Intermec Technologies Corporation EMC Test Laboratory DOC. NO.: 577-501-218 Co-Locate Radio Modules, IM3 and C30XX, 15.247, RSS-210, RSS-102 APPENDIX D, RF Exposure, MPE Calculation REPORT NO: 041116-2 DATE: November 16, 2004 Page 1 of 1 FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6

Intermec

Technologies Corporation EMC Test Laboratory Cedar Rapids, IA

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

Intermec Technologies Corporation IV6 Transmitter Co-Location of FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6 (IC: 1223A-RFIDPCC6) And FCC ID: HN2-C30XX (IC: 1223A-C30XX)

REPORT NO: 041116-2

DATE: November 16, 2004 Appendix D

RF EXPOSURE, MPE CALCULATION

Page 2-4 Collocated RF Exposure Calculations

Intermec

Date: January 14, 2005

Ref. FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6 FCC ID: HN2-C30XX IC: 1223A-RFIDPCC6 IC: 1223A-C30XX

To Whom It May Concern:

Intermec Technologies Corporation hereby declares that our Model IV6 for Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) FHSS transceiver operating at 902 MHz with internal 2.4 GHz FHSS transceiver, is described as the equipment under test (EUT). This EUT is categorically excluded from routine environmental evaluation for RF exposure by its classification as a Part 15.247 mobile radio. Their exclusion is listed in 47 CFR 1.1307, therefore the EUT is categorically excluded from routine environmental evaluation per 47 CFR 2.1091(c).

The attached tables show MPE evaluation of the IV6. Each radio band has specific a maximum permissible exposure (MPE) as stated in 47 CFR 1.1310. Refer to the limits shown in the calculation tables for details.

The general calculation for exposure at a distance of 20-cm (8-inch) distance is shown in the equation below.

$$\begin{split} & S = (PG)/4 \ \pi \ R^2 \\ & \text{Where: } S = \text{power density (mW/cm}^2) \\ & P = \text{power input to the antenna (mW)} \\ & G = \text{linear power gain relative to an isotropic radiator} \\ & R = \text{distance to the center of the radiation of the antenna (20 cm = limit for MPE estimates)} \end{split}$$

Solving for S, the maximum power densities 20 cm from the transmitting antennas are contained within the following pages.

Sincerely,

Dave Fry

EMC Engineer

Intermec Technologies Corporation

Systems and Solutions 550 Second St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401 Dave Fry MS GR05 EMC Engineer tel 319 846-2415 fax 319 846-2475 Dave.Fry@Intermec.com



Date: Jan. 13,2005

EIRP Calculation of RF Exposure

Antenna worst case EIRP.

FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6 IC: 1223A-RFIDPCC6 915 MHZ RFID Radio

Calculation for exposure at 20cm distance

				Peak		Pwr Density	Pwr Density	
				Conducted		@ 20cm	Limit	Power
	Antenna	Antenna Part	Transmit Freq.	Power	Gain	0		Density
Antenna Description	Туре	No.	(MHz)	(mW)	(dBi)	mW/cm ²	mW/cm ²	Ratio
Kathrien	panel	NA	915	1000.0	4.4	0.5479	0.61	0.8983

FCC ID: HN2-C30XX IC: 1223A-C30XX Mitsumi C30XX Bluetooth Integral antenna of the radio worst case EIRP.

Calculation for exposure at 20cm distance

				Peak		Pwr Density	Pwr Density	
				Conducted		@ 20cm	Limit	Power
	Antenna	Antenna Part	Transmit Freq.	Power	Gain	2	2	Density
Antenna Description	Туре	No.	(MHz)	(mW)	(dBi)	mW/cm ²	mW/cm ²	Ratio
Internal	chip	NA	2450	26.7	2.17	0.0088	1.0	0.0088

Co-Located Transmitter Calculation of RF Exposure

Per FCC TCB Training April 3, 2002

"Devices operating in multiple frequency bands

When RF exposure evaluation is required for TCB approval

Separate antennas – estimated minimum separation distances may be considered for the frequency bands that do not require evaluation or TCB approval, however, the estimated distance should take into account the effect of co-located transmitters. (Note 24)

Note 24 According to multiple frequency exposure criteria, the ratio of field strength or power density to the applicable exposure limit at the exposure location should be determined for each transmitter and the sum of these ratios must not exceed 1.0 for the location to be compliant."

Worst Case Exposure for IV6 when using internal co-located transmitters. Calculation for exposure at 20cm distance

				Peak		Pwr Density	Pwr Density	
				Conducted		@ 20cm	Limit	Power
Transmitter FCC ID:	Antenna	Antenna Part	Transmit Freq.	Power	Gain		2	Density
Antenna Description	Туре	No.	(MHz)	(mW)	(dBi)	mW/cm ²	mW/cm ²	Ratio
FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6								
RFID panel	panel	NA	915	1000.0	4.4	0.5479	0.61	0.8983
FCC ID: HN2-C30XX								
Internal	chip	NA	2450	26.7	2.17	0.0088	1.0	0.0088
							ratio limit	
Total							1.0	0.9070



Worst Case Exposure for IV6 when using internal co-located transmitters. EIRP is measured for Part 15.247. EIRP is caculated for RFID and BT transmitters. Calculation for exposure at 20cm distance

				EIRP		Pwr Density @ 20cm	Pwr Density Limit	Power
Transmitter FCC ID: Antenna Description	Antenna Type	Antenna Part No.	Transmit Freq. (MHz)	Power (mW)		mW/cm ²	mW/cm ²	Density Ratio
FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6								
RFID panel	panel	NA	915	2745.2	0	0.5461	0.61	0.8953
FCC ID: HN2-C30XX								
Internal	chip	NA	2450	44.0	0	0.0088	1.0	0.0088
Total				2789.2		0.5549		
							ratio limit	
Total							1.0	0.9041

The worst case configuration for all combinations of co-located transmitters and antennas are shown. In all cases the ratio of exposure compared the limit when totaled does not exceed 1.0.

ERP Calculation of RF Exposure

ERP is sometimes preferred. The calculation as the Sum of the ERP of the co-located transmitters is in the table below. ERP TX1 + ERP TX2

Worst Case Exposure for IV6 when using internal co-located transmitters.

Calculation for exposure at 20cm distance

						Pwr Density	Pwr Density	
				ERP		@ 20cm	Limit	Power
Transmitter FCC ID: Antenna Description	Antenna Type	Antenna Part No.	Transmit Freq. (MHz)	Power (mW)		mW/cm ²	mW/cm ²	Density Ratio
FCC ID: EHARFID915PCC-6								
RFID panel	panel	NA	915	1677.3	0	0.3337	0.61	0.5470
FCC ID: HN2-C30XX								
Internal	chip	NA	2450	26.7	0	0.0053	1.0	0.0053
Total				1704.0		0.3390		
							ratio limit	
Total							1.0	0.5524

The worst case configuration for ERP combinations of co-located transmitters and antennas is shown. The ratio of exposure compared the limit when totaled does not exceed 1.0.

Please note that EIRP = ERP x 1.64, so EIRP data presented is worst case.