


answer: Proxim Wireless Corporation, FCC ID: HZB-L49U24U50, Assessment NO.: AN08T7665, Notice#1

Inbox  
X




from **Claire Hoque** <claire.hoque@ccsemc.com>



to Tim Dwyer - TCB <Timothy\_Dwyer@ieee.org>, "Timothy M. Dwyer" <tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com>,

cc Chi Tsou <chi.tsou@ccsemc.com>, Tina Chu <tina.chu@ccsemc.com>,

date Fri, Apr 11, 2008 at 2:12 PM

subject answer: Proxim Wireless Corporation, FCC ID: HZB-L49U24U50, Assessment NO.: AN08T7665, Notice#1

[hide details](#) Apr 11 (4 days ago) 

 Reply 

Hi Tim,

Pls see answer below.  
Thanks,

Claire Hoque

-----Original Message-----  
From: Timothy M. Dwyer  
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2008 11:48 AM  
To: Thu Chan  
Cc: Timothy M. Dwyer; Tom Cokenias  
Subject: Proxim Wireless Corporation, FCC ID: HZB-L49U24U50, Assessment NO.: AN08T7665, Notice#1

Hello Thu,

You are listed as the contact for these applications.

Because this is a DFS Master, TCB's are excluded from approving them. CCS received a warning from FCC OET on 20071207 for a similar Proxim application stating that any similar future applications would be dismissed.

So to process this further we need to submit a KDB inquiry to FCC. In support of this inquiry, please provide the following additional information.

1. A brief rationale about why no test data is being submitted for the composite DTS and TNB applications. Note that LMS was entered in the application form, but the original Part 90 filing was for a TNB.  
<answer>cover letter is attached.
2. External photos showing the revised enclosure. It will be preferable to provide a document with side by side comparison photos - original vs. new.  
<answer>External comparison photos are attached.
3. Internal photos showing the daughterboard and changes to the main board. Again it will be preferable to have a side by side original vs. new comparison.  
<answer>internal comparison photos are attached.
4. A description of the function and reason for the new daughterboard. This description should clearly show that the new daughterboard has no impact on the radio characteristics as previously submitted.  
<answer>description letter is attached.
5. Schematic if the schematic submitted with the original filing has changed as a result of this modification.  
<answer>pls see updated Schematic attached, FCC confi. letter is also attached.
6. Block Diagram if the block diagram submitted with the original

filing has changed as a result of this modification.  
<answer>pls see updated Block Diagram attached, FCC confi. letter is also attached.


7. Any additional information as may be needed to support FCC making a favorable decision.  
<answer>no


The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Best regards,


Tim Dwyer  
Technical Reviewer


7 attachments — [Download all attachments](#)


 **answer for Question1.pdf**  
101K [View as HTML](#)  
[Download](#)


 **AP400MRschem.pdf**  
1489K [View as HTML](#)  
[Download](#)

 **Block Diagram SD\_73947\_11.pdf**  
55K [View as HTML](#) [Download](#)

 **FCC Confi. Letter.pdf**  
99K [View as HTML](#)  
[Download](#)

 **ProxC2PCdesc.doc**  
30K [View as HTML](#) [Open as a Google document](#)  
[Download](#)

 **IntPixcompare.pdf**  
898K [View as HTML](#)  
[Download](#)

 **ExtPixcompare.pdf**  
164K [View as HTML](#)  
[Download](#)