

**Chris Harvey**

**From:** Gina.Lo@ccsrf.com on behalf of application.2010@ccsrf.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2011 5:56 AM  
**To:** charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com  
**Cc:** application@ccsrf.com; CHARVEY@IEEE.ORG; lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com  
**Subject:** 回信: Acer Inc. , FCC ID: HLZDME210SC, Assessment NO.: AN10T1326, Notice#2  
**Attachments:** E210 Part 22 & 24 emission designators 0111.pdf; E210 SAR Probe EX3DV4 sn3554 20100922c 0111.pdf; E210 SAR Probe EX3DV4 sn3665 20100325c 0111.pdf; E210 SAR Test Report Revised 0111.pdf

Dear Sir,

Please see my reply as below, thank you.

Best Regards,

Gina

撒·辣·瑩? <charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com>  
 ?喻·辣·瑩? <application@ccsrf.com>  
 ?音·?· ? <CHARVEY@IEEE.ORG>, <lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com>  
 ?交?: 2011/01/11 緜·? 08:25  
 緜餅·: Acer Inc. , FCC ID: HLZDME210SC, Assessment NO.: AN10T1326, Notice#2

Dear Jessica Ho and Gina Lo,

You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application. The following items need to be resolved before the review can be continued:

Thank you for your responses to the first set of questions. Several of the responses have not been resolved or need further clarification.

1. The only new exhibits that have been uploaded were the revised DTS RF Test Report and the Revised Schematics. Your responses indicated that you uploaded the following exhibits, but these have not been received:

E210 SAR Test Report Revised 0111  
 E210 SAR Probe EX3DV4 sn3665 20100325c 0111  
 E210 SAR Probe EX3D V4 sn3554 20100922c 01 11  
 Part 22 & 24 emission designators 0111

[Ans: Please see the documents as the attachment.](#)

Please provide the revised and new exhibits indicated above.

1/13/2011

2. Please note that your answer for question #4 states that the worst case spacing is 1.0cm, but a spacing of 1.5cm is actually worse than 1.0cm because a larger spacing would be required. The worst case spacing is 1.5cm which should be reflected in the manual.

Ans: The user's manual stated the worst test results that were performed at test spacing of 1.0 cm.

Maybe the worst case of test spacing is 1.5 cm, but the worst test results happened at test spacing of 1.0 cm.

3. Your response to question 1 included a revised schematic to address the US operations in WiFi Channels 1-11, but the new schematics do not seem to include any information about WiFi Channel operation. What has changed in the revised Schematic exhibit?

Ans: We revised page 27 of the Schematic.

Ans: It? • controlled by software design. WiFi channel is adjusted by each OS SKU base on which country the product will be shipped. For example, if the product is shipped in North America, the WiFi channel is restricted to CH1-11 and it? • not allowed to modify the setting.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender. Revised documentation should not be emailed, but instead should be submitted through "Add Attachment" function at the UL-CCS website. Please have your Assessment Number and FCC ID/IC Certification number handy. You may use the following link: <https://cert.ccsemc.com/filing/>

Best regards,

Chris Harvey  
Charvey-tcb@ccsemc.com

---

This e-mail transmission is confidential and intended solely for being reviewed by the recipient(s) identified above. If you are not an identified recipient, please ensure that this communication remains confidential and promptly return it to the sender. Please contact immediately by phone (Tel: 886-2-2299-9720) for any problem with this transmission. Thank you for your attention.