

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 1 of 100

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report

for ZyXEL Communications Corporation on the 802.11g Wireless USB Adapter Model Number: ZyAIR G-220

> Test Report: EME-040444 Date of Report: May 19, 2004 Date of test: May 25, 2004

Total No of Pages Contained in this Report: 100



	Accredited for testing to FCC Part 15				
Tested by:	Kevin Chen	Keinin Chin			
Reviewed by:	Victor Wen	Unctor Wen			

Review Date: May 26, 2004

All services undertaken are subject to the following general policy: Reports are submitted for exclusive use of the client to whom they are addressed. Their significance is subject to the adequacy and representative character of the samples and to the comprehensiveness of the tests, examinations or surveys made. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written consent of Intertek Testing Services, Taiwan Ltd.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 2 of 100

76

Table of Contents

1.0 Job Description	4
1.1 Client Information	
1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)	
1.3 Test plan reference	5
1.4 System test configuration	5
1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment	5
1.4.2 Test Position	6
1.4.3 Test Condition	
1.5 Modifications required for compliance	
1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards	7
2.0 SAR Evaluation	
2.1 SAR Limits	
2.2 Configuration Photograph	
2.3 SAR measurement system	
2.4 SAR measurement system validation	
2.4.1 System Validation result	16
2.4.2 System Performance Check result	
2.5 Test Result	21
3.0 Test Equipment	
3.1 Equipment List	
3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid	
3.2.1 Body Tissue Simulating Liquid for evaluation test	
3.2.2 Head Tissue Simulating Liquid for System performance Check test	
3.2.3 Body Liquid results	
3.2.4 Head Liquid results	
3.3 E-Field Probe and 2450 Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration	
4.0 Measurement Uncertainty	
5.0 Measurement Traceability	
6.0 WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA	
7.0 REFERENCES	35
8.0 DOCUMENT HISTORY	
APPENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data	
APPENDIX B - Photographs	74
APPENDIX C - E-Field Probe and 2450MHz Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibra	ation Data



STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The ZyXEL sample device, model # ZyAIR G-220 was evaluated in accordance with the requirements for compliance testing defined in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01). Testing was performed at the Intertek Testing Services facility in Hsinchu, Taiwan.

For the evaluation, the dosimetric assessment system INDEXSAR SARA2 was used. The phantom employed was the box phantom of 2mm thick in one wall. The total uncertainty for the evaluation of the spatial peak SAR values averaged over a cube of 1g tissue mass had been assessed for this system to be $\pm 20.6\%$.

The device was tested at their maximum output power declared by the ZyXEL.

In summary, the maximum spatial peak SAR value for the sample device averaged over 1g was found to be:

Phantom	Position	SAR _{1g} , mW/g
2mm thick box phantom wall	802.11g high channel EUT bottom to the phantom, 0 mm separation	0.624 mW/g.

In conclusion, the tested Sample device was found to be in compliance with the requirements defined in OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) for body configurations.

Intertek ETL SEMKO

FCC ID.: 188G220

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 4 of 100

1.0 Job Description

1.1 Client Information

The ZyAIR G-110 has been tested at the request of:

Company: ZyXEL Communications Corporation No. 6, Innovation Rd II, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

Product Descriptions:

Equipment	802.11g Wireless USB Adapter		
Trade Name	ZyXEL	Model No:	ZyAIR G-220
FCC ID	I88G220	S/N No.	Not Labeled
Category	Portable	RF Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment
Frequency Band	2412 – 2462 MHz	System	DSSS, OFDM

	EUT Antenna Description				
Туре	Ceramic	Configuration	Fixed		
Dimensions	4.5 x 2 mm	Gain	0 dBi		
Location	Embedded				

Use of Product :	Wireless Data Communication
Manufacturer:	ZyXEL
Production is planned:	[X] Yes, [] No
EUT receive date:	May 4, 2004
EUT received condition:	Good operating condition prototype
Test start date:	May 12, 2004
Test end date:	May 25, 2004



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 5 of 100

1.3 Test plan reference

FCC Rule: Part 2.1093, FCC's OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and IEEE 1528

1.4 System test configuration

1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

		Support Eq	uipment	
Item #	Equipment	Brand	Model No.	S/N
1	Notebook	DELL	PP01L	CN-03P83-48643-33O-3930





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 6 of 100

1.4.2 Test Position

See the photographs as section 2.2

1.4.3 Test Condition

During tests the worst-case data (max RF coupling) was determined with following conditions:

Usage	Operates with a portable computer	Distance between antenna axis at the joint and the liquid surface:	bottom position	ng the Phantom in , perpendicular to nm and 15mm	
Simulating human Head/ Body/Hand	Body	EUT Battery computer th		vered from host rough battery.	
802.11b	Channel	Frequency MHz	Before SAR Test (dBm)	After SAR Test (dBm)	
Conducted	Low Channel - 1	2412	19.56	19.55	
output Power	Mid Channel - 6	2437	17.22	17.22	
	High Channel- 11	2462	17.95	17.96	
802.11g	Channel	Frequency MHz	Before SAR Test (dBm)	After SAR Test (dBm)	
Conducted	Low Channel - 1	2412	19.47	19.45	
output Power	Mid Channel - 6	2437	16.42	16.44	
	High Channel- 11	2462	17.88	17.89	

The spatial peak SAR values were assessed for lowest, middle and highest operating channels, defined by the manufacturer.

The conducted output power was measured before and after the test using a diode detector, oscilloscope and signal generator.

The EUT was transmitted continuously during the test.

After verifying the maximum output power, we found the maximum output power was occurred at 11Mbps data rate for the 802.11b function and 24Mbps data rate for the 802.11g.

All the test data were performed under the above transmission rate.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 7 of 100

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

Intertek Testing Services implemented no modifications.

1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

The phantom employed was the box phantom of 2mm thick in vertical wall.



2.0 SAR Evaluation

2.1 SAR Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

EXPOSURE (General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	SAR (W/kg)
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 9 of 100

2.2 Configuration Photograph

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Test System





FCC ID. : I88G220

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 10 of 100

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Bottom side of Laptop facing phantom touching



Bottom side of Laptop facing phantom touching






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 11 of 100

SAR Measurement Test Setup

Bottom side of Laptop facing phantom touching






FCC ID. : I88G220


Report No.: EME-040444 Page 12 of 100

SAR Measurement Test Setup

EUT perpendicular to phantom, 0 mm separation



EUT perpendicular to phantom, 0 mm separation






FCC ID. : I88G220


Report No.: EME-040444 Page 13 of 100

SAR Measurement Test Setup

EUT perpendicular to phantom, 15 mm separation



EUT perpendicular to phantom, 15 mm separation





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 14 of 100

2.3 SAR measurement system

Robot system specification

The SAR measurement system being used is the IndexSAR SARA2 system, which consists of a Mitsubishi RV-E2 6-axis robot arm and controller, IndexSAR probe and amplifier and SAM phantom Head Shape. The robot is used to articulate the probe to programmed positions inside the phantom head to obtain the SAR readings from the DUT.

The system is controlled remotely from a PC, which contains the software to control the robot and data acquisition equipment. The software also displays the data obtained from test scans.




Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SAR measurement system

The position and digitised shape of the phantom heads are made available to the software for accurate positioning of the probe and reduction of set-up time.

The SAM phantom heads are individually digitised using a Mitutoyo CMM machine to a precision of 0.02mm. The data is then converted into a shape format for the software, providing an accurate description of the phantom shell. In operation, the system first does an area (2D) scan at a fixed depth within the liquid from the inside wall of the phantom. When the maximum SAR point has been found, the system will then carry out a 3D scan centred at that point to determine volume averaged SAR level.

The first 2 measurements points in a direction perpendicular to the surface of the phantom during the zoom scan and closest to the phantom surface, were only 3.5mm and the probe is kept at greater than half a diameter from the surface.



2.4 SAR measurement system validation

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to the $\pm 10\%$ of the specifications by using the system validation equipments. The validation was performed at 2450 MHz on the bottom side of box phantom.

Procedures

The SAR evaluation was performed with the following procedures:

- a. The SAR distribution was measured at the exposed side of the bottom of the box phantom and was measured at a distance of 8 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The feed power was 1/5W.
- b. The dimension for this cube is 32 mm x 32 mm x 34 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
 - i) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 5 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - ii) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum, the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - iii) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

The test scan procedure for system validation also apply to the general scan procedure except for the set-up position. For general scan, the EUT was placed at the side of phantom. For validation scan, the dipole antenna was placed at the bottom of phantom



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 16 of 100

2.4.1 System Validation result


	Sy	vstem Validation (2450) MHz Head)	
Frequency MHz	Operating Mode	Target SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Deviation (±10%)
2450	CW	52.4	54.688	4.37%

Please see the plot below:



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 17 of 100

Date:	2003	3/10/15			Position: Bottom	
Filename:	2450)val10-1	5.txt		Phantom: Box1.c	sv
Device Tested:	SAR	A2 syst	em		Head Rotation: 0	
Antenna:	2450)dipole			Test Frequency: 2450M	Hz
Shape File:	none	e.csv			Power Level: 24dBm	/CW
Probe:	0136				Liquid:	15.5cm
Cal File:	SN0136	_2450_	CW_HE	AD	Туре:	2450MHz Hea
		Χ	Y	Z	Conductivity:	1.80379
	Air	490	405	405	Relative Permittivity:	38.1223
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	Liquid Temp (deg C):	23.3
	Lin	.453	.453	.453	Ambient Temp (deg C):	24
Amp Gain:	2	1			Ambient RH (%):	50
Averaging:	1				Density (kg/m3):	1000
Batteries					Software Version:	0.421N
Replaced:	-					



	an	En	End Scan	
0.896			0.889	
-0.78				
74.25				
1g		10g		
13.672	2	(5.405	
X	Y	,	Z	
-1.3	0.0	0	-220.7	
	74.25 1g 13.672 X	74.25 1g 13.672 X Y	74.25 1g 13.672 6 X Y X Y	



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 18 of 100

2.4.2 System Performance Check result

5/11/2004

	System Validation (2450 MHz Head)							
Frequency MHz								
2450	CW	52.4	51.705	-1.33%				

5/24/2004


	System Validation (2450 MHz Head)							
Frequency MHz								
2450	CW	52.4	49.115	-6.27%				

Please see the plot below:



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 19 of 100

							гаде
Date:	2004	4/5/11			Position:	bottom of	f phantom
Filename:	2450) perform	nance cl	neck	Phantom:	HeadBox	1-valcsv
Device Tested:	2450) perform	nance cl	neck	Head Rotation:	0	
Antenna:	2450) dipole	antenan		Test Frequency:	2450MH	Z
Shape File:	none	e.csv			Power Level:	23 dBm	
Probe:	0136				Liquid:		15.5cm
Cal File:	SN0136	_2450_0	CW_HE	AD	Туре:		2450MHz Head
		Χ	Y	Z	Conductivity:		1.832
	Air	490	405	405	Relative Permitti	vity:	38.196
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	Liquid Temp (de	g C):	23.1
	Lin	.378	.378	.378	Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Amp Gain:	2				Ambient RH (%)):	50
-	1				Density (kg/m3):		1000
	-				Software Version	n:	2.3 VPM
Batteries							





FCC ID. : I88G220

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 20 of 100

Date / Time: Filename: Device Tested: Antenna: Shape File:	2450 2450) perfori) MHz d	mance cl mance cl lipole		Position: Phantom: Head Rotation: Test Frequency: Power Level:	Bottom of HeadBox 0 2450MHz 23dBm	1-val	
Probe:	0136				Liquid:		15.5	cm
Cal File:	SN0136	_2450_	CW_HE	AD	Туре:		2450	MHz Head
		X	Y	Z	Conductivity:		1.83	561
	Air	490	405	405	Relative Permitti	vity:	38.2	207
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	Liquid Temp (de	g C):	23.0	
	Lin	.378	.378	.378	Ambient Temp (leg C):	23.0	
Amp Gain:	2				Ambient RH (%)):	45	
Averaging:	1				Density (kg/m3):		1000)
Batteries Replaced:	-				Software Version	:	2.3 \	/PM
الله من المراجع المراجع من المراجع المرا	/			N.	ZOOM SCAN Spot SAR	RESUI		End Scan
-1.00				W	(W/kg):	0.667		0.669
-192 -190 ¹⁴ -190				V	Change during Scan (%) Max E-field (V/m):	0.35 63.00		
-1891 E						1g		10g
-300"				1	Max SAR (W/kg)	9.823		4.647
-205- - - - - 0	15 -10 - 15 30 50 Eaf	9 ф 7 (же) 9 40 50 47 ("Vini)	\$ 10 60 Ta	- 	Location of Max (mm): Normalized to an Averaged over 1 o 49.115W/kg	X 0.0 input pov cm ³ (1g) o	Y 0.0 wer of f tissu	f 1W



2.5 Test Result

The results on the following page(s) were obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix A.

Measurement Results

Trade Name:	ZyXEL		Model No.:	ZyAIR G-22	0
Serial No.:	Not Labl	ed	Test Engineer:		
		TEST C	CONDITIONS		
Ambient Temp	Ambient Temperature 23 °C		Relative Humidit	у	48 %
Test Signal Sou	irce	Test Mode	Signal Modulatio	n	DSSS
Output Power BeforeSee page 6SAR Test		Output Power Af Test	fter SAR	See page 6	
Test Duration		23 min. each scan	Number of Batter	ry Change	1

	EUT Position								
Channel (MHz)	Operating Mode	Crest Factor	Description	Distance (mm)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Plot Number			
2412	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.268	1			
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	0.181	2			
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.036	3			
2437	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.418	4			
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	0.192	5			
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.036	6			
2462	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.362	7			
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	0.201	8			
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.042	9			

Test Mode: 802.11b operation mode (DSSS Modulation)

Note: 1. The distance from bottom of EUT to flat phantom is 3 mm.

2. Configuration at middle channel with more than –3dB of applicable limit.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 22 of 100

	EUT Position								
Channel (MHz)	Operating Mode	Crest Factor	Description	Distance (mm)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Plot Number			
2412	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.530	10			
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	0.298	11			
2412	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.059	12			
2437	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.585	13			
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	0	0.320	14			
2437	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.064	15			
2462	DSSS	1	Bottom to phantom	0	0.624	16			
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom 0 0.330		17				
2462	DSSS	1	Perpendicular to phantom	15	0.068	18			

Note: 1. The distance from bottom of EUT to flat phantom is 3 mm.

2. Configuration at middle channel with more than –3dB of applicable limit.



3.0 Test Equipment

3.1 Equipment List

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) tests were performed with the INDEXSAR SARA2 SYSTEM.

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

	SAR Measurement System		
EQUIPMENT	SPECIFICATIONS	S/N #	LAST CAL. DATE
Balanced Validation dipole	2450MHz	0048	03/26/2003
Controller	Mitsubishi CR-E116	F1008007	N/A
Robot	Mitsubishi RV-E2	EA009002	N/A
	Repeatability: ± 0.04mm; Number of Axes: 6		
E-Field Probe	IXP-050	0136	09/10/2003
	Frequency Range: Probe outer diameter: 5.2 mm; probe tip and the dipole center: 2.7 mm	Length: 350 mm	; Distance between the
Data Acquisition	SARA2	N/A	N/A
	Processor: Pentium 4; Clock speed: 1.5GHz; OS: Win Software: SARA2 ver. VPM2p3	ndows XP; I/O: tw	vo RS232;
Phantom	2mm wall thickness box phantom	N/A	N/A
	Shell Material: clear Perspex; Thickness: 2 ± 0.1 mm D) mm ³ ; Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500	; Capacity: 152.5 MHz;	x 215.5 x 200 (W x L x
Device holder	Material: clear Perspex; Dielectric constant: less than 2.85 above 500MHz	N/A	N/A
Simulated Tissue	Mixture	N/A	5/11/2004, 5/24/2004
	Please see section 3.2 for details		
RF Power Meter	Boonton 4231A with 51011-EMC power sensor	79401-32482	03/21/2004
	Frequency Range: 0.03 to 8 GHz, <24dBm	·	
Vector Network Analyzer	HP 8753B HP 85046A	2807J04037 2729A01958	07/04/2003
	300k to 3GHz		
Signal Generator	R&S SMR27	100036	09/19/2003
	10M to 27GHz, <120dBuV		
Crystal Detector	Agilent 8472B	MY42240243	N/A
	10MHz to 18GHz		
Two Channel Digital Storage Oscilloscope	Tektronix TDS1012	C031679	08/16/2003



3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid

3.2.1 Body Tissue Simulating Liquid for evaluation test

Body Ingredients Frequency (2.45 GHz)						
DGBE (Dilethylene Glycol Butyl Ether)	26.7%					
Salt	0.04%					
Water	73.2%					

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

5/12/2004

Frequency (MHz)	Тетр. (°С)	e _r / Relative Permittivity			s / Conductivity (mho/m)			r *(kg/m ³)
2450	22.5	measured	target	∆(±5%)	measured	target	∆(±5%)	1000
2430	22.3	50.616	52.7	-3.95%	1.975	1.95	1.28%	1000

* Worst-case assumption

5/24/2004

Frequency (MHz)	Тетр. (°С)	e _r / Relative Permittivity			s / Conductivity (mho/m)			r *(kg/m ³)
2450	22.4	measured	target	∆(±5%)	measured	target	∆(±5%)	1000
2430	22.4	50.524	52.7	-4.13%	1.977	1.95	1.38%	1000

* Worst-case assumption

3.2.2 Head Tissue Simulating Liquid for System performance Check test

Head Ingredients Frequency (2.45 GHz)						
DGBE (Dilethylene Glycol Butyl Ether) 53.3%						
Water	46.7%					

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85046A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753B network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

5/11/2004

Frequency (MHz)	Тетр. (°С)	e _r / Relat i	ive Pern	nittivity	s / Condu	r *(kg/m ³)		
2450	23.6	measured	target	∆(±5%)	measured	target	∆(±5%)	1000
2430	23.0	38.196	39.2	-2.56%	1.832	1.80	1.78%	1000

* Worst-case assumption



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 25 of 100

5/24/2004

Frequency (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	e _r / Relat i	ve Pern	nittivity	s / Condu	r *(kg/m ³)		
2450	23.6	measured	target	∆(±5%)	measured	target	∆(±5%)	1000
2430	23.0	38.221	39.2	-2.50%	1.836	1.80	2.00%	1000


* Worst-case assumption

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 26 of 100


3.2.3 Body Liquid results

Date: 12 May 2004	Temperature: 22.5 °C	Type: 2450 MHz/ body (FCC)	Tested by: Kevin
2410, 49.8731446414, -2.009 2411, 49.9112093915, -2.004 2412, 49.9286569706, -2.003 2413, 49.921316844, -1.9982 2414, 49.927382284, -1.9956 2415, 49.8880524043, -1.9982 2417, 49.923828054, -1.983 2417, 49.9258528054, -1.983 2417, 49.9258528054, -1.982 2419, 49.9258528054, -1.982 2419, 49.9258528054, -1.982 2419, 49.9258528054, -1.982 2424, 9.9698751296, -1.973 2422, 49.960099717, -1.9641 2423, 49.9706048043, -1.968 2424, 50.0341630308, -1.974 2422, 50.0384182148, -1.964 2424, 50.0341630308, -1.974 2425, 50.0384182148, -1.964 2426, 50.031061952, -1.9639 2427, 50.0526015716, -1.9669 2428, 50.0985955956, -1.9611 2429, 50.1268020268, -1.958 2430, 50.1366070239, -1.9569 2433, 50.2018320486, -1.953 2434, 50.2012830915, -1.958 2435, 50.2277164646, -1.957 2437, 50.288863061, -1.957 2437, 50.288863061, -1.957 2439, 50.3759825384, -1.954 2440, 50.399935851, -1.954 2443, 50.4131007941, -1.957 2442, 50.4307422949, -1.956 2443, 50.4600185674, -1.959	7702209 7702209 777794 2895457 130714 066876 4729458 220545 488351 435087 2009525 514365 3770615 280452 7209839 2614973 567663 339711 243966 0304384 007012 2513676 57576979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 7892979 5637272 137024 5160101 9992608 9706517 766283	2450, 50.6161744677, -1.9754652073 2451, 50.666379811, -1.9764179804 2452, 50.6162952412, -1.9838350742 2453, 50.6779148886, -1.9756196253 2454, 50.7040448497, -1.9809000179 2455, 50.7734214, -1.9806639593 2455, 50.77332261859, -1.9880432896 2457, 50.7802528831, -1.9940196162 2458, 50.7737680686, -1.991111707 2459, 50.8070368783, -1.9980889919 2460, 50.837789217, -2.0064662814 2462, 50.871457551, -2.0113893951 2463, 50.8857589717, -2.0064662814 2464, 50.9123694234, -2.0122454418 2465, 50.9138911311, -2.0172839375 2466, 50.9001966111, -2.0310633493 2467, 50.955926925, -2.0268962334 2468, 50.9833408231, -2.0315984398 2469, 50.9759241685, -2.0347672535 2470, 50.9759241685, -2.0347672535 2470, 50.9759241685, -2.0509728617 2473, 51.0035102736, -2.0501932978 2474, 51.0035102736, -2.0501932978 2474, 51.0037455701, -2.0650215526 2477, 51.0444754748, -2.0658901649 2476, 51.0037455701, -2.0650215526 2477, 51.042357724, -2.0840241438 2480, 51.044215473, -2.0868795115 2479, 51.042357724, -2.0840241438 2480, 51.044076776, -2.0915639502 2482, 51.0753751596, -2.0998890189 2483, 51.003472052, -2.017485944 2484, 51.024773553, -2.0970022534	
2445, 50.4965689782, -1.964 2446, 50.5399616137, -1.966 2447, 50.5703201147, -1.962 2448, 50.6210335047, -1.967 2449, 50.6204799637, -1.971	385606 9826867 5438113	2485, 51.0566720485, -2.112876318 2486, 51.0615725446, -2.1136007118 2487, 51.0476522761, -2.1245679821 2488, 51.0202202869, -2.130823991 2489, 51.0577005467, -2.1321836205 2490, 50.9613723025, -2.1420860539	

Intertek ETL SEMKO








Report No.: EME-040444 Page 28 of 100


3.2.4 Head Liquid results

Date: 11 May 2004	Temperature: 23.6 °C	Type: 2450 MHz/ head (FCC)	Tested by: Kevin
2410, 37.5961239001, -1.84858 2411, 37.5845045409, -1.85167 2412, 37.571063052, -1.849287 2413, 37.58988692, -1.840563 2414, 37.6001306413, -1.84787 2415, 37.6157700182, -1.8437654 2415, 37.6157700182, -1.84376554 2418, 37.61200357, -1.8376554 2419, 37.6212933761, -1.84005 2420, 37.6730433103, -1.83282 2421, 37.6370101214, -1.831563 2420, 37.6730433103, -1.83282 2421, 37.6370101214, -1.83156 2420, 37.6730433103, -1.83282 2421, 37.67807688, -1.83368 2423, 37.7180061681, -1.82792 2424, 37.678576381, -1.82382 2425, 37.7266572107, -1.83029 2426, 37.7780012959, -1.82470 2427, 37.7830044757, -1.82392 2429, 37.8051726634, -1.81732 2431, 37.8624408217, -1.81846 2433, 37.8642437895, -1.81732 2431, 37.8624408217, -1.81846 2433, 37.8642343805, -1.82082 2434, 37.87497895, -1.81750 2435, 37.9282750084, -1.81750 2436, 37.959103909, -1.815062 2437, 37.9455284507, -1.81892 2438, 37.945501851, -1.82030 2439, 37.982750084, -1.81750 2439, 37.982750084, -1.81750 2433, 37.9455284507, -1.81892 2438, 37.9465031851, -1.82030 2443, 38.00774978796, -1.81750 2439, 37.982750084, -1.821406 2440, 38.0027498709, -1.81802 2443, 38.00773152824, -1.82090 2444, 38.04608219, -1.81294 2441, 38.046082196, -1.81255 2444, 38.0773152824, -1.82090 2444, 38.1258872394, -1.82797 2445, 38.1240807164, -1.82491 2446, 38.1258872394, -1.82797 2444, 38.1837976148, -1.82941 2449, 38.1739445974, -1.83424	392442 551681 751681 751681 751681 751681 751681 751681 751681 751681 75178 75481 75494 7248 886417 75081 794045 794045 79005 788578 793843 795635 997745 661333 94045 90011 71366 886679 366322 74657 9136322 74657 916569 90329 336092 911169 76658 121197 95095	2450, 38.1961055007, -1.8323509876 2450, 38.1961055007, -1.8373431343 2451, 38.2190035921, -1.8373431343 2452, 38.284712072, -1.8373431343 2452, 38.284712072, -1.8373431343 2453, 38.29554181, -1.8378384055 2454, 38.2837062905, -1.843484389 2454, 38.2949765884, -1.85110753465 2455, 38.2949765884, -1.85110753465 2456, 38.2903237487, -1.8521893608 2457, 38.2949765884, -1.85110753465 2457, 38.2978134848, -1.8533583388 2458, 38.369057234, -1.8564104293 2459, 38.3538706589, -1.8583693078 2460, 38.3633436991, -1.8633118417 2461, 38.363743691, -1.8634728041 2462, 38.3637631513, -1.868705217 2463, 38.406901321, -1.8749748257 2464, 38.3885009466, -1.8834343981 2465, 38.4243362981, -1.8764568562 2467, 38.4404585774, -1.889450075 2466, 38.4437277682, -1.89456035345 2466, 38.4437277682, -1.8956098331 2470, 38.4902748441, -1.8964467203 2471, 38.4816509376, -1.903014201 2471, 38.4816509376, -1.903014201 2474, 38.5537255741, -1.9128800116 2475, 38.4769890112, -1.918205108 2477, 38.4446080959	

Intertek ETL SEMKO









Report No.: EME-040444 Page 30 of 100

3.3 E-Field Probe and 2450 Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration

Probe calibration factors and dipole antenna calibration are included in Appendix C.



4.0 Measurement Uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the INDEXSAR SARA2 measurement system according to IEEE 1528 documents [3] and is given in the following table. The extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.6 % for SAR measurement, and the extended uncertainty (95% confidence level) was assessed to be 20.2 % for system performance check.

Table 1 Exposure Assessment UncertaintyExample of measurement uncertainty assessment SAR measurement

(blue entries are site-specific)

			- T					1	1	1	
а	b			с	d	е		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Т	ol. (+/	-)	Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)		c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
		(dB)		(%)							
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	Ν	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	Ν	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Test Sample Related											
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2		2	2.00	Ν	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1		2	2.00	Ν	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Output Power Variation	6.6.2		5	5.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters											
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	Ν	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	Ν	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					RSS					10.5	10.3
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					20.6	20.3



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 32 of 100

Table 2 System Check (Verification)

Example of measurement uncertainty assessment for system performance check

blue entries are site-specific)											
а	b			с	d	е		f	g	h	I
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	(dB)	Tol. (+/	(%)	Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1 (1g)	c1 (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (%) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (%) 10g
Measurement System											
Probe Calibration	E2.1			2.5	Ν	1 or k	1	1	1	2.50	2.50
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	0.25	5.93	5.93	R	√3	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	0.45	10.92	10.92	R	√3	1.73	1	1	6.30	6.30
Boundary effect	E2.3		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Linearity	E2.4	0.04	0.93	0.93	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.53	0.53
System Detection Limits	E2.5		1	1.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	E2.6		1	1.00	Ν	1 or k	1.00	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response time	E2.7		0	0.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00
Integration time	E2.8		1.4	1.40	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.81	0.81
RF Ambient Conditions	E6.1		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2		0.6	0.60	R	√3	1.73	1	1	0.35	0.35
Probe Position wrt. Phantom Shell	E6.3		3	3.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73
SAR Evaluation Algorithms	E5		8	8.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	4.62	4.62
Dipole											
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8, E4.2		2	2.00	Ν	1	1.00	1	1	2.00	2.00
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8, 6.6.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters		_									
Phantom Uncertainty (thickness)	E3.1		4	4.00	R	√3	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid conductivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid conductivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	Ν	1	1.00	0.64	0.43	0.70	0.47
Liquid permittivity (Deviation from target)	E3.2		5	5.00	R	√3	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid permittivity (measurement uncert.)	E3.3		1.1	1.10	Ν	1	1.00	0.6	0.49	0.66	0.54
Combined standard uncertainty					RSS					10.3	10.1
Expanded uncertainty	(95% Confidence Level)				k=2					20.2	19.9



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 33 of 100

	а		b		С	
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (+/-%)	Prob. Dist.	Divisor (descrip)	Divisor (value)	c1	Standard Uncertainty (+/- %)
Waveguide calibrations						
Incident or forward power	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.58
Refected power	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.58
Liquid conductivity	2.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1.15
Liquid permittivity	2.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1.15
Probe positioning	1.00	Ν	1	1.00	1	1.00
Field homogeneity	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.58
Field probe positioning	2.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	1.15
Field probe linearity	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.58
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				2.5
Expanded uncertainty		k=2				4.9

Table 3Uncertainty assessment for waveguide probe calibration

Table 4 Uncertainty assessment for DiLine dielectric property measurement

	а		b		С	
		Prob.	Divisor	Divisor		Standard
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (+/- %)	Dist.	(descrip)	(value)	c1	Uncertainty (+/-%)
Permittivity measurement						
Repeatability (n repeats)	1	Ν	1 or k	1	1	1.00
Temperature measurement	0.30	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.17
VNA drift, linearity	0.50	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.29
Test port cable variations	0.50	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.29
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				1.1
Expanded uncertainty		k=2				2.1

	а		b		С	
		Prob.	Divisor	Divisor		Standard
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (+/- %)	Dist.	(descrip)	(value)	c1	Uncertainty (+/-%)
Conductivity measurement						
Repeatability (n repeats)	1	Ν	1 or k	1	1	1.00
Temperature measurement	0.30	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.17
VNA drift, linearity	0.50	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.29
Test port cable variations	0.50	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1.73	1	0.29
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				1.1
Expanded uncertainty		k=2				2.1



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 34 of 100

5.0 Measurement Traceability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to Chinese National Laboratory Accreditation (CNLA) standards or appropriate national standards.

6.0 WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA

See user manual.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 35 of 100

7.0 REFERENCES

- ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1999
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997
- [3] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", IEEE Std 1528



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 36 of 100

8.0 DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision/ Job Number	Writer Initials	Date	Change
N/A	S.L.	May 19, 2004	Original document
TC0400521	S.L.	May 26, 2004	Add to 802.11b low, high and 802.11g low, middle, high channel test



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 37 of 100

APPENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data

Power drift is the measurement of power drift of the device over one complete SAR scan.

To assess the drift of the power of the device under test, a SAR measurement was made in the middle of the zoom scan volume at the start of the scan and a measurement at this point was then also made after the measurement scan. The difference between the two measurements should be less than 5%.




Report No.: EME-040444 Page 38 of 100

Plot #1 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	bottom
Filename:	11b-2412bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	Test Frequency:	11b-2412MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	Power Level:	19.56dBm

Probe: Cal File:	0136 SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
C-LE	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
Relative Permittivity:	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:						
Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan			
Spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.072	2	0.072			
Change during Scan (%)	0.00					
Max E-field (V/m):	13.24					
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/Kg)	0.268		0.139			
Location of Max	Х	Ŋ	ζ	Z		
(mm):	78.1	-31	.0	-152.1		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 39 of 100

Plot #1 (2/2)

		Min	Max	Steps
Scan Extent:	v	-50.0	50.0	10.0
	Z	-180.0		6.0






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 40 of 100

Plot #2 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b-2412per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	Test Frequency:	11b-2412MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	19.56dBm

Probe: Cal File:	0136 SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
Col Esstance	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
Relative Permittivity:	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:					
Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start So	can	End Scan		
Spot SAR (W/Rg).	0.042	2	0.041		
Change during Scan (%)	-2.06				
Max E-field (V/m):	11.09				
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g		
Max SAR (W/Rg)	0.181		0.087		
		-			
Location of Max	Х	Ŋ	ľ	Z	
(mm):	78.1	-19	9.0	-145.9	



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 41 of 100

Plot #2 (2/2)






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 42 of 100

Plot #3 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11b-2412per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
	5		0 11b-2412MHz
Antenna:	chip Zachia C220 manuary	Test Frequency:	
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	19.56dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z	
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
Relative Permittivity:	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN <u>RESULTS</u> :							
Start Se	Start Scan		End Scan				
0.00	9		0.010				
3.89							
4.67							
1g		10g					
0.036		0.022					
X	Ŋ	r	Z				
78.0	-22	2.0	-140.9				
	Start So 0.000 3.89 4.67 1g 0.036 X	Start Scan 0.009 3.89 4.67 1g 0.036	Start Scan En 0.009 3.89 4.67 1g 0.036 X				



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 43 of 100

Plot #3 (2/2)






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 44 of 100

<u>Plot #4 (1/2)</u>

Date / Time:	2004/5/12	Position:	bottom
Filename:	11b-2437bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	Test Frequency:	11b-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	Power Level:	17.22dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Ζ	
	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				


Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.97546
Relative Permittivity:	50.6161
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23.0
Ambient RH (%):	48
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 45 of 100

Plot #4 (2/2)






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 46 of 100

Plot #5 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/12	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b-2437per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	Test Frequency:	11b-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	17.22dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z	
	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				
Averaging: Batteries	-				

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.97546
Relative Permittivity:	50.6161
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23.0
Ambient RH (%):	48
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 47 of 100

Plot #5 (2/2)

		Min	Max	Steps
Scan Extent:	Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	x	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	Ζ	-180.0	-120.0	6.0





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 48 of 100

End Scan

0.008

10g

0.021

Y

-22.0

Z


-144.0

Plot #6 (1/2)

 `````````````````````````````````			
Date / Time:	2004/5/12	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11b-2437per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11b-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	17.22dBm

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries				
<b>Replaced:</b>	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.97546
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.6161
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23.0
Ambient RH (%):	48
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3 VPM






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 49 of 100

Plot #6 (2/2)

		Min	Max	Steps
Scan Extent:				
Scan Extent.	Y	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	Ζ	-180.0	-120.0	6.0






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 50 of 100

## Plot #7 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	bottom
Filename:	11b-2462bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11b-2462MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	17.95dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
		X	Y	Z	
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors.	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM





Smat SAD (W/leg)	Start Scan		End Scan			
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.092	2	0.092			
Change during Scan (%)	0.00					
Max E-field (V/m):	15.42					
Moy SAD (W/lzg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.362		0.185			
Location of Max	X	Y	7	Z		
(mm):	78.1	-32	2.0	-153.0		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 51 of 100

Plot #7 (2/2)








#### Report No.: EME-040444 Page 52 of 100

## Plot #8 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11b-2462per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	<b>Head Rotation:</b>	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11b-2462MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	17.95dBm

Probe:	0136 SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
Cal File:					
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405	
Cal ractors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
<b>Conductivity:</b>	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




Spot SAD (W/Irg).	Start Scan		End Scan			
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.04	6	0.046			
Change during Scan (%) 0.00						
Max E-field (V/m):	11.72					
Mow SAD (W/Irg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.201		0.098			
		r				
Location of Max	X	Y		Z		
(mm):	78.1	-18	.0	-145.9		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 53 of 100

Plot #8 (2/2)

		Min	Max	Steps
Scan Extent:	v	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	I	-40.0	40.0	0.0
	Ζ	-180.0	-120.0	6.0






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 54 of 100

#### Plot #9 (1/2)

- F				
	Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
	Filename:	11b-2462per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
	<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
	Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11b-2462MHz
	Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	17.95dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
		Х	Y	Z	
C-LE4	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries					
<b>Replaced:</b>	-				

Liquid: Type:	15.5cm 2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM





Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan		
Spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.01	1		0.011	
Change during Scan (%)	0.00				
Max E-field (V/m):	5.06				
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g		
	0.042	0.042 (		).025	
Location of Max	X		7	Z	
(mm):	78.1	-25	-	-142.8	



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 55 of 100

Plot #9 (2/2)










Report No.: EME-040444 Page 56 of 100

#### Plot #10 (1/2)

Filename:11g-2412bot.txtPhantom:HeadBox2-test.csvDevice Tested:ZyAIR G-220Head Rotation:0Antenna:chipTest Frequency:11g-2412MHz	Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	bottom
	Filename:	11g-2412bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Antenna: chip Test Frequency: 11g-2412MHz	<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
The first frequency.	Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2412MHz
Shape File:ZyAir-G220-bot.csvPower Level:19.47dBm	Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	19.47dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
		X	Y	Z	
Cal Fastana	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries					
<b>Replaced:</b>	-				

Liquid: Type:	15.5cm 2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM





Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan	
spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.145		0.140	
Change during Scan (%)	-3.36			
Max E-field (V/m):	18.72			
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g	
	0.530		0.273	
Location of Max	X	Ŋ	Z	Z
(mm):	78.1	-31	0.1	-153.0



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 57 of 100

Plot #10 (2/2)








#### Report No.: EME-040444 Page 58 of 100

## Plot #11 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g-2412per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2412MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	19.47dBm

Probe:	0136		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405	
Cal ractors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries Replaced:	-				

Liquid: Type:	15.5cm 2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan	
spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.069	•		0.070
Change during Scan (%)	-3.36			
Max E-field (V/m):	14.28			
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g	
	0.298		0.146	
Location of Max	X	Ŋ	7	Z
(mm):	78.1	-18	3.0	-145.9



Plot #11 (2/2)

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 59 of 100

		Min	Max	Steps
Scan Extent:	v	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	x	-40.0	40.0	8.0
	Ζ	-180.0	-120.0	6.0



# Intertek ETL SEMKO

## FCC ID.: 188G220


Report No.: EME-040444 Page 60 of 100

#### Plot #12 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g-2412per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2412MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	19.47dBm

Probe:	0136				
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY				
		X	Y	Z	
C-LE4	Air	490	405	405	
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20	
	Lin	.405	.405	.405	
Amp Gain:	2				
Averaging:	1				
Batteries					
<b>Replaced:</b>	-				

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




Spot SAD (W/leg).	Start S	can	Eı	nd Scan		
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.017		0.017			
Change during Scan (%)	0.00					
Max E-field (V/m): 5.98						
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g			
Max SAK (W/Kg)	0.059		0.035			
Location of Max	X	Y		Z		
(mm):	78.1	-24	.0	-141.0		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 61 of 100

Plot #12 (2/2)






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 62 of 100

#### Plot #13 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	bottom
Filename:	11g-2437bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
Device Tested:	ZvAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	Test Frequency:	11g-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	Power Level:	16.42dBm
Shape Phe.		I ower Level.	101120211

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
Cal Eastans	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:							
Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan				
Spot SAK (W/Kg).	0.154	1		0.154			
Change during Scan (%) 0.00							
Max E-field (V/m): 19.70							
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g				
Max SAK (W/Kg)	0.585		0.301				
Location of Max	X	Ŋ	7	Z			
(mm):	78.1	-31	1.0	-153.0			



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 63 of 100

Plot #13 (2/2)






#### Report No.: EME-040444 Page 64 of 100

## Plot #14 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g-2437per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	16.42dBm

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors.	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM



Spot SAR (W/kg): Change during	0.072	2	0.072			
Change during						
Change during Scan (%) 0.00						
Max E-field (V/m):	15.00					
May SAD (W/leg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.320		0.154			
Location of Max	X	Y	Z			
(mm):	78.1	-18.0	-146.0			



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 65 of 100

Plot #14 (2/2)

			Min	Max	Steps	
	Scan Extent:	Y Z	-40.0 -180.0	40.0 -120.0	8.0 6.0	
o						
-50				(	$\bigcirc$	
-100 N						
-150-			⊂()¢			
-200						
-250	-100 -	.50	Ó Y	50	100	
o.	0 0:1 Sf	0:2 AR (	− O.: W/kg)	3 0	4	




Report No.: EME-040444 Page 66 of 100

#### Plot #15 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g-2437per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	<b>Head Rotation:</b>	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2437MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	16.42dBm

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		X	Y	Z
Cal Eastana	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start So	can	End Scan		
spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.020	)	0.019		
Change during Scan (%)	-2.36				
Max E-field (V/m):	6.29				
	1g		10g		
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.064		0.038		
Location of Max	X	Y	7	Z	
(mm):	78.1	-23	6.0	-142.9	



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 67 of 100

Plot #15 (2/2)






#### Report No.: EME-040444 Page 68 of 100

## Plot #16 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	bottom
Filename:	11g-2462bot.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2462MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-bot.csv	Power Level:	17.88dBm

Probe:	0136			
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		Х	Y	Ζ
	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN RESULTS:						
Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan			
Spot SAK (W/Kg).	0.162		0.160			
Change during Scan (%)	-1.50					
Max E-field (V/m):	20.24					
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/Kg)	0.624		0.320			
Location of Max	Х	Ŋ	ζ.	Z		
(mm):	78.1	-30	0.0	-153.0		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 69 of 100

Plot #16 (2/2)






#### Report No.: EME-040444 Page 70 of 100

## <u>Plot #17 (1/2)</u>

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 0mm
Filename:	11g-2462per0mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	<b>Head Rotation:</b>	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2462MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	<b>Power Level:</b>	17.88dBm

Probe: Cal File:	0136 SN0136_2450_CW_BODY			
		Х	Y	Z
C-LE4	Air	490	405	405
Cal Factors:	DCP	20	20	20
	Lin	.405	.405	.405
Amp Gain:	2			
Averaging:	1			
Batteries Replaced:	-			

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




Spot SAR (W/kg):	Start Scan		End Scan			
Spot SAK (W/Kg):	0.071		0.071			
Change during Scan (%)	0.00					
Max E-field (V/m):	15.15					
Mow SAD (W/lrg)	1g		10g			
Max SAR (W/kg)	0.330		0.157			
	<b>X</b> 7		7	7		
Location of Max	X	J		Z		
( <b>mm</b> ):	78.1	-18	3.0	-145.9		



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 71 of 100

Plot #17 (2/2)






Report No.: EME-040444 Page 72 of 100

#### Plot #18 (1/2)

Date / Time:	2004/5/25	Position:	perpendicular 15mm
Filename:	11g-2462per15mm.txt	Phantom:	HeadBox2-test.csv
<b>Device Tested:</b>	ZyAIR G-220	Head Rotation:	0
Antenna:	chip	<b>Test Frequency:</b>	11g-2462MHz
Shape File:	ZyAir-G220-rear.csv	Power Level:	17.88dBm

Probe:	0136					
Cal File:	SN0136_2450_CW_BODY					
		Х	Y	Z		
Cal Factors:	Air	490	405	405		
	DCP	20	20	20		
	Lin	.405	.405	.405		
Amp Gain:	2					
Averaging:	1					
Batteries Replaced:	-					

Liquid:	15.5cm
Туре:	2450MHz Body
Conductivity:	1.9766
<b>Relative Permittivity:</b>	50.524
Liquid Temp (deg C):	22.0
Ambient Temp (deg C):	23
Ambient RH (%):	50
Density (kg/m3):	1000
Software Version:	2.3VPM




ZOOM SCAN	Start Scan		End Scan	
Spot SAR (W/kg):	0.018		0.019	
Change during Scan (%)	3.50			
Max E-field (V/m):	6.44			
Max SAR (W/kg)	1g		10g	
Max SAK (W/Kg)	0.068		0.040	
Location of Max	X	<b>N</b>	7	Z
(mm):	78.1	-24	5.0	-142.9



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 73 of 100

Plot #18 (2/2)

AREA SCAN:





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 74 of 100

# **APPENDIX B - Photographs**







FCC ID. : I88G220

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 75 of 100





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 76 of 100

APPENDIX C - E-Field Probe and 2450MHz Balanced Dipole Antenna Calibration Data



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 77 of 100



# **IMMERSIBLE SAR PROBE**

# **CALIBRATION REPORT**

# Part Number: IXP – 050

# S/N 0136

10th September 2003



Indexsar Limited Oakfield House Cudworth Lane Newdigate Surrey RH5 5DR Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631 233 Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834 e-mail: <u>enquiries@indexsar.com</u>



# INTRODUCTION

This Report presents measured calibration data for a particular Indexsar SAR probe (S/N 0136) and describes the procedures used for characterisation and calibration.

Indexsar probes are characterised using procedures that, where applicable, follow the recommendations of CENELEC [1] and IEEE [2] standards. The procedures incorporate techniques for probe linearisation, isotropy assessment and determination of liquid factors (conversion factors). Calibrations are determined by comparing probe readings with analytical computations in canonical test geometries (waveguides) using normalised power inputs.

Each step of the calibration procedure and the equipment used is described in the sections below.

# **CALIBRATION PROCEDURE**

#### 1. Equipment Used

For the first part of the characterisation procedure, the probe is placed in an isotropy measurement jig as pictured in Figure 1. In this position the probe can be rotated about its axis by a non-metallic belt driven by a stepper motor.

The probe is attached via its amplifier and an optical cable to a PC. A schematic representation of the test geometry is illustrated in Figure 2.

A balanced dipole (900 MHz) is inserted horizontally into the bracket attached to a second belt (Figure 1). The dipole can also be rotated about its axis. A cable connects the dipole to a signal generator, via a directional coupler and power meter. The signal generator feeds an RF amplifier at constant power, the output of which is monitored using the power meter. The probe is positioned so that its sensors line up with the rotation center of the source dipole. By recording output voltage measurements of each channel as both the probe and the dipole are rotated, data are obtained from which the spherical isotropy of the probe can be optimised and its magnitude determined.

The calibration process requires E-field measurements to be taken in air, in 900 MHz simulated brain liquid and at other frequencies/liquids as appropriate.

#### 2. Linearising probe output

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Refs [1] and [2]. The following equation is utilized for each channel:

$$U_{lin} = U_{o/p} + U_{o/p}^{2} / DCP$$
 (1)

where  $U_{lin}$  is the linearised signal,  $U_{o/p}$  is the raw output signal in voltage units and DCP is the diode compression potential in similar voltage units.

DCP is determined from fitting equation (1) to measurements of  $U_{lin}$  versus source feed power over the full dynamic range of the probe. The DCP is a characteristic of the schottky diodes used as the sensors. For the IXP-050 probes with CW signals the DCP values are typically 0.10V (or 20 in the voltage units used by Indexsar software, which are V*200).

#### 3. Selecting channel sensitivity factors to optimise isotropic response



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 79 of 100

The basic measurements obtained using the calibration jig (Fig 1) represent the output from each diode sensor as a function of the presentation angle of the source (probe and dipole rotation angles). The directionality of the orthogonally-arranged sensors can be checked by analysing the data using dedicated Indexsar software, which displays the data in 3D format as in Figure 3. The left-hand side of this diagram shows the individual channel outputs after linearisation (see above). The program uses these data to balance the channel outputs and then applies an optimisation process, which makes fine adjustments to the channel factors for optimum isotropic response.

The next stage of the process is to calibrate the Indexsar probe to a W&G EMR300 E-field meter in air. The principal reasons for this are to obtain conversion factors applicable should the probe be used in air and to provide an overall measure of the probe sensitivity.

A multiplier is applied to factors to bring the magnitudes of the average E-field measurements as close as possible to those of the W&G probe.

The following equation is used (where linearised output voltages are in units of V*200):

$E_{air}^{2}$ (V/m) =	U _{linx} * Air Factor _x	
	$+ U_{liny} * Air Factor_{y}$	
	$+ U_{linz} * Air Factor_z$	(2)

It should be noted that the air factors are not separately used for normal SAR testing. The IXP-050 probes are optimised for use in tissue-simulating liquids and do not behave isotropically in air.

## 4. 900 MHz Liquid Calibration

Conversion factors for use when the probes are immersed in tissue-simulant liquids at 900 MHz are determined either using a waveguide or by comparison to a reference probe that has been calibrated by NPL. Waveguide procedures are described later. The summary sheet indicates the method used for the probe S/N 0136.

The conversion factor, referred to as the 'liquid factor' is also applied to the measurements of each channel. The following equation is used (where output voltages are in units of V*200):

$E_{liq}^{2}$ (V/m) =	U _{linx} * Air Factor _x * Liq Factor _x	
-	+ U _{liny} * Air Factor _y * Liq Factor _y	
	+ U _{linz} * Air Factor _z * Liq Factor _z	(3)

A 3D representation of the spherical isotropy for probe S/N 0136 using these factors is shown in Figure 3.

The rotational isotropy can also determined from the calibration jig measurements and is reported as the 900MHz isotropy in the summary table. Note that waveguide measurements can also be used to determine rotational isotropy (Fig. 5).

The design of the cells used for determining probe conversion factors are waveguide cells is shown in Figure 4. The cells consist of a coax to waveguide transition and an open-ended section of waveguide containing a dielectric separator. Each waveguide cell stands in the upright positition and is filled with liquid within 10 mm of the open end. The seperator provides a liquid seal and is designed for a good electrical transition from air filled guide to liquid filled guide. The choice of cell depends on the portion of the frequency band to be examined and the choice of liquid used. The depth of liquid ensures there is negligible radiation from the waveguide open top and that the probe calibration is not influenced by reflections from nearby objects. The return loss at the coaxial connector of the filled waveguide cell is measured initially using a network analyser and this information is used subsequently in the calibration



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 80 of 100

procedure. The probe is positioned in the centre of the waveguide and is adjusted vertically or rotated using stepper motor arrangements. The signal generator is connected to the waveguide cell and the power is monitored with a coupler and a power meter. A fuller description of the waveguide method is given below.

The liquid dielectric parameters used for the probe calibrations are listed in the Tables below. The final calibration factors for the probe are listed in the summary chart.

## WAVEGUIDE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The calibration method is based on setting up a calculable specific absorption rate (SAR) in a vertically-mounted WG8 (R22) waveguide section [1]. The waveguide has an air-filled, launcher section and a liquid-filled section separated by a matching window that is designed to minimise reflections at the liquid interface. A  $TE_{o1}$  mode is launched into the waveguide by means of a N-type-to-waveguide adapter. The power delivered to the liquid section is calculated from the forward power and reflection coefficient measured at the input to the waveguide. At the centre of the cross-section of the waveguide, the local spot SAR in the liquid as a function of distance from the window is given by functions set out in IEEE1528 as below:

Because of the low cutoff frequency, the field inside the liquid nearly propagates as a TEM wave. The depth of the medium (greater than three penetration depths) ensures that reflections at the upper surface of the liquid are negligible. The power absorbed in the liquid is determined by measuring the waveguide forward and reflected power. Equation (4) shows the relationship between the SAR at the cross-sectional center of the lossy waveguide and the longitudinal distance (*z*) from the dielectric separator

$$SAR(z) = \frac{4(P_f - P_b)}{rabd} e^{-2z/d}$$
(4)

where the density r is conventionally assumed to be 1000 kg/m³, ab is the cross-sectional area of the waveguide,  $P_f$  and  $P_b$  are the forward and reflected power inside the lossless section of the waveguide, respectively. The penetration depth d, which is the reciprocal of the waveguide-mode attenuation coefficient, is determined from a scan along the *z*-axis and compared with the theoretical value determined from Equation (5) using the measured dielectric properties of the lossy liquid.

$$\boldsymbol{d} = \left[ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sqrt{\left( \boldsymbol{p} / \boldsymbol{a} \right)^{2} + j \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{m}_{o} \left( \boldsymbol{s} + j \boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{e}_{o} \boldsymbol{e}_{r} \right)} \right\} \right]^{-1}.$$
 (5)

Table A.1 of [1] can be used for designing calibration waveguides with a return loss greater than 30 dB at the most important frequencies used for personal wireless communications. Values for the penetration depth for these specific fixtures and tissue-simulating mixtures are also listed in Table A.1.

According to [1], this calibration technique provides excellent accuracy, with standard uncertainty of less than 3.6% depending on the frequency and medium. The calibration itself is reduced to power measurements traceable to a standard calibration procedure. The practical limitation to the frequency band of 800 to 2500 MHz because of the waveguide size is not severe in the context of compliance testing.

## **CALIBRATION FACTORS MEASURED FOR PROBE S/N 0136**

The probe was calibrated at 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450MHz MHz in liquid samples representing both brain liquid and body fluid at these frequencies. The calibration was for CW signals only, and the axis of the probe was parallel to the direction of propagation of the incident field i.e. end-on to the incident radiation. The axial isotropy of the probe was measured by rotating the probe about its axis in 10 degree steps through 360 degrees in this orientation.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 81 of 100

The reference point for the calibration is in the centre of the probe's cross-section at a distance of 2.7 m from the probe tip in the direction of the probe amplifier. A value of 2.7 mm should be used for the tip to sensor offset distance in the software.

It is important that the diode compression point and air factors used in the software are the same as those quoted in the results tables, as these are used to convert the diode output voltages to a SAR value.

# DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF LIQUIDS

The dielectric properties of the brain and body tissue-simulant liquids employed for calibration are listed in the tables below. The measurements were performed prior to each waveguide test using an Indexsar DiLine measurement kit, which uses the TEM method as recommended in [2].

## AMBIENT CONDITIONS

Measurements were made in the open laboratory at  $22 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$ C. The temperature of the liquids in the waveguide used was measured using a mercury thermometer.

# **RESPONSE TO MODULATED SIGNALS**

To measure the response of the probe and amplifier to modulated signals, the probe is held vertically in a liquid-filled waveguide.

An RF amplifier is allowed to warm up and stabilise before use. A spectrum analyser is used to demonstrate that the peak power of the RF amplifier for the CW signals and the pulsed signals are within 0.1dB of each other when the signal generator is switched from CW to modulated output. Subsequently, the power levels recorded are read from a power meter when a CW signal is being transmitted.

The test sequence involves manually stepping the power up in regular (e.g. 2 dB) steps from the lowest power that gives a measurable reading on the SAR probe up to the maximum that the amplifiers can deliver.

At each power level, the individual channel outputs from the SAR probe are recorded at CW and then recorded again with the modulation setting. The results are entered into a spreadsheet. Using the spreadsheets, the modulated power is calculated by applying a factor to the measured CW power (e.g. for GSM, this factor is 9.03dB). This process is repeated 3 times with the response maximised for each channel sensor in turn.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 82 of 100

The probe channel output signals are linearised in the manner set out in Section 1 above using equation (1) with the DCPs determined from the linearisation procedure. Calibration factors for the probe are used to determine the E-field values corresponding to the probe readings using equation (3). SAR is determined from the equation

SAR (W/kg) = 
$$E_{liq}^{2}$$
 (V/m) *  $\sigma$ (S/m) / 1000 (6)

Where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the simulant liquid employed.

Using the spreadsheet data, the DCP value for linearising each of the individual channels (X, Y and Z) is assessed separately. The corresponding DCP values are listed in the summary page of the calibration factors for each probe.


Figure 7 shows the linearised probe response to GSM signals, Figure 8 the response to GPRS signals (GSM with 2 timeslots) and Figure 9 the response to CDMA IS-95A and W-CDMA signals.

Additional tests have shown that the modulation response is similar at 1800MHz and is not affected by the orientation between the source and the probe.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 83 of 100

# SUMMARY OF CALIBRATION FACTORS FOR PROBE IXP-050 S/N 0136



(the graph shows a simple, spreadsheet representation of surface shown in 3D in Figure 3 below)



# **ROBE SPECIFICATIONS**

Indexsar probe 0136, along with its calibration, is compared with CENELEC and IEEE standards recommendations (Refs [1] and [2]) in the Tables below. A listing of relevant specifications is contained in the tables below:

Dimensions	S/N 0136	CENELEC	IEEE [2]
Overall length (mm)	350	[1]	
Overall length (mm) Tip length (mm)	10		
	10		
Body diameter (mm)		0	0
Tip diameter (mm)	5.2	8	8
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers (mm)	2.7		
Dynamic range	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Minimum (W/kg)	0.01	< 0.02	0.01
Maximum (W/kg)	>35	>100	100
N.B. only measured to 35 W/kg			
· · · · ·			
Linearity of response	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Over range 0.01 – 100 W/kg (+/- dB)	0.125	0.50	0.25
Isotropy (measured at 900MHz)	S/N 0136	CENELEC [1]	IEEE [2]
Axial rotation with probe normal to source (+/- dB) at 835, 900, 1800, 1900 and 2450 MHz	Max. 0.10 (see summary table)	0.5	0.25
Spherical isotropy covering all orientations to source (+/- dB)	0.24	1.0	0.50
Construction	Each probe contains three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on a triangular prism core, protected against static charges by built-in shielding, and covered at the tip by PEEK cylindrical enclosure material. No adhesives are used in the immersed section. Outer case materials are PEEK and heat-shrink sleeving.		
Chemical resistance Tested to be resistant to glycol and alco containing simulant liquids but probes a be removed, cleaned and dried when no use.		t probes should	



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 85 of 100

# REFERENCES


[1] CENELEC, EN 50361, July 2001. Basic Standard for the measurement of specific absorption rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones.

[2] IEEE 1528, Recommended practice for determining the spatial-peak specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Experimental techniques.

[3] Calibration report on SAR probe IXP-050 S/N 0071 from National Physical Laboratory. Test Report EF07/2002/03/IndexSAR. Dated 20 February 2002.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 86 of 100



*Figure 1. Spherical isotropy jig showing probe, dipole and box filled with simulated brain liquid (see Ref [2], Section A.5.2.1)* 




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the test geometry used for isotropy determination



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 87 of 100




Figure 3. Graphical representation of the probe response to fields applied from each direction. The diagram on the left shows the individual response characteristics of each of the three channels and the diagram on the right shows the resulting probe sensitivity in each direction. The colour range in the figure images the lowest values as blue and the maximum values as red. For the probe S/N 0136, this range is (+/-) 0.24 dB. The probe is more sensitive to fields parallel to the axis and less sensitive to fields normal to the probe axis.





Figure 4. Geometry used for waveguide calibration (after Ref [2]. Section A.3.2.2)



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 88 of 100



18-Aug-03






Figure 5. Example of the rotational isotropy of probe S/N 0136 obtained by rotating the probe in a liquidfilled waveguide at 2450 MHz. Similar distributions are obtained at the other test frequencies (1800 and 1900 MHz) both in brain liquids and body fluids (see summary table)



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 89 of 100




Figure 6. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the WG4 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 90 of 100




Figure 7. The measured SAR decay function along the centreline of the R22 waveguide with conversion factors adjusted to fit to the theoretical function for the particular dimension, frequency, power and liquid properties employed.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 91 of 100




Figure 8. The GSM response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz.




Figure 8a. The actual GSM response of IXP-050 probe S/N 0136 at 1800MHz



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 92 of 100





Figure 9. The GPRS response of an IXP-050 probe at 900MHz.



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 93 of 100






Figure 10. The CDMA response of an IXP-050 probe at 1900MHz.



# Table indicating the dielectric parameters of the liquids used for calibrations at each frequency

Liquid used	Relative permittivity (measured)	Conductivity (S/m) (measured)
835 MHz BRAIN	43.18	0.935
835 MHz BODY	59.19	0.992
900 MHz BRAIN	42.47	0.998
900 MHz BODY	58.7	1.056
1800 MHz BRAIN	38.72	1.34
1800 MHz BODY	52.5	1.53
1900 MHz BRAIN	38.31	1.43
1900 MHz BODY	52.06	1.64
2450 MHz BRAIN	38.9	1.87
2450 MHz BODY	52.59	2.08

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 95 of 100




Report No. SN0048_2450 26th March 2003

# INDEXSAR 2450MHz validation Dipole Type IXD-245 S/N 0048

Intertek ETL SEMKO

**Performance measurements** 

• MI Manning



Indexsar, Oakfield House, Cudworth Lane, Newdigate, Surrey RH5 5DR. UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631233 Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631834 e-mail: <u>enquiries@indexsar.com</u>





Report No.: EME-040444 Page 96 of 100 Indexsar Limited Oakfield House Cudworth Lane Newdigate Surrey RH5 5DR Tel: +44 (0) 1306 631 233 Fax: +44 (0) 1306 631 834 e-mail: <u>enguiries@indexsar.com</u>

# Calibration / Conformance statement Balanced Validation dipole

Туре:	IXD-245 2450MHz	
Manufacturer:	IndexSAR, UK	
Serial Number:	0048	
Senai Number.	0040	
Place of Calibration:	IndexSAR, UK	

IndexSAR Limited hereby declares that the IXD series dipole named above has been checked for conformity to the specifications given in the draft IEEE 1528 and CENELEC En 50361 standards on the date shown below.

Date of Calibration/Check: 26th March 2003

The dipole named above should be periodically re-checked using the procedures set out in the dipole calibration document. It is important that the cautions regarding handling of the dipoles (given in the calibration document) are adhered to.

Next Calibration Date:	March 2005
------------------------	------------

The calibration measurements were carried out using the methods described in the calibration document. Where applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to the UK's National Physical Laboratory.

	1 pm	
	kmladby	
Calibrated By:		

	M1 Mail	
By:	1 . Li . W Comp	

## 1. Tests on Validation Dipole

Approved

Tests have been performed on a balanced dipole made for 2450MHz application according to the



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 97 of 100

construction guidelines, dimensions and tolerances given in the draft IEEE1528 standard [1]. Measurements have been made of the impedance and return loss when positioned against the liquid-filled phantom and a validation test has been performed according to the procedures set out in IEEE 1528 [1].

# 2. Measurement Conditions

Measurements were performed using a box-shaped phantom made of PMMA with dimensions designed to meet the accuracy criteria for reasonably-sized phantoms that do not have liquid capacities substantially in excess of the volume of liquid required to fill the Indexsar upright SAM phantoms used for SAR testing of handsets against the ear.

An HP 8753B vector network analyser was used for the return loss measurements.

The dipole was placed in a special holder made of low-permittivity, low-loss materials. This holder enables the dipole to be positioned accurately in the centre of the base of the Indexsar box-phantom used for flat-surface testing and validation checks.

The validation dipoles are supplied with special spacers made from a low-permittivity, low-loss foam material. These spacers are fitted to the dipole arms to ensure that, when the dipole is offered up to the phantom surface, the spacing between the dipole and the liquid surface is accurately aligned according to the guidance in the relevant standards documentation. The spacers are rectangular with a central hole equal to the dipole arm diameter and dimensioned so that the longer side can be used to ensure a spacing of 15mm from the liquid in the phantom (for tests at 900MHz and below) and the shorter side can be used for tests at 1800MHz and above to ensure a spacing of 10mm from the liquid in the phantom. The spacers are made on a CNC milling machine with an accuracy of 1/40th mm but they may suffer wear and tear and need to be replaced periodically. The material used is Rohacell, which has a relative permittivity of approx. 1.05 and a negligible loss tangent.

The apparatus supplied by Indexsar for dipole validation tests thus includes:

Balanced dipoles for each frequency required are dimensioned according to the guidelines given in IEEE 1528 [1]. The dipoles are made from semi-rigid 50 Ohm co-ax, which is joined by soldering and is gold-plated subsequently. The constructed dipoles are easily deformed, if mis-handled, and periodic checks need to be made of their symmetry.

Rohacell foam spacers designed for presenting the dipoles to 2mm thick PMMA box phantoms. These components also suffer wear and tear and should be replaced when the central hole is a loose-fit on the dipole arms or if the edges are too worn to ensure accurate alignment. The standard spacers are dimensioned for use with 2mm wall thickness (additional spacers are available for 4mm wall thickness).

## 3. SAR Validation Measurement

A SAR validation check was performed with the box-phantom located on the SARA2 phantom support base on the SARA2 robot system. Tests were then conducted at a feed power level of approx. 0.25W. The actual power level was recorded and used to normalise the results obtained to the standard input power conditions of 1W (forward power). The ambient temperature was  $24^{\circ}$ C.

The phantom was filled with a 2450MHz brain liquid using a recipe from [1], which was measured using an Indexsar DiLine kit at 2450MHz. Measurements were taken at  $23^{\circ}$ C and  $30^{\circ}$ C and interpolation was used to find the properties at  $24^{\circ}$ C which were as below:


Relative Permittivity**39.221**Conductivity**1.8714 S/m** 

Report No.: EME-040444 Page 98 of 100

The SARA2 software version 0.420N was used with an Indexsar probe previously calibrated using waveguide techniques.

Intertek ETL SEMKO

The 3D measurement made using the dipole at the bottom of the phantom box is shown below:



The volume-averaged SAR results, normalised to an input power of 1W (forward power) are:

Averaged over $1 \text{ cm}^3$ (1g) of tissue	51.376 W/kg
Averaged over 10cm ³ (10g) of tissue	23.888 W/kg

These results can be compared with Table 8.1 in [1]. The agreement is within 10%.

#### 4. Dipole impedance and return loss

The dipoles are designed to have low return loss ONLY when presented against a lossy-phantom at the specified distance. A Vector Network Analyser (VNA) was used to perform a return loss measurement on the specific dipole when in the measurement-location against the box phantom. The distance was as specified in the standard i.e. 10mm from the liquid (for 2450MHz). The Indexsar foam spacers (described above) were used to ensure this condition during measurement.


The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with the network analyser. The following parameters were measured:

Dipole impedance at 2450 MHz Re{Z} = **44.814**  $\Omega$ Im{Z} = **-5.3359**  $\Omega$ 

Return loss at 2450MHz -22.122 dB



Report No.: EME-040444 Page 99 of 100



## 5. Dipole handling

The dipoles are made from standard, copper-sheathed coaxial cable. In assembly, the sections are joined using ordinary soft-soldering. This is necessary to avoid excessive heat input in manufacture, which would destroy the polythene dielectric used for the cable. The consequence of the construction material and the assembly technique is that the dipoles are fragile and can be deformed by rough handling. Conversely, they can be straightened quite easily as described in this report.

If a dipole is suspected of being deformed, a normal workshop lathe can be used as an alignment jig to restore the symmetry. To do this, the dipole is first placed in the headstock of the lathe (centred on the plastic or brass spacers) and the headstock is rotated by hand (do NOT use the motor). A marker (lathe tool or similar) is brought up close to the end of one dipole arm and then the headstock is rotated by 0.5 rev. to check the opposing arm. If they are not balanced, judicious deformation of the arms can be used to restore the symmetry.

If a dipole has a failed solder joint, the dipole can be fixed down in such a way that the arms are co-linear and the joint re-soldered with a reasonably-powerful electrical soldering iron. Do not use gas soldering irons. After such a repair, electrical tests must be performed as described below.

Please note that, because of their construction, the dipoles are short-circuited for DC signals.



## 6. Tuning the dipole

The dipole dimensions are based on calculations that assumed specific liquid dielectric properties. If the liquid dielectric properties are somewhat different, the dipole tuning will also vary. A pragmatic way of accounting for variations in liquid properties is to 'tune' the dipole (by applying minor variations to its effective length). For this purpose, Indexsar can supply short brass tube lengths to extend the length of the dipole and thus 'tune' the dipole. It cannot be made shorter without removing a bit from the arm. An alternative way to tune the dipole is to use copper shielding tape to extend the effective length of the dipole. Do both arms equally.

It should be possible to tune a dipole as described, whilst in place in the measurement position as long as the user has access to a VNA for determining the return loss.

## 7. Reference

[1] Draft recommended practice for determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body due to wireless communications devices: Measurement Techniques. Draft CD1.1 – December 29, 2002.