

# SAR TEST REPORT

<b>Equipment Under Test</b>	802.11b/g/n RTL8191SE miniCard
<b>Host Model Number</b>	NAV50 , NAV60
<b>FCC ID of WLAN module</b>	HLZ-RTL8191SE
<b>IC No. of WLAN module</b>	1754F-RTL8191SE
<b>Company Name</b>	Acer Incorporated
<b>Company Address</b>	8F, 88,Sec,1,Hsin Tai Wu Rd. Hsichih Taipei Hsien 221
<b>Date of Receipt</b>	2010.01.27
<b>Date of Test(s)</b>	2010.02.04
<b>Date of Issue</b>	2010.02.24

Standards:


**FCC OET 65 supplement C,  
IEEE /ANSI C95.1 , C95.3, IEEE 1528,  
(KDB616217),RSS102**


In the configuration tested, the EUT complied with the standards specified above.

**Remarks:**

This report details the results of the testing carried out on one sample, the results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report.

This report may only be reproduced and distributed in full. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards. Any mention of SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory or testing done by SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory in connection with distribution or use of the product described in this report must be approved by SGS Taiwan Electronic & Communication Laboratory in writing.

Tested by : Antony Wu  Date : 2010.02.24  
Engineer

Approved by : Robert Chang  Date : 2010.02.24  
Tech Manager

# **Contents**

1. General Information .....	3
1.1 Testing Laboratory .....	3
1.2 Details of Applicant .....	3
1.3 Description of EUT .....	3
1.4 Test Environment.....	6
1.5 Operation description.....	6
1.6 The SAR Measurement System .....	7
1.7 System Components .....	8
1.8 SAR System Verification .....	10
1.9 Tissue Simulant Fluid for the Frequency Band .....	11
1.10 Evaluation Procedures .....	12
1.11 Test Standards and Limits .....	13
2. Summary of Results .....	16
3. Instruments List.....	17
4. Measurements .....	18
5. SAR System Performance Verification .....	23
6. DAE & Probe Calibration certificate.....	24
7. Uncertainty Analysis .....	34
8. Phantom Description .....	36
9. System Validation from Original equipment supplier.....	37

# 1. General Information

## 1.1 Testing Laboratory

SGS Taiwan Ltd. Electronics & Communication Laboratory	
134, Wu Kung Road, Wuku industrial zone	
Taipei county, Taiwan, R.O.C.	
Telephone	+886-2-2299-3279
Fax	+886-2-2298-0488
Internet	<a href="http://www.tw.sgs.com">http://www.tw.sgs.com</a>

## 1.2 Details of Applicant

Name	Acer Incorporated
Address	8F, 88,Sec,1,Hsin Tai Wu Rd. Hsichih Taipei Hsien 221

## 1.3 Description of EUT

EUT Name	802.11b/g/n RTL8191SE miniCard
Host Model Number	NAV50 , NAV60
Brand Name	Acer. Gateway, Packard Bell
FCC ID of WLAN	HLZ-RTL8191SE
IC No. of WLAN	1754F-RTL8191SE
Definition	Production unit
Mode of Operation	WLAN 802.11 b/g/n band

Duty Cycle	WLAN 802.11 b/g/n	
	1	
TX Frequency range (MHz)	WLAN802.11 b/g/n(20M)	WLAN802.11n(40M)
	2412-2462	2422-2452
Channel Number (ARFCN)	WLAN802.11 b/g/n	WLAN802.11n(40M)
	1-11	3-9
Power Supply	11.1Vdc re-chargeable battery or 12Vdc by AC/DC power adapter	
Max. SAR Measured (1g)	<b>WLAN802.11b</b>	
	<b>0.021W/kg</b> (WLAN802.11b _ CH1_ Configuration 1)	
	<b>WLAN802.11g</b>	
	<b>0.00884W/kg</b> (WLAN802.11g _ CH1_ Configuration 1)	
	<b>WLAN802.11n (20M)</b>	
	<b>0.00777W/kg</b> (WLAN802.11n(20M) _ CH6_ Configuration 1)	
	<b>WLAN802.11n(40M)</b>	
<b>0.00877W/kg</b> (WLAN802.11n(40M) _ CH3_ Configuration 1)		

**Note : 1.** The 1-g SAR for the highest output channel is less than 0.8 W/kg, where the transmission band corresponding to all channels is  $\leq 100$  MHz, testing for the other channels is not required.

2. WLAN802.11 b/g/n Conducted power :

EUT Mode	Frequency (MHz)	CH	Peak Power (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)
WLAN802.11b	2412	1	18.11	15.24
	2437	6	17.62	15.08
	2462	11	17.72	15.05

EUT Mode	Frequency (MHz)	CH	Peak Power (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)
WLAN802.11g	2412	1	16.54	14.13
	2437	6	16.52	13.97
	2462	11	15.15	12.54

EUT Mode	Frequency (MHz)	CH	Peak Power (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)
WLAN802.11n (20M)	2412	1	16.39	13.77
	2437	6	16.48	13.90
	2462	11	15.07	12.68

EUT Mode	Frequency (MHz)	CH	Peak Power (dBm)	Average Power (dBm)
WLAN802.11n (40M)	2412	3	16.52	14.19
	2437	6	16.49	14.01
	2452	9	14.50	11.95

## 1.4 Test Environment

Ambient Temperature:  $22 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$

Tissue Simulating Liquid:  $22 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$

## 1.5 Operation description

Use chipset specific software to control the EUT, and makes it transmit in maximum power. Measurements are performed respectively on the lowest, middle and highest channels of the operating band(s). The EUT is set to maximum power level during all tests, and at the beginning of each test the battery is fully charged.

1. By comparing 802.11 b, 802.11g, 802.11H20 and 802.11H40 RF conducted average output power; if 802.11g/802.11H20/802.11H40 average output power is not **1/4 dB** higher than 802.11b, 802.11g/802.11H20/802.11H40 modes are not required to be tested. ( in this application, all 802.11g/802.11H20/802.11H40 tests are not necessary due to average power is not 1/4 dB higher than 802.11b)
2. While testing 802.11b, SAR shall be measured at the middle channel if middle channel has the highest average power. If the measured SAR value is not greater than **0.8 W/kg**, then low and high channel SAR measurement are not required.
3. When the maximum transmitter and antenna output power are  $\leq 60/f(\text{GHz})$  (mW) SAR evaluation is typically not required for FCC or TCB approval (BT power= -1.99dBm)

The test configuration tested at the low, middle and high frequency channels, and then test of set in highest power. Finally, we will test it by dividing into 1 configuration:

Configuration 1: Laptop mode (WLAN/Main antenna -to-user separation distance is 113mm, so SAR test is required) ( Appendix-Fig.3 & Fig.4)

## 1.6 The SAR Measurement System

A photograph of the SAR measurement System is given in Fig. a. This SAR Measurement System uses a Computer-controlled 3-D stepper motor system ( SPEAG DASY 5 professional system ). A Model ES3DV3 field probe is used to determine the internal electric fields. The SAR can be obtained from the equation  $SAR = \sigma (|E_i|^2) / \rho$  where  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are the conductivity and mass density of the tissue-simulant.

The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension is for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.





Fig.a The block diagram of SAR system

- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
  - A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
  - DASY5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
  - The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
  - The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
  - Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
  - Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

## 1.7 System Components


### ES3DV3 E-Field Probe

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	
Calibration	Basic Broad Band Calibration in air Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL2450 MHZ Additional CF for other liquids and frequencies upon request	
Frequency	10 MHz to > 3 GHz, Linearity: $\pm 0.6$ dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)	
Directivity	$\pm 0.3$ dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.5$ dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	




Dynamic Range	10 $\mu$ W/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: $\pm$ 0.6 dB (noise: typically < 1 $\mu$ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 10 mm) Tip diameter: 4 mm (Body: 10 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.

### SAM PHANTOM V4.0C

Construction	<p>The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209.</p> <p>It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.</p>	
Shell Thickness	2 $\pm$ 0.2 mm	
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters	
Dimensions	Height: 850 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm	

**DEVICE HOLDER**

<p>Construction</p>	<p>The device holder (Supporter) for Notebook is made by POM (polyoxymethylene resin) , which is non-metal and non-conductive. The height can be adjusted to fit varies kind of notebooks.</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">Device Holder</p>
---------------------	--	---

**1.8 SAR System Verification**

The microwave circuit arrangement for system verification is sketched in Fig. b. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom. A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within +/- 5% from the target SAR values. These tests were done at 2450 MHz. The tests were conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table 1 (SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole). During the tests, the ambient temperature of the laboratory was in the range 22.1°C, the relative humidity was in the range 62% and the liquid depth above the ear reference points was above 15 cm in all the cases. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values.





Fig.b The block diagram of system verification

- A. Agilent Model 8648D Signal Generator
- B. Mini circuits Model ZHL-42 Amplifier
- C. Agilent Model U2001B Power Sensor
- D. Agilent Model 777D Dual directional coupling
- E. Reference dipole antenna



Photograph of the dipole Antenna

Validation Kit	Frequency Hz	Target SAR (1g) (Pin=250mW)	Measured SAR (1g)	Measured Date
D2450V2 S/N: 727	2450 MHz (Body)	13.5m W/g	13.7mW/g	2010-02-04

Table 1. Results of system validation

### 1.9 Tissue Simulant Fluid for the Frequency Band

The dielectric properties for this body-simulant fluid were measured by using the Agilent Model 85070D Dielectric Probe (rates frequency band 200 MHz to 20 GHz) in conjunction with HP 8753D Network Analyzer (30 KHz-6000 MHz ) by using a procedure detailed in Section V.

All dielectric parameters of tissue simulates were measured within 24 hours of SAR measurements. The depth of the tissue simulant in the ear reference point of the phantom was  $15\text{cm} \pm 5\text{mm}$  during all tests. (Fig .2)

Frequency (MHz)	Tissue type	Measurement date/ Limits	Dielectric Parameters		
			$\rho$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	Simulated Tissue Temperature( $^{\circ}$ C)
2450	Body	Measured, 2010.02.04	52.1	2.06	21.7
		Recommended Limits	51.68-57.12	1.88-2.09	20-24

Table 2. Dielectric Parameters of Tissue Simulant Fluid

The composition of the body tissue simulating liquid is:

Ingredient	2450MHz (Body)
DGMBE	301.7ml
Water	698.3ml
Salt	X
Preventol D-7	X
Cellulose	X
Sugar	X
Total amount	1 L (1.0kg)

Table 3. Recipes for tissue simulating liquid

### 1.10 Evaluation Procedures

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1 g and 10 g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

1. The extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
2. The calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
3. The generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
4. The interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
5. The extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
6. The calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors that is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal

line is less than 30 degree.

In the Area Scan, the gradient of the interpolation function is evaluated to find all the extreme of the SAR distribution. The uncertainty on the locations of the extreme is less than 1/20 of the grid size. Only local maximum within  $-2$  dB of the global maximum are searched and passed for the Cube Scan measurement. In the Cube Scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the lowest measurement points to the inner phantom surface (the extrapolation distance). The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5mm. The maximum search is automatically performed after each area scan measurement. It is based on splines in two or three dimensions. The procedure can find the maximum for most SAR distributions even with relatively large grid spacing. After the area scanning measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The following scan can directly use this position for reference, e.g., for a finer resolution grid or the cube evaluations. The 1g and 10g peak evaluations are only available for the predefined cube 7x7x7 scans. The routines are verified and optimized for the grid dimensions used in these cube measurements.

The measured volume of 30x30x30mm contains about 30g of tissue.

The first procedure is an extrapolation (incl. Boundary correction) to get the points between the lowest measured plane and the surface. The next step uses 3D interpolation to get all points within the measured volume. In the last step, a 1g cube is placed numerically into the volume and its averaged SAR is calculated. This cube is the moved around until the highest averaged SAR is found. If the highest SAR is found at the edge of the measured volume, the system will issue a warning: higher SAR values might be found outside of the measured volume. In that case the cube measurement can be repeated, using the new interpolated maximum as the center.

### **1.11 Test Standards and Limits**

According to FCC 47CFR §2.1093(d) The limits to be used for evaluation are based generally on criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate ("SAR") in Section 4.2 of "IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3

kHz to 300 GHz," ANSI/IEEE C95.1–1992, Copyright 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017. These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields," NCRP Report No. 86, Section 17.4.5. Copyright NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards. The criteria to be used are specified in paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this section and shall apply for portable devices transmitting in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 6 GHz. Portable devices that transmit at frequencies above 6 GHz are to be evaluated in terms of the MPE limits specified in § 1.1310 of this chapter. Measurements and calculations to demonstrate compliance with MPE field strength or power density limits for devices operating above 6 GHz should be made at a minimum distance of 5 cm from the radiating source.

- (1) Limits for Occupational/Controlled exposure: 0.4 W/kg as averaged over the whole-body and spatial peak SAR not exceeding 8 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). Exceptions are the hands, wrists, feet and ankles where the spatial peak SAR shall not exceed 20 W/kg, as averaged over an 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube).
- (2) Occupational/Controlled limits apply when persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided these persons are fully aware of and exercise control over their exposure. Awareness of exposure can be accomplished by use of warning labels or by specific training or education through appropriate means, such as an RF safety program in a work environment.
- (3) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure: 0.08 W/kg as averaged over the whole-body and spatial peak SAR not exceeding 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). Exceptions are the hands, wrists, feet and ankles where the spatial peak SAR shall not exceed 4 W/kg, as averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube). General Population/Uncontrolled limits apply when the general public may be exposed, or when persons that are exposed as a consequence of

their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or do not exercise control over their exposure. Warning labels placed on consumer devices such as cellular telephones will not be sufficient reason to allow these devices to be evaluated subject to limits for occupational/controlled exposure in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.(Table .4)

<b>Human Exposure</b>	<b>Uncontrolled Environment General Population</b>	<b>Controlled Environment Occupational</b>
<b>Spatial Peak SAR</b> (Brain)	1.60 m W/g	8.00 m W/g
<b>Spatial Average SAR</b> (Whole Body)	0.08 m W/g	0.40 m W/g
<b>Spatial Peak SAR</b> (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 m W/g	20.00 m W/g

Table .4 RF exposure limits

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

## 2. Summary of Results

### WLAN802.11 b

Configuration 1: Laptop mode						
Frequency	Channel	MHz	Conducted Output Power (Average)	Measured(W/kg) 1g	Amb. Temp[ ° C]	Liquid Temp[ ° C]
2450MHz	1	2412	15.24dBm	0.021	22.1	21.7

### WLAN802.11 g

Configuration 1: Laptop mode						
Frequency	Channel	MHz	Conducted Output Power (Average)	Measured(W/kg) 1g	Amb. Temp[ ° C]	Liquid Temp[ ° C]
2450MHz	1	2412	14.13dBm	0.00884	22.1	21.7

### WLAN802.11 n(20M)

Configuration 1: Laptop mode						
Frequency	Channel	MHz	Conducted Output Power (Average)	Measured(W/kg) 1g	Amb. Temp[ ° C]	Liquid Temp[ ° C]
2450MHz	6	2437	13.90dBm	0.00777	22.1	21.7

### WLAN802.11 n(40M)

Configuration 1: Laptop mode						
Frequency	Channel	MHz	Conducted Output Power (Average)	Measured(W/kg) 1g	Amb. Temp[ ° C]	Liquid Temp[ ° C]
2450MHz	3	2422	14.19dBm	0.00877	22.1	21.7

Note:

SAR measurement results with transmitter at maximum output power.



### 3. Instruments List

Manufacturer	Device	Type	Serial number	Date of last calibration
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ES3DV3	3172	May.27.2009
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	D2450V2	727	Apr.27.2009
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Data acquisition Electronics	DAE4	856	May.26.2009
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Software	DASY 5 V5.0 Build125	N/A	Calibration not required
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Phantom	SAM	N/A	Calibration not required
Agilent	Network Analyzer	8753D	3410A05547	Mar.31.2009
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070D	US01440168	Calibration not required
Agilent	Dual-directional coupler	777D	50114	Aug.26.2009
Agilent	RF Signal Generator	8648D	3847M00432	May.25.2009
Agilent	Power Sensor	U2001B	MY48100169	Apr.23.2009

## 4. Measurements

Date/Time: 02/04/2010 07:26:39

### Configuration 1\_WLAN802.11b\_CH1

**DUT: NAV50;**

Communication System: Wireless LAN; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 2450 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2412$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.99$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3172; ConvF(4.02, 4.02, 4.02); Calibrated: 5/27/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn856; Calibrated: 5/26/2009
- Phantom: SAM1; Type: SAM;
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Body/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.024 mW/g


**Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 2.15 V/m; Power Drift = -0.054 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.034 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.021 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.010 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.020 mW/g





Date/Time: 02/04/2010 08:47:36

## Configuration 1\_WLAN802.11g\_CH1

**DUT: NAV50 ;**

Communication System: Wireless LAN; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 2450 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2412 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.99 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3172; ConvF(4.02, 4.02, 4.02); Calibrated: 5/27/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn856; Calibrated: 5/26/2009
- Phantom: SAM1; Type: SAM;
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Body/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.00986 mW/g


**Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 1.22 V/m; Power Drift = 0.121 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.036 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.00884 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00409 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.010 mW/g



0 dB = 0.010mW/g

Date/Time: 02/04/2010 09:37:34

## Configuration 1\_WLAN802.11n(20M)\_CH6

**DUT: NAV50 ;**

Communication System: Wireless LAN; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 2450 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2437$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.04$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3172; ConvF(4.02, 4.02, 4.02); Calibrated: 5/27/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn856; Calibrated: 5/26/2009
- Phantom: SAM1; Type: SAM;
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Body/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.00942 mW/g

**Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 1.16 V/m; Power Drift = -0.092 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.013 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.00777 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00359 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.00935 mW/g



Date/Time: 02/04/2010 10:31:07

## Configuration 1\_WLAN802.11n(40M)\_CH3

**DUT: NAV50 ;**

Communication System: Wireless LAN; Frequency: 2422 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body 2450 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2422$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.01$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3172; ConvF(4.02, 4.02, 4.02); Calibrated: 5/27/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn856; Calibrated: 5/26/2009
- Phantom: SAM1; Type: SAM;
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Body/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.010 mW/g

**Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 1.23 V/m; Power Drift = 0.147 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.015 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.00877 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0044 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0097 mW/g



## 5. SAR System Performance Verification

Date/Time: 02/04/2010 06:12:13

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz;**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Body2450 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 2.06$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section


DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3172; ConvF(4.02, 4.02, 4.02); Calibrated: 5/27/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn856; Calibrated: 5/26/2009
- Phantom: SAM1; Type: SAM; Serial:
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 125; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 125

**Pin=250mW/Area Scan (31x51x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm  
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 18.5 mW/g

**Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  
dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 95.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.018 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 36.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.6 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.8 mW/g



0 dB = 17.8mW/g

## 6. DAE & Probe Calibration certificate

Calibration Laboratory of  
 Schmid & Partner  
 Engineering AG  
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
 C Service suisse d'étalonnage  
 S Servizio svizzero di taratura  
 S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client **SGS (Auden)**

Certificate No: DAE4-856\_May09

### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **DAE4 - SD 000 D04 BJ - SN: 856**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-06.v12  
 Calibration procedure for the data acquisition electronics (DAE)**

Calibration date: **May 26, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	30-Sep-08 (No: 7673)	Sep-09
Keithley Multimeter Type 2001	SN: 0810278	30-Sep-08 (No: 7670)	Sep-09
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Calibrator Box V1.1	SE UMS 006 AB 1004	06-Jun-08 (in house check)	In house check: Jun-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Dominique Steffen	Technician	
Approved by:	Fin Bornholt	R&D Director	

Issued: May 26, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



**Calibration Laboratory of**  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **SGS (Auden)**

Certificate No: **ES3-3172\_May09**

### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3172**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v6 and QA CAL-23.v3  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **May 27, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01026)	Mar-10
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01028)	Mar-10
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01027)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-09 (No. ES3-3013_Jan09)	Jan-10
DAE4	SN: 660	9-Sep-08 (No. DAE4-660_Sep08)	Sep-09

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: May 27, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

#### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub> = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

# Probe ES3DV3

## SN:3172

Manufactured:	January 23, 2008
Last calibrated:	June 23, 2008
Recalibrated:	May 27, 2009

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)




ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

### Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )



ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )

ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

### Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ )




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )

ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

### Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup>	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.86	1.08	5.83 ± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.87	1.08	5.65 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	0.35	1.81	4.99 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.38	1.73	4.86 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.48	1.51	4.71 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.41	1.78	4.33 ± 11.0% (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.78	1.15	5.81 ± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.78	1.15	5.67 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	0.45	1.75	4.69 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.33	2.23	4.54 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.27	2.99	4.53 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.40	1.40	4.02 ± 11.0% (k=2)

<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASy v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.




ES3DV3 SN:3172

May 27, 2009

### Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 2.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )

## **7. Uncertainty Analysis**

### DASY5 Uncertainty Budget According to IEEE 1528 [1]

Error Description	Uncertainty value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	( $c_1$ ) 1g	( $c_1$ ) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)	( $\nu_1$ ) $\nu_{eff}$
<b>Measurement System</b>								
Probe Calibration	±5.9 %	N	1	1	1	±5.9 %	±5.9 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	±4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.9 %	±1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	±9.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±3.9 %	±3.9 %	∞
Boundary Effects	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
Linearity	±4.7 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %	∞
System Detection Limits	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
Readout Electronics	±0.3 %	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %	∞
Response Time	±0.8 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.5 %	±0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	±2.6 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient Noise	±3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	±3.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	±0.4 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.2 %	±0.2 %	∞
Probe Positioning	±2.9 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	±1.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>								
Device Positioning	±2.9 %	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	145
Device Holder	±3.6 %	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5
Power Drift	±5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	∞
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty	±4.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.3 %	±2.3 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	±5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	±1.8 %	±1.2 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	±2.5 %	N	1	0.64	0.43	±1.6 %	±1.1 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	±5.0 %	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	±1.7 %	±1.4 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	±2.5 %	N	1	0.6	0.49	±1.5 %	±1.2 %	∞
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±10.9 %	±10.7 %	387
Expanded STD Uncertainty						±21.9 %	±21.4 %	

Table 19.6: Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY5 assessed according to IEEE 1528 [1]. The budget is valid for the frequency range 300 MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst-case analysis. For specific tests and configurations, the uncertainty could be considerable smaller.

## 8. Phantom Description

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

**s p e a g**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779  
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

### Certificate of Conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 C
Series No	TP-1150 and higher
Manufacturer	SPEAG Zeughausstrasse 43 CH-8004 Zurich Switzerland

#### Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series items (called samples) or are tested at each item.

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Dimensions	Compliant with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness of shell	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in flat and specific areas of head section	First article, Samples, TP-1314 ff.
Material thickness at ERP	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	6mm +/- 0.2mm at ERP	First article, All items
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	300 MHz – 6 GHz: Relative permittivity < 5, Loss tangent < 0.05	Material samples
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards if handled and cleaned according to the instructions. Observe technical Note for material compatibility	DEGMBE based simulating liquids	Pre-series, First article, Material samples
Sagging	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards. Sagging of the flat section when filled with tissue simulating liquid.	< 1% typical < 0.8% if filled with 155mm of HSL900 and without DUT below	Prototypes, Sample testing

#### Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE Std 1528-2003
- [3] IEC 62209 Part 1
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01
- (\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of the other documents.

#### Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standards [1] to [4].

Date 07.07.2005

Signature / Stamp

**s p e a g**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland  
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779  
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

## 9. System Validation from Original equipment supplier

**Calibration Laboratory of**  
 Schmid & Partner  
 Engineering AG  
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **SGS (Auden)**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-727\_Apr09**

### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: **D2450V2 - SN: 727**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-05.v7  
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **April 27, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item: **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01025)	Mar-10
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01029)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3025	28-Apr-08 (No. ES3-3025_Apr08)	Apr-09
DAE4	SN: 601	07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09)	Mar-10
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: April 28, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



**Calibration Laboratory of**  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

**Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

**Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

### Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

### Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	38.0 $\pm$ 6 %	1.82 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(21.6 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	----	----

### SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.5 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	54.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters <sup>1</sup>	normalized to 1W	<b>53.3 mW / g <math>\pm</math> 17.0 % (k=2)</b>

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.28 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	25.1 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters <sup>1</sup>	normalized to 1W	<b>24.9 mW / g <math>\pm</math> 16.5 % (k=2)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

**Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	54.4 ± 6 %	1.98 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	----	----

**SAR result with Body TSL**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.2 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	52.8 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters <sup>2</sup>	normalized to 1W	<b>52.8 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)</b>

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.18 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	24.7 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters <sup>2</sup>	normalized to 1W	<b>24.8 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)</b>

<sup>2</sup> Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"



**Appendix****Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$55.1 \Omega + 1.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 26.1 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$49.5 \Omega + 3.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 29.6 dB

**General Antenna Parameters and Design**

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.149 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

**Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 09, 2003

**DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date/Time: 27.04.2009 13:40:04

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN727**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium: HSL U10 BB  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.82$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section  
Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3025; ConvF(4.4, 4.4, 4.4); Calibrated: 28.04.2008
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45


**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 100.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.036 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.3 W/kg


**SAR(1 g) = 13.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.28 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.2 mW/g



0 dB = 17.2mW/g

### Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



**DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date/Time: 22.04.2009 13:12:14

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland


**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:727**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
Medium: MSL U10 BB  
Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.98$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 54.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
Phantom section: Flat Section  
Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)


DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3025; ConvF(4.07, 4.07, 4.07); Calibrated: 28.04.2008
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

**Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
Reference Value = 96.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.031 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.5 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 13.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.18 mW/g**  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.3 mW/g



### Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



**End of 1<sup>st</sup> part of report**