



# Intertek Testing Services

July 16, 1999

Federal Communications Commission  
Laboratory Division  
FCC Equipment Authorization Branch  
7435 Oakland Mills Road  
Columbia, MD 21046

Attention: Mr. Frank Coperich

Reference: Permissive II – Part 22 Type Acceptance Application for Spectrian  
FCC ID: I2ONTHX51AA, Confirmation #EA94368, Ref #8436

Dear Mr. Coperich:

In response to your request of 6/25/99 for the above referenced application, I have sent you the following:

1. Schematics diagram
2. Internal photographs
3. Complete amplifier specification (there are 24 parts)

For Item 4, as per Spectrian, this amplifier is not a special version of the original unit. Should you need further information in this issue, please let us know.

We'll note Item 5 as per your advise. Thank you.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Gaspara Lim'.

Gaspara Lim

**Intertek Testing Services NA Inc.**

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Telephone 650-463-2900 Fax 650-463-2910 Home Page [www.worldlab.com](http://www.worldlab.com)

## Gaspara Lim ITS/QS-Mpk

---

**From:** CK Li ITS/QS-Mpk  
**To:** Gaspara Lim ITS/QS-Mpk  
**Subject:** FW:  
**Date:** Friday, June 25, 1999 11:46AM

Please resnd the information and you may need to rescan the photos. Please also let client know/redo #3 and #4.

CK

-----Original Message-----

**From:** oetech@fccsun07w.fcc.gov [mailto:oetech@fccsun07w.fcc.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 25, 1999 11:10 AM  
**To:** CK Li ITS/QS-Mpk  
**Subject:**  
**To:** C.K. Li, Intertek Testing Services  
**From:** Frank Coperich  
fcoperic@fcc.gov  
FCC Application Processing Branch  
**Re:** FCC ID I2ONTHX51AA  
**Applicant:** Spectrian  
**Correspondence Reference Number:** 8436  
**731 Confirmation Number:** EA94368  
**Date of Original E-Mail:** 06/25/1999

- 1.) The Schematic Diagrams you sent are not legible. Please send them again with improved clarity.
  - 2.) Please submit new interior photographs.
  - 3.) Your Confidentiality request #5 for "Complete Amplifier Specification" is not clear. Where is this information in your filing? Also, your reference to FCC Rules Part 24 is not explained.
  - 4.) This unit was apparently tested only for TDMA operation. If this is a special version of your original unit, then a new FCC ID should be assigned.
  - 5.) Please be advised that, in general, letters such as your file labeled "Authorization Letter" need not be sent with super fine details as you used in this case.
- The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal pursuant to Section 2.917 (c) and forfeiture of the filing fee pursuant to section 1.1108.
- DO NOT reply to this e-mail by using the Reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must upload your response via the Internet at [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov), Electronic Filing, OET Equipment Authorization Electronic Filing. If the response is submitted through Add Attachments, in order to expedite processing, a message which informs the processing staff that a new exhibit has been submitted must also be submitted via Submit Correspondence. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.
- Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

## **Gaspara Lim ITS/QS-Mpk**

---

**From:** Hennig, Bill  
**To:** Gaspara Lim ITS/QS-Mpk  
**Subject:** FCC additional information.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 01, 1999 3:52PM

Gaspara,  
Per your request.

#1: The schematics have been sent in separate files. Please send those .JPEG files to Frank Coperich at FCC for better clarity.

#2: Please re-submit the photographs and include the ones which I will include for you in an e-mail within the next 3 hours, by e-mail.

#3: Please submit to Frank the .pdf files making it clear that those include the "Complete Customer Specification" .

#4 This amplifier is not a special version of the original unit. We are not requesting a new FCC ID #. Please identify any additional testing that is required.

#5 I do not see an issue here.

Regards,  
Spectrian,  
Bill Hennig Jr.

Additional photos are being made now.