm -	6.160				0.616	0.616

#### <Body-Worn Exposure Condition>

Body		Reporte	TER ratio (Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation)				
Exposure Position	1	2	3	4			
	PD	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3+6	5GHz WLAN Ant 5+6	Bluetooth Ant 3	1+2 Summed	1+3+4 Summed	
	4cm^2(W/m^2)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR (W/kg)	Gunnied	Guinnea	
Front at 5mm -	6.160	0.382	0.370	0.028	0.855	0.865	
Back at 5mm -	6.160	0.382	0.370	0.028	0.855	0.865	

Body	Reported SAR		TER ratio (Reported SAR/1.6 + PD/10 Summation)
		2	
Exposure Position	PD	5GHz WLAN Ant 5+6	1+2 Summed
	4cm^2(W/m^2)	1g SAR (W/kg)	
Front at 18mm -	6.160	0.065	0.657
Back at 24mm - 6.160		0.303	0.805



## <Product Specific Exposure Conditions>

Body		Reported SAR			ratio + PD/10 Summation)	
	1	2	3			
Exposure Position	PD	2.4GHz WLAN Ant 3+6	2.4GHz WLAN 5GHz WLAN Ant 3+6 Ant 5+6		1+3 Summed	
	4cm^2(W/m^2)	10g SAR (W/kg)	10g SAR (W/kg)			
Front at 0mm -	6.160	0.685	0.753	0.787	0.804	
Back at 0mm -	6.160	0.685	0.753	0.787	0.804	
Left side at 0mm -	6.160			0.616	0.616	
Right side at 0mm -	6.160			0.616	0.616	
Top side at 0mm -	6.160	0.685	0.753	0.787	0.804	
Bottom side at 0mm -	6.160	0.685	0.753	0.787	0.804	

Test Engineer : Mood Huang and Lemon Su



#### 13. Uncertainty Assessment

The budget is valid for evaluation distances >  $\lambda/2\pi$ . For specific tests and configurations, the Uncertainty could be considerably smaller.

cDASY6 Mo dule mmWave Uncertainty Budget Evaluation Distances to the Antennas > $\lambda/2\pi$										
Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±dB)	Probability	Divisor	(Ci)	Standard Uncertainty (±dB)					
Uncertainty terms dependent on the measurement system										
Probe Calibration	0.49	Ν	1	1	0.49					
Probe correction	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Frequency response (BW $\leq$ 1 GHz)	0.20	R	1.732	1	0.12					
Sensor cross coupling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Isotropy	0.50	R	1.732	1	0.29					
Linearity	0.20	R	1.732	1	0.12					
Probe scattering	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Probe positioning offset	0.30	R	1.732	1	0.17					
Probe positioning repeatability	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02					
Sensor mechanical offset	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Probe spatial resolution	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Field impedance dependence	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Amplitude and phase drift	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Amplitude and phase noise	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02					
Measurement area truncation	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Data acquisition	0.03	Ν	1	1	0.03					
Sampling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Field reconstruction	0.60	R	1.732	1	0.35					
Forward transformation	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Power density scaling	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.00					
Spatial averaging	0.10	R	1.732	1	0.06					
System detection limit	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.02					
Uncertainty terms	dependent on the DU	T and environment	al factors							
Probe coupling with DUT	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Modulation response	0.40	R	1.732	1	0.2					
Integration time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Response time	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Device holder influence	0.10	R	1.732	1	0.1					
DUT alignment	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0					
RF ambient conditions	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Ambient reflections	0.04	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Immunity / secondary reception	0.00	R	1.732	1	0.0					
Drift of the DUT		R	1.732	1						
Combined Std. Uncertainty										
Expanded	STD Uncertainty (95%)				1.52 dB					
Declaration of Conformity:										

The test results with all measurement uncertainty excluded is presented in accordance with the regulation limits or requirements declared by manufacturers. Comments and Explanations: The declared of product specification for EUT presented in the report are provided by the manufacturer, and the manufacturer takes all the responsibilities for

The declared of product specification for EUT presented in the report are provided by the manufacturer, and the manufacturer takes all the responsibilities for the accuracy of product specification.



#### 14. <u>References</u>

- [1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- [2] FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, "Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies", Oct 2015
- [3] FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02, "RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations" Oct 2015.
- [4] FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets", Oct 2015.