

# **FCC SAR Test Report**

APPLICANT	: Acer Inc.
EQUIPMENT	: 3G Module
BRAND NAME	: Acer, Gateway, PackardBell
MODEL NAME	: Gobi2000
FCC ID	: HLZGOBI2000A
STANDARD	: 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
	IEEE C95.1-1999
	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)

The EUT was installed into Acer notebook computer (Brand name: Acer, Gateway, PackardBell / Model name: ZG8 / Marketing name: Aspire one, AO530) during test.

The product sample received on May 18, 2009 and completely tested on May 25, 2009. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the procedures and shown the compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Reviewed by:

Roy Wu / Manager



SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC. No. 52, Hwa Ya 1<sup>st</sup> Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan Hsiang, Tao Yuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Page Number: 1 of 32Report Issued Date: Jul. 15, 2009Report Version: Rev. 02



## Table of Contents

Rev		listory	
1.	Stater	nent of Compliance	. 4
2.	Admir	nistration Data	. 5
	2.1	Testing Laboratory	. 5
	2.2	Applicant	. 5
	2.3	Manufacturer	. 5
	2.4	Application Details	. 6
3.	Gener	al Information	. 7
	3.1	Description of Device Under Test (DUT)	. 7
	3.2	Product Photos	. 8
	3.3	Applied Standards	. 8
	3.4	Device Category and SAR Limits	. 8
	3.5	Test Conditions	. 9
		3.5.1 Ambient Condition	. 9
		3.5.2 Test Configuration	. 9
4.	Specif	fic Absorption Rate (SAR)	10
	4.1	Introduction	
	4.2	SAR Definition	10
5.	SAR N	leasurement Setup	11
	5.1	DASY4 E-Field Probe System	
		5.1.1 E-Field Probe Specification	
		5.1.2 E-Field Probe Calibration	13
	5.2	DATA Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	14
	5.3	Robot	14
	5.4	Measurement Server	14
	5.5	SAM Twin Phantom	
	5.6	Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom	16
	5.7	Data Storage and Evaluation	17
		5.7.1 Data Storage	17
		5.7.2 Data Evaluation	17
	5.8	Test Equipment List	19
6.	Tissue	e Simulating Liquids	20
7.		tainty Assessment	
8.		leasurement Evaluation	
	8.1	Purpose of System Performance check	
	8.2	System Setup	
	8.3	Validation Results	
9.	Descr	iption for DUT Testing Position	
-		Irement Procedures	
	10.1	Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	
	10.2	Scan Procedures	
	10.3	SAR Averaged Methods	
11.		est Results	
•••	11.1	Conducted Power	
	11.2	Test Records for Body SAR Test	
12		ences	
		A - System Performance Check Data	52
		B - SAR Measurement Data	
		C - Calibration Data	
App	pendix	D - Product Photos	

Appendix E - Test Setup Photos

Appendix F - FCC 3G SAR Measurement Procedures



## **Revision History**

REPORT NO.	VERSION	DESCRIPTION	ISSUED DATE	
FA921118-03	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Jun. 16, 2009	
FA921118-03	Rev. 02	Revise model name and add marketing name for Host notebook computer	Jul. 15, 2009	



## 1. Statement of Compliance

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) maximum results found during testing for the Acer Inc. 3G Module Acer, Gateway, PackardBell Gobi2000 on the notebook computer (Brand name: Acer, Gateway, PackardBell / Model name: ZG8 / Marketing name: Aspire one, AO530) are as follows (with expanded uncertainty 21.9%):

Band	Position	SAR₁ <sub>g</sub> (W/kg)
GSM850	Body	0.041
GSM1900	Body	0.027
WCDMA Band V	Body	0.038
WCDMA Band II	Body	0.046

They are in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).



## 2. Administration Data

## 2.1 Testing Laboratory

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.		
Test Site LocationNo. 52, Hwa Ya 1st Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan Hsiang, Tao Yuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C. TEL: +886-3-327-3456 FAX: +886-3-328-4978			
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No. : SAR01-HY		

#### 2.2 <u>Applicant</u>

Company Name	Acer Inc.
Address	8F, No. 88, Sec.1, Hsin Tai Wu Rd., Hsichih, Taipei Hsien 221 Taiwan, R.O.C.

#### 2.3 Manufacturer

Company Name :	Quanta Computer Inc.			
Address :	No. 2, Lane Shanghai, F	58, Sanzhuang Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
	No. 4, Wen R.O.C.	Ming 1st Street, Kuei Shan Hsiang, Taoyuan Shien 333, Taiwan,		
	No. 8, Dong	jing Rd., Songjiang Industrial Zone, Shanghai, P.R. China		
	No. 4, Lane Shanghai, F	58, Sanzhuang Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
	North to So	ngsheng Road, Songjiang Industrial Zone, Shanghai, P.R. China		
	B#, No. 1, S Shanghai, F	South Rongteng Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
		actory, South to Valqua, Rongxin Road, Songjiang Export Zone, Shanghai, P.R. China		
	C#, No. 1, S Shanghai, F	South Rongteng Road, Songhjang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
	No. 6, Lane Shanghai, F	66, Sanzhuang Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
	. No. 6, Lane Shanghai, F	58, Sanzhuang Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, P.R. China		
	. Huade Build Beijing, P.R	ling , No. 18, ChuangYe Rd., ShandDi Zone, HaiDian District, .C.		
	. No. 68, San P.R. China	zhuang Road, Songjiang Export Processing Zone, Shanghai,		
	. 2F, C Buildi Guangdong	ng, XinYe Rd., Export Processing District In Torch, Zhongshan, , P.R.C.		



### 2.4 Application Details

Date of Receipt of Application	May 18, 2009
Date of Start during the Test	May 25, 2009
Date of End during the Test	May 25, 2009



## 3. General Information

#### 3.1 Description of Device Under Test (DUT)

Product Feature & Specification					
DUT Type 3G Module					
Trade Name	Acer, Gateway, PackardBell				
Model Name	Gobi2000				
FCC ID	HLZGOBI2000A				
Tx Frequency	GSM850 : 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz GSM1900 : 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA Band V : 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz WCDMA Band II : 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz				
Rx Frequency	GSM850 : 869 MHz ~ 894 MHz GSM1900 : 1930 MHz ~ 1990 MHz WCDMA Band V : 869 MHz ~ 894 MHz WCDMA Band II : 1930 MHz ~ 1990 MHz				
Maximum Output Power to Antenna	GSM850 : 32.13 dBm GSM1900 : 29.17 dBm WCDMA Band V : 24.12 dBm WCDMA Band II : 24.08 dBm				
Antenna Type	PIFA Antenna				
WWAN Module HW Version	P3 Rev C				
WWAN Module SW Version	3574				
Host notebook computer	Brand name : Acer, Gateway, PackardBell Model name : ZG8 Marketing name: Aspire one, AO530				
Type of Modulation	GSM / GPRS : GMSK EDGE : 8PSK WCDMA : QPSK HSDPA : QPSK / 16QAM HSUPA : BPSK				
DUT Stage	Production Unit				

#### Accessory of host notebook computer:

Specification of Accessory				
	Brand Name	DELTA		
	Model Name	ADP-30JH B		
AC Adapter	Power Rating	I/P:100-240Vac, 50-60Hz, 1.2A; O/P: 19Vdc, 1.58A		
	AC Power Cord Type	1.5 meter shielded cable with ferrite core		
	Brand Name	SONY		
Pottony	Model Name	UM09A41		
Battery	Power Rating	11.1Vdc, 2200mAh, 25Wh		
	Туре	Li-ion		
WLAN Module	Brand Name	Atheros		
	Model Name	AR5BHB63		

Remark: Please refer to the user's manual for more detailed information of host notebook computer (Brand name: Acer, Gateway, PackardBell / Model name: ZG8 / Marketing name: Aspire one, AO530).

#### 3.2 Product Photos

Refer to Appendix D.

#### 3.3 Applied Standards

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method and procedure for this 3G Module is in accordance with the following standards:

- 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
- IEEE C95.1-1999
- OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)
- Preliminary Guidance for Reviewing Applications for Certification of 3G Device. May 2006
- KDB 447498 D01 v03r03
- KDB 616217 D01 v01
- KDB 941225 D01 v02
- KDB 941225 D03 v01

#### 3.4 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



#### 3.5 Test Conditions

#### 3.5.1 Ambient Condition

Ambient Temperature	<b>20-24</b> °C
Humidity	<60%

#### 3.5.2 Test Configuration

The device was controlled by using a base station emulator R&S CMU200. Communication between the device and the emulator was established by air link. The distance between the DUT and the antenna of the emulator is larger than 50 cm and the output power radiated from the emulator antenna is at least 30 dB smaller than the output power of DUT. The DUT was set from the emulator to radiate maximum output power during all tests.

For SAR testing, DUT is in GPRS or WCDMA link mode. In GPRS link mode, the DUT was set in GPRS multi-slot class 10 with 2 uplink slots due to maximum source-based time-averaged output power. The source-based time-averaged output power list is as follow:

Source-Based Time-Averaged Output Power						
Band	GSM850			GSM1900		
Channel	128	128 189 251			661	810
Frequency	824.2	836.4	848.8	1850.2	1880	1909.8
GPRS 8	23.13	23.07	22.89	20.08	20.16	20.17
GPRS 10	26.11	26.03	25.84	23.05	23.13	23.15
EGPRS 8	18.31	18.24	18.08	16.90	16.94	16.95
EGPRS 10	21.26	21.21	21.04	19.92	19.90	19.93
						(معر 10 م الله الله ال

(\*Unit: dBm)

The crest factor is 4 for GPRS multi-slot class 10 and crest factor is 1 for WCDMA link mode.

The simultaneous transmission SAR (volume scan) was not required, because the separation distance between both WWAN and WLAN antennas and the bottom of the host notebook computer are larger than 5 cm, and summation of WWAN and WLAN SAR (0.05479 W/kg) is less than 1.6 W/kg.



## 4. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

#### 4.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

#### 4.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density.

 $\boldsymbol{\rho}).$  The equation description is as below:

**SAR** = 
$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

**SAR** = 
$$C \frac{\delta T}{\delta t}$$

, where C is the specific head capacity,  $\delta$  T is the temperature rise and  $\delta$  t the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

SAR = 
$$\frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

, where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



### 5. SAR Measurement Setup




Fig. 5.1 DASY4 System

The DASY4 system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of the following items:

- > A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, a teach pendant and software
- > A data acquisition electronic (DAE) attached to the robot arm extension
- > A dosimetric probe equipped with an optical surface detector system
- > The electro-optical converter (ECO) performs the conversion between optical and electrical signals
- A measurement server performs the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- > A probe alignment unit which improves the accuracy of the probe positioning
- A computer operating Windows XP
- DASY4 software
- Remove control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warming lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom
- A device holder
- Tissue simulating liquid
- Dipole for evaluating the proper functioning of the system

Some of the components are described in details in the following sub-sections.



#### 5.1 DASY4 E-Field Probe System

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

## 5.1.1 E-Field Probe Specification

<et3dv6></et3dv6>							
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular co	re					
	Built-in optical fiber for surface detect	Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system					
	Built-in shielding against static charge	es					
	PEEK enclosure material (resistant to	o organic solvents)					
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz						
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation						
	around probe axis)						
	± 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation						
	perpendicular to probe axis)						
Dynamic Range	5µW/g to 100mW/g; Linearity:						
	±0.2dB						
Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and						
	clear liquids on reflecting surface						
Dimensions	Overall length: 330mm						
	Tip length: 16mm						
	Body diameter: 12mm						
	Tip diameter: 6.8mm						
	Distance from probe tip to dipole						
	centers: 2.7mm	Fig 5.2 Probe Setup on Robot					
Application	General dosimetry up to 3GHz	· · · · ·					
	Compliance tests for mobile phones a	and Wireless LAN					
	Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary p	phantoms					



#### 5.1.2 E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than  $\pm$  10%. The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within  $\pm$  0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, and NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data are as below:

ET3DV6 sn1788 (Cal: Sep. 23, 2008)						
Item	X axis	Y axis	Z axis			
Sensitivity (μV)	1.73	1.59	1.72			
Diode Compression Point (mV)	95	98	91			
	Frequency (MHz)	X,Y,Z	axis			
Conversion Factor (Head / Body)	800~1000	6.55	/ 6.34			
	1650~1850	5.59 / 4.87				
	1850~2050	5.13	/ 4.73			
	2350~2550	4.68	/ 3.98			
	Frequency (MHz)	Alpha	Depth			
Boundary Effect	800~1000	0.44 / 0.50	2.65 / 2.48			
(Head / Body)	1650~1850	0.68 / 0.63	1.98 / 2.33			
	1850~2050	0.75 / 0.74	1.75 / 1.99			
	2350~2550	0.80 / 0.94	1.45 / 1.75			

NOTE: The probe parameters have been calibrated by the SPEAG.



#### 5.2 DATA Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of the DAE4 is 200M Ohm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80dB.

#### 5.3<u>Robot</u>

The DASY4 system uses the high precision robots RX90BL type out of the newer series from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller DASY4 system, the CS7MB robot controller version from Stäubli is used. The RX robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- > Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)
- 6-axis controller

#### 5.4 Measurement Server

The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with 166 MHz CPU 32 MB chipset and 64 MB RAM. Communication with

the DAE4 electronic box the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface.

The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.



#### 5.5 SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm). It has three measurement areas:

- Left head
- Right head
- Flat phantom

The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- \*Water-sugar based liquid
- \*Glycol based liquids



Fig. 5.3 Top View of Twin Phantom



Fig. 5.4 Bottom View of Twin Phantom



#### 5.6 Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

The SAR in the Phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source in 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of  $\pm 0.5$ mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of  $\pm 20\%$ . An accurate device position is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurement. The position in which the devices must be measured, are defined by the standards.

The DASY4 device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The DASY4 device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon r = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.



Fig. 5.5 Device Holder



#### 5.7 Data Storage and Evaluation

#### 5.7.1 Data Storage

The DASY4 software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension. The post-processing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type (e.g., [V/m], [A/m], [mW/g]). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or give meaningless results, e.g., a SAR-output in a non-lose media, will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

#### 5.7.2 Data Evaluation

The DASY4 post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software :

Probe parameters :	- Sensitivity	Norm <i>i</i> , a <sub>p,</sub> a <sub>i</sub> 1, a <sub>i</sub> 2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	dcp <i>i</i>
Device parameters :	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters :	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY4 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power.



The formula for each channel can be given as :

$$Vi = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)  $U_i$  = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z) cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter) dcp<sub>i</sub> = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated :

z)

E-field probes : 
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_iConvF}}$$
  
H-field probes :  $H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \frac{a_{i0+}a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$   
with  $V_i$ = compensated signal of channel  $i$  ( $i$  = x, y, z)  
 $Norm_i$  = sensor sensitivity of channel  $i$  ( $i$  = x, y, z)  
 $\mu V/(V/m)2$  for E-field Probes  
 $ConvF$  = sensitivity enhancement in solution  
 $a_{ij}$  = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes  
 $f$  = carrier frequency [GHz]  
 $E_i$  = electric field strength of channel  $i$  in V/m  
 $H_i$  = magnetic field strength of channel  $i$  in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude) :

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_X^2 + E_Y^2 + E_Z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

SAR = 
$$E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with

SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g
Etot = total field strength in V/m

- $\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
- $\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

\* Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$
 or  $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$ 

with **Ppwe** = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup> **Etot** = total electric field strength in V/m **Htot** = total magnetic field strength in A/m



## 5.8 Test Equipment List

	N (= ) (		o · · · · ·	Calib	Calibration		
Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Last Cal.	Due Date		
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Filed Probe	ET3DV6	1787	Aug. 26, 2008	Aug. 25, 2009		
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Filed Probe	ET3DV6	1788	Sep. 23, 2008	Sep. 22, 2009		
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Filed Probe	EX3DV3	3514	Jan. 21, 2009	Jan. 20, 2010		
SPEAG	835MHz System Validation Kit	D835V2	499	Mar. 17, 2008	Mar. 16, 2010		
SPEAG	900MHz System Validation Kit	D900V2	190	Jul. 16, 2007	Jul. 15, 2009		
SPEAG	1800MHz System Validation Kit	D1800V2	2d076	Jul. 10, 2007	Jul. 09, 2009		
SPEAG	1900MHz System Validation Kit	D1900V2	5d041	Mar. 28, 2008	Mar. 27, 2010		
SPEAG	2000MHz System Validation Kit	D2000V2	1010	Sep. 17, 2008	Sep. 16, 2010		
SPEAG	2300MHz System Validation Kit	D2300V2	1006	Sep. 12, 2007	Sep. 11, 2009		
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	736	Jul. 12, 2007	Jul. 11, 2009		
SPEAG	2600MHz System Validation Kit	D2600V2	1008	Sep. 12, 2007	Sep. 11, 2009		
SPEAG	3500MHz System Validation Kit	D3500V2	1014	Sep. 19, 2007	Sep. 18, 2009		
SPEAG	5GHz System Validation Kit	D5GHzV2	1006	Jan. 24, 2008	Jan. 23, 2010		
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE3	577	Nov. 12, 2008	Nov. 11, 2009		
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	778	Sep. 22, 2008	Sep. 21, 2009		
SPEAG	Device Holder	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1303	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1383	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1446	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1477	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 001 BB	1026	NCR	NCR		
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 001 BA	1029	NCR	NCR		
Agilent	PNA Series Network Analyzer	E8358A	US40260131	Apr. 17, 2009	Apr. 16, 2010		
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	MY48360820	Dec. 15, 2008	Dec. 14, 2009		
R&S	Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU200	105934	Nov. 11, 2008	Nov. 10, 2009		
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070D	US01440205	NCR	NCR		
Agilent	Dual Directional Coupler	778D	50422	NCR	NCR		
AR	Power Amplifier	5S1G4M2	0328767	NCR	NCR		
R&S	Power Meter	NRVD	101394	Oct. 20, 2008	Oct. 19, 2009		
R&S	Power Sensor	NRV-Z1	100130	Oct. 20, 2008	Oct. 19, 2009		
R&S	Spectrum Analyzer	FSP7	101131	Mar. 12, 2009	Mar. 11, 2010		

Table 5.1 Test Equipment List



## 6. <u>Tissue Simulating Liquids</u>

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY4, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous tissue simulating liquid. The liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is (head SAR)or from the flat phantom to the liquid top surface (body SAR) is 15.2cm.

The following ingredients for tissue simulating liquid are used:

- > Water: deionized water (pure H20), resistivity  $\geq 16M\Omega$  as basis for the liquid
- Sugar: refined sugar in crystals, as available in food shops to reduce relative permittivity
- Salt: pure NaCl to increase conductivity
- Cellulose: Hydroxyethyl-cellulose, medium viscosity (75-125 mPa.s, 2% in water, 20°C), CAS#54290-to increase viscosity and to keep sugar in solution.
- Preservative: Preventol D-7 Bayer AG, D-51368 Leverkusen, CAS#55965-84-9- to prevent the spread of bacteria and molds.
- DGMBE: Deithlenglycol-monobuthyl ether (DGMBE), Fluka Chemie GmbH, CAS#112-34-5 to reduce relative permittivity.

Frequency	Water	Sugar	Cellulose	Salt	Preventol	DGBE	Conductivity	Permittivity	
(MHz)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(σ)	(ε <sub>r</sub> )	
	For Head								
835	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.90	41.5	
900	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.97	41.5	
1800, 1900, 2000	55.2	0	0	0.3	0	44.5	1.40	40.0	
2450	55.0	0	0	0	0	45.0	1.80	39.2	
				For Body					
835	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.97	55.2	
900	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	1.05	55.0	
1800, 1900, 2000	70.2	0	0	0.4	0	29.4	1.52	53.3	
2450	68.6	0	0	0	0	31.4	1.95	52.7	

Table 6.1 gives the recipes for one liter of tissue simulating liquid.

Table 6.1 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid



Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Conductivity (σ)	±5% Range	Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	±5% Range
835	Head	0.90	0.86 ~ 0.95	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6
900	Head	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02	41.5	39.4 ~ 43.6
1800, 1900, 2000	Head	1.40	1.33 ~ 1.47	40.0	38.0 ~ 42.0
2450	Head	1.80	1.71 ~ 1.89	39.2	37.2 ~ 41.2
835	Body	0.97	0.92 ~ 1.02	55.2	52.4 ~ 58.0
900	Body	1.05	1.00 ~ 1.10	55.0	52.3 ~ 57.8
1800, 1900, 2000	Body	1.52	1.44 ~ 1.60	53.3	50.6 ~ 56.0
2450	Body	1.95	1.85 ~ 2.05	52.7	50.1 ~ 55.3

Toble 6.2 aives	the teracte for	and liter of tidayo	simulating liquid.
Table 0.2 ulves	line largels for		Simulating liquig.

Table 6.2 Targets of Tissue Simulating Liquid

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

Table 6.3 shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Temperature (℃)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε <sub>r</sub> )	Measurement Date
835	Body	21.5	0.978	53.4	May 25, 2009
1900	Body	21.3	1.55	51.9	May 25, 2009

Table 6.3 Measuring Results for Simulating Liquid



## 7. Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table 7.1

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-shape
Multiplying factor <sup>(a)</sup>	1/k <sup>(b)</sup>	1/√3	1/√6	1/√2

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b)  $\kappa$  is the coverage factor

#### Table 7.1 Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY4 uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 7.2.



Error Description	Uncertainty Value ± %	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Unc. (1g)	vi or Veff
Measurement Equipment						
Probe Calibration	±5.9 %	Normal	1	1	±5.9 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	±4.7 %	Rectangular	√3	0.7	±1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	±9.6 %	Rectangular	√3	0.7	±3.9 %	8
Boundary Effects	±1.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6 %	8
Linearity	±4.7 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.7 %	8
System Detection Limits	±1.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6 %	8
Readout Electronics	±0.3 %	Normal	1	1	±0.3 %	8
Response Time	±0.8 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.5 %	8
Integration Time	±2.6 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.5 %	8
RF Ambient Noise	±3.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.7 %	8
RF Ambient Reflections	±3.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.7 %	8
Probe Positioner	±0.4 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.2 %	8
Probe Positioning	±2.9 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±1.7 %	8
Max. SAR Eval.	±1.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±0.6 %	8
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning	±2.9 %	Normal	1	1	±2.9	145
Device Holder	±3.6 %	Normal	1	1	±3.6	5
Power Drift	±5.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.9	8
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty	±4.0 %	Rectangular	√3	1	±2.3	8
Liquid Conductivity (target)	±5.0 %	Rectangular	√3	0.64	±1.8	8
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	±2.5 %	Normal	1	0.64	±1.6	8
Liquid Permittivity (target)	±5.0 %	Rectangular	√3	0.6	±1.7	8
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	±2.5 %	Normal	1	0.6	±1.5	8
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b>					±10.9	387
Coverage Factor for 95 %		K=2				
Expanded uncertainty (Coverage factor = 2)					±21.9	

Table 7.2 Uncertainty Budget of DASY4



## 8. SAR Measurement Evaluation


Each DASY4 system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY4 software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

#### 8.1 Purpose of System Performance check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

#### 8.2 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator at frequency 835 MHz and 1900 MHz. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:







- 1. Signal Generator
- 2. Amplifier
- 3. Directional Coupler
- 4. Power Meter
- 5. 835 MHz or 1900 MHz Dipole

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 20dBm (100mW) before dipole is connected.




Fig 8.2 Dipole Setup



#### 8.3 Validation Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. Table 8.1 shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion.

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Targeted SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
May 25, 2009	835	9.52	9.06	-4.83
May 25, 2009	1900	40.10	41.80	4.24

Table 8.1 Target and Measurement SAR after Normalized



## 9. Description for DUT Testing Position

This DUT was tested in one position only, which was bottom of the DUT and the gap between notebook and flat phantom was 0 cm.

(Please refer to Appendix E for the test setup photos.)





## 10. Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

- Linking DUT with base station emulator CMU200 in middle channel
- Setting CMU200 to allow DUT to radiate maximum output power
- Measuring output power through RF cable and power meter
- Placing the DUT in the positions described in the last section
- > Setting scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY4 software
- > Taking data for the middle channel on each testing position
- > Finding out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band
- Measuring output power and SAR results for the lowest and highest channels in this worst case testing position

According to the OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- > Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power reference measurement

#### 10.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY4 software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.



The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

#### 10.2<u>Scan Procedures</u>

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan measures 5x5x7 points with step size 8, 8 and 5 mm. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 1 g.

#### 10.3 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY4, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.



## 11. SAR Test Results

#### 11.1 Conducted Power

Conducted Power							
Band	GSM850		GSM1900				
Channel	128	189	251	512	661	810	
Frequency	824.2	836.4	848.8	1850.2	1880	1909.8	
GPRS 8	32.13	32.07	31.89	29.08	29.16	29.17	
GPRS 10	32.11	32.03	31.84	29.05	29.13	29.15	
EGPRS 8	27.31	27.24	27.08	25.90	25.94	25.95	
EGPRS 10	27.26	27.21	27.04	25.92	25.90	25.93	

(\*Unit: dBm)

Conducted Power										
Band	WCDMA Band V			WCDMA Band II						
Channel	4132	4182	4233	9262	9400	9538				
Frequency	826.4	836.4	846.6	1852.4	1880	1907.6				
RMC 12.2K	24.12	24.11	24.12	24.04	24.08	23.83				
HSDPA Subtest-1	24.04	23.98	23.96	23.94	24.07	23.73				
HSDPA Subtest-2	23.85	23.79	23.80	23.84	23.98	23.69				
HSDPA Subtest-3	23.37	23.28	23.33	23.41	23.58	23.51				
HSDPA Subtest-4	23.32	23.38	23.31	23.30	23.60	23.29				
HSUPA Subtest-1	23.84	23.55	23.82	23.35	23.48	23.23				
HSUPA Subtest-2	22.18	22.13	22.02	22.06	21.93	21.86				
HSUPA Subtest-3	22.61	22.52	22.44	22.40	22.47	22.44				
HSUPA Subtest-4	22.23	22.19	22.14	22.17	22.82	22.04				
HSUPA Subtest-5	23.81	23.62	23.83	23.01	23.52	23.48				

(\*Unit: dBm)



Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Separation Distance (mm)	Channel	SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
#10	GSM850	GPRS10	Bottom	0	189	0.039
#11	GSM850	GPRS10	Bottom	0	128	0.022
#12	GSM850	GPRS10	Bottom	0	251	0.041
#01	GSM1900	GPRS10	Bottom	0	661	0.027
#02	GSM1900	GPRS10	Bottom	0	512	0.025
#03	GSM1900	GPRS10	Bottom	0	810	0.016
#07	WCDMA V	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	4182	0.031
#08	WCDMA V	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	4132	0.023
#09	WCDMA V	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	4233	0.038
#04	WCDMA II	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	9400	0.046
#05	WCDMA II	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	9262	0.045
#06	WCDMA II	RMC12.2K	Bottom	0	9538	0.034

#### 11.2 Test Records for Body SAR Test

Test Engineer : Gordon Lin



## 12. References

- [1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 "Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations"
- [2] Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), "Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to RF Emissions", June 2001
- [3] IEEE Std. C95.1-1999, "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz", 1999
- [4] DASY4 System Handbook
- [5] KDB 447498 D01 v03r03, "Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures", Jan. 2009
- [6] KDB 616217 D01 v01, "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens", December 2007
- KDB 941225 D01 v02, "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices CDMA2000 / Ev-Do / WCDMA / HSDPA / HSPA", October 2007
- [8] KDB 941225 D03 v01, "Recommended SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM/GPRS/EDGE", December 2008



## Appendix A - System Performance Check Data

Please refer to the system performance check data as below.



## Appendix B - SAR Measurement Data

Please refer to the SAR measurement data as below.



## Appendix C – Calibration Data

Please refer to the calibration certificates of DASY as below.