
Subject: FW: SYSGRATION LTD., FCC ID: HQXFTR-CBX3000, Assessment NO.: AN04T4113, Notice#1



Exhibit-D-ID_Label_ Exhibit-A-Block_Dia Exhibit-C-Test_Rep
revised.pdf... gram_revise... ort_revised....

-----Original Message-----

From: SS
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 7:51 PM
To: Mike Kuo
Cc: R00/? ? ? ; R00/? ? ?
Subject: Re: SYSGRATION LTD., FCC ID: HQXFTR-CBX3000, Assessment NO.: AN04T4113, Notice#1

Dear Mike,

1. The FCC DoC logo has been removed. Please refer to revised file.
2. Please refer to attached functional block diagrams. Model FTR-CBX3020 is tested. However, the other two configurations use the same FM transmitter module.
3. The additional equipments used for testing are a monitor with DVD player and a IR remote controller. During the test, a CD with 1kHz audio signal was played by the DVD player to the FM transmitter.
4. The calibration date was mistyped in the test report. Please find attached revised test report.

Best regards,

=====
S. S. Liou
Engineer / EMC Dept. II
Electronics Testing Center, Taiwan

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Kuo" <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>
To: "ETC/Iris (E-mail)" <etcemi@seed.net.tw>; "Liou, S. S. (E-mail)" <ssliou@seed.net.tw>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2004 1:38 PM
Subject: FW: SYSGRATION LTD., FCC ID: HQXFTR-CBX3000, Assessment NO.: AN04T4113, Notice#1

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:MKuo@ccsemc.com]
> Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2004 3:34 PM
> To: MKuo@ccsemc.com
> Subject: SYSGRATION LTD., FCC ID: HQXFTR-CBX3000, Assessment NO.:

ANO4T4113,

> Notice#1

>

>

> Question#1: Please explain why FCC DOC logo is applied to this device.

>

> Question#2: Submitted block diagram actually only contains system
> configuration information, which can not be used as functional block
> diagram, please re-submit functional block diagram. Based upon information
> submitted, the device can be manufactured in three different ways.
> Please inform which configuration is contained in this filing. This
> application can only applicable to specific configuration.

>

> Question#3: Per the test setup photos, in addition to the EUT and DC power
> supply, there is an additional support equipment being used. Please provide
> information for that support equipment. Please clearly describe the mode
> of
> operation during the tests.

>

> Question#4: Page 10 of test report indicated the next calibration date of
> spectrum analyzer is July 21, 2004 and the date of radiated emission test
> indicated in the test report page 8-11 is July 30 2004. Please provide the
> last calibration test report of spectrum analyzer for reference.

>

>

>

> Best Regards,

>

> Mike Kuo

>

>

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
> information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
> submitted.

> Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
> to
> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.