

DFS PORTION of FCC 47 CFR PART 15 SUBPART E DFS PORTION of INDUSTRY CANADA RSS-210 ISSUE 8

CERTIFICATION TEST REPORT

FOR

802.11n 3x3 ACCESS POINT

MODEL NUMBER: BSAP 1930

FCC ID: HDCWLAN193XF1 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

REPORT NUMBER: 14U19448-2, Revision A

ISSUE DATE: June 4, 2015

Prepared for ADTRAN, INC. 901 EXPLORER BLVD HUNTSVILLE, AL 35806 U.S.A.

Prepared for
COMPANY
STREET
CITY
STATE, ZIP, COUNTRY

Prepared by

UL VERIFICATION SERVICES INC. 47173 BENICIA STREET FREMONT, CA 94538, U.S.A.

TEL: (510) 771-1000 FAX: (510) 661-0888



Revision History

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Revised By
	05/20/15	Initial Issue	C. Cheung
A	06/04/15	Revised customers address	G. Rincand

DATE: June 4, 2015

IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	ATTES	STATION OF TEST RESULTS	4
2.	TEST	METHODOLOGY	5
3.	FACIL	ITIES AND ACCREDITATION	5
4.	CALIB	RATION AND UNCERTAINTY	5
	4.1. M	EASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION	5
	4.2. S	AMPLE CALCULATION	5
		EASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
5.	IN-SEI	RVICE TYPE 5 DETECTION BW EDGE DEVIATION	6
6.	DYNA	MIC FREQUENCY SELECTION	7
	6.1. O	VERVIEW	7
	6.1.1.	LIMITS	
	6.1.2.	TEST AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	
	6.1.3. 6.1.4.	SETUP OF EUT DESCRIPTION OF EUT	14
		ESULTS FOR 20 MHz BANDWIDTH	
	<i>6.2.</i>	TEST CHANNEL	
	6.2.2.	RADAR WAVEFORMS AND TRAFFIC	16
	6.2.3.	CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME	25
	6.2.4.	OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS	30
	6.2.5.	MOVE AND CLOSING TIME	
	6.2.6.	DETECTION BANDWIDTH	
	6.2.7.	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	37
	6.3. R	ESULTS FOR 40 MHz BANDWIDTH	46
	6.3.1.	TEST CHANNEL	
	6.3.2.	RADAR WAVEFORMS AND TRAFFIC	
	6.3.3.	CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME	
	6.3.1.	OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS	60
	6.3.2.	MOVE AND CLOSING TIME	
	6.3.3.	NON-OCCUPANCY PERIOD	
	6.3.4.	DETECTION BANDWIDTH	
	6.3.5.	IN-SERVICE MONITORING	68
7	CETIII	DUOTOS	77

1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

COMPANY NAME: ADTRAN, INC.

> 901 EXPLORER BLVD HUNTSVILLE, AL 35806

U.S.A.

EUT DESCRIPTION: 802.11n 3x3 ACCESS POINT

MODEL: **BSAP 1930**

SERIAL NUMBER: 19301413050318

DATE TESTED: APRIL 15 to 16 and MAY 11 to 13, 2015

APPLICABLE STANDARDS

STANDARD TEST RESULTS

DFS Portion of CFR 47 Part 15 Subpart E **Pass INDUSTRY CANADA RSS-GEN Issue 8** Pass

UL Verification Services Inc. tested the above equipment in accordance with the requirements set forth in the above standards. All indications of Pass/Fail in this report are opinions expressed by UL Verification Services Inc. based on interpretations and/or observations of test results. Measurement Uncertainties were not taken into account and are published for informational purposes only. The test results show that the equipment tested is capable of demonstrating compliance with the requirements as documented in this report.

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by UL Verification Services Inc. and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by UL Verification Services Inc. will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, any agency of the Federal Government, or any agency of any government.

Approved & Released For

UL Verification Services Inc. By:

Tested By:

CONAN CHEUNG PROJECT LEAD

UL Verification Services Inc.

DOUG ANDERSON EMC ENGINEER

UL Verification Services Inc.

Douglas Conclusion

2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with the DFS portion of FCC CFR 47 Part 2, FCC CFR 47 Part 15, FCC 06-96, FCC KDB 789033, KDB 905462 D02 and D03, ANSI C63.10-2013, RSS-GEN Issue 8.

3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 47173 Benicia Street, Fremont, California, USA.

UL Verification Services, Inc. is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at http://ts.nist.gov/standards/scopes/2000650.htm.

4. CALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY

4.1. MEASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION

The measuring equipment utilized to perform the tests documented in this report has been calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, and is traceable to recognized national standards.

4.2. SAMPLE CALCULATION

Where relevant, the following sample calculation is provided:

Field Strength (dBuV/m) = Measured Voltage (dBuV) + Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB) – Preamp Gain (dB) 36.5 dBuV + 18.7 dB/m + 0.6 dB - 26.9 dB = 28.9 dBuV/m

4.3. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Where relevant, the following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the apparatus:

PARAMETER	UNCERTAINTY
Conducted Disturbance, 0.15 to 30 MHz	± 3.52 dB
Radiated Disturbance, 30 to 1000 MHz	± 4.94 dB
Radiated Disturbance, 1 to 6 GHz	± 3.86 dB
Radiated Disturbance, 6 to 18 GHz	± 4.23 dB
Radiated Disturbance, 18 to 26 GHz	± 5.30 dB
Radiated Disturbance, 26 to 40 GHz	± 5.23 dB

Uncertainty figures are valid to a confidence level of 95%.

5. IN-SERVICE TYPE 5 DETECTION BW EDGE DEVIATION

The Type 5 detection bandwidth edges selection are chosen at + 5 MHz & - 5 MHz in reference to the center frequencies of the test channel.

6. DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION

6.1. OVERVIEW

6.1.1. LIMITS

INDUSTRY CANADA

IC RSS-210 is closely harmonized with FCC Part 15 DFS rules. The deviations are as follows:

RSS-210 Issue 8 A9.3

Note: For the band 5600–5650 MHz, no operation is permitted.

Until further notice, devices subject to this annex shall not be capable of transmitting in the band 5600–5650 MHz. This restriction is for the protection of Environment Canada weather radars operating in this band.

FCC

§15.407 (h), FCC KDB 905462 D02 "COMPLIANCE MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES FOR UNLICENSED-NATIONAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE DEVICES OPERATING IN THE 5250-5350 MHz AND 5470-5725 MHz BANDS INCORPORATING DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION" and KDB 905462 D03 "U-NII CLIENT DEVICES WITHOUT RADAR DETECTION CAPABILITY".

REPORT NO: 15U19448-2A DATE: June 4, 2015 FCC ID: HDCWLAN193XF1 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

Table 1: Applicability of DFS requirements prior to use of a channel

Requirement	Operational Mode			
	Master	Client (without radar detection)	Client (with radar detection)	
Non-Occupancy Period	Yes	Not required	Yes	
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required	Yes	
Channel Availability Check Time	Yes	Not required	Not required	
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Yes	Not required	Yes	

Table 2: Applicability of DFS requirements during normal operation

rable 2. Applicability of Br & requirem	cinto daring in	ormai operation				
Requirement	Operationa	Operational Mode				
	Master	Master Client				
		(without DFS)	(with DFS)			
DFS Detection Threshold	Yes	Not required	Yes			
Channel Closing Transmission Time	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Channel Move Time	Yes	Yes	Yes			
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Yes	Not required	Yes			

Additional requirements for devices with multiple bandwidth modes	Master Device or Client with Radar DFS	Client (without DFS)
U-NII Detection Bandwidth and Statistical Performance Check	All BW modes must be tested	Not required
Channel Move Time and Channel Closing Transmission Time	Test using widest BW mode available	Test using the widest BW mode available for the link
All other tests	Any single BW mode	Not required

Note: Frequencies selected for statistical performance check (Section 7.8.4) should include several frequencies within the radar detection bandwidth and frequencies near the edge of the radar detection bandwidth. For 802.11 devices it is suggested to select frequencies in all 20 MHz channel blocks and a null frequency between the bonded 20 MHz channel blocks.

REPORT NO: 15U19448-2A DATE: June 4, 2015 FCC ID: HDCWLAN193XF1 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

Table 3: Interference Threshold values, Master or Client incorporating In-Service Monitoring

Maximum Transmit Power	Value
	(see notes)
E.I.R.P. ≥ 200 mill watt	-64 dBm
E.I.R.P. < 200 mill watt and	-62 dBm
power spectral density < 10 dBm/MHz	
E.I.R.P. < 200 mill watt that do not meet power spectral	-64 dBm
density requirement	

Note 1: This is the level at the input of the receiver assuming a 0 dBi receive antenna

Note 2: Throughout these test procedures an additional 1 dB has been added to the amplitude of the test transmission waveforms to account for variations in measurement equipment. This will ensure that the test signal is at or above the detection threshold level to trigger a DFS response.

Note 3: E.I.R.P. is based on the highest antenna gain. For MIMO devices refer to KDB publication 662911 D01.

Table 4: DFS Response requirement values

Parameter	Value
Non-occupancy period	30 minutes
Channel Availability Check Time	60 seconds
Channel Move Time	10 seconds (See Note 1)
Channel Closing Transmission Time	200 milliseconds + approx. 60 milliseconds over remaining 10 second period. (See Notes 1 and 2)
U-NII Detection Bandwidth	Minimum 100% of the U-NII 99% transmission power bandwidth. (See Note 3)

Note 1: Channel Move Time and the Channel Closing Transmission Time should be performed with Radar Type 0. The measurement timing begins at the end of the Radar Type 0 burst.

Note 2: The *Channel Closing Transmission Time* is comprised of 200 milliseconds starting at the beginning of the *Channel Move Time* plus any additional intermittent control signals required to facilitate a *Channel* move (an aggregate of 60 milliseconds) during the remainder of the 10 second period. The aggregate duration of control signals will not count quiet periods in between transmissions.

Note 3: During the *U-NII Detection Bandwidth* detection test, radar type 0 should be used. For each frequency step the minimum percentage of detection is 90 percent. Measurements are performed with no data traffic.

REPORT NO: 15U19448-2A DATE: June 4, 2015 FCC ID: HDCWLAN193XF1 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

Table 5 - Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms

Radar	Pulse	PRI	Pulses	Minimum	Minimum
Type	Width	(usec)	1 01303	Percentage	Trials
Type		(usec)		of Successful	IIIais
	(usec)			Detection	
	4	1400	40		Caa Nata
0	1	1428	18	See Note 1	See Note
					1
1	1	Test A: 15 unique		60%	30
		PRI values randomly			
		selected from the list	Roundup:		
		of 23 PRI values in	{(1/360) x (19 x 10 ⁶ PRI _{usec})}		
		table 5a			
		Test B: 15 unique			
		PRI values randomly			
		selected within the			
		range of 518-3066			
		usec. With a			
		minimum increment			
		of 1 usec, excluding			
		PRI values selected			
		in Test A			
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	60%	30
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	60%	30
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	60%	30
		Aggregate (Radar T	ypes 1-4)	80%	120
Mata 4	Cla aut D		lal la a consal familia a Data atta a Da	ما ۱۰ ما	1

Note 1: Short Pulse Radar Type 0 should be used for the *Detection Bandwidth* test, *Channel Move Time*, and *Channel Closing Time* tests.

Table 6 - Long Pulse Radar Test Signal


	Radar	Pulse	Chirp	PRI	Pulses	Number	Minimum	Minimum
	Waveform	Width	Width	(µsec)	per	of	Percentage	Trials
	Type	(µsec)	(MHz)		Burst	Bursts	of Successful	
							Detection	
Γ	5	50-100	5-20	1000-	1-3	8-20	80%	30
				2000				

Table 7 - Frequency Hopping Radar Test Signal

ration respectively respecting rational restrictions.							
Radar	Pulse	PRI	Pulses	Hopping	Hopping	Minimum	Minimum
Waveform	Width	(µsec)	per	Rate	Sequence	Percentage of	Trials
Type	(µsec)	,,	Hop	(kHz)	Length	Successful	
	,				(msec)	Detection	
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	70%	30

6.1.2. TEST AND MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

RADIATED METHOD SYSTEM BLOCK DIAGRAM



SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The short pulse and long pulse signal generating system utilizes the NTIA software. The Vector Signal Generator has been validated by the NTIA. The hopping signal generating system utilizes the CCS simulated hopping method and system, which has been validated by the DoD, FCC and NTIA. The software selects waveform parameters from within the bounds of the signal type on a random basis using uniform distribution.

The short pulse types 1, 2, 3 and 4, and the long pulse type 5 parameters are randomized at run-time.

The hopping type 6 pulse parameters are fixed while the hopping sequence is based on the August 2005 NTIA Hopping Frequency List. The initial starting point randomized at run-time and each subsequent starting point is incremented by 475. Each frequency in the 100-length segment is compared to the boundaries of the EUT Detection Bandwidth and the software creates a hopping burst pattern in accordance with Section 7.4.1.3 Method #2 Simulated Frequency Hopping Radar Waveform Generating Subsystem of KDB 905462 D02. The frequency of the signal generator is incremented in 1 MHz steps from F_L to F_H for each successive trial. This incremental sequence is repeated as required to generate a minimum of 30 total trials and to maintain a uniform frequency distribution over the entire Detection Bandwidth.

The signal monitoring equipment consists of a spectrum analyzer. The aggregate ON time is calculated by multiplying the number of bins above a threshold during a particular observation period by the dwell time per bin, with the analyzer set to peak detection and max hold.

SYSTEM CALIBRATION

A 50-ohm load is connected in place of the spectrum analyzer, and the spectrum analyzer is connected to a horn antenna via a coaxial cable, with the reference level offset set to (horn antenna gain – coaxial cable loss). The signal generator is set to CW mode. The amplitude of the signal generator is adjusted to yield a level of –64 dBm as measured on the spectrum analyzer.

Without changing any of the instrument settings, the spectrum analyzer is reconnected to the Common port of the Spectrum Analyzer Combiner/Divider. The Reference Level Offset of the spectrum analyzer is adjusted so that the displayed amplitude of the signal is –64 dBm.

The spectrum analyzer displays the level of the signal generator as received at the antenna ports of the Master Device. The interference detection threshold may be varied from the calibrated value of –64 dBm and the spectrum analyzer will still indicate the level as received by the Master Device.

DATE: June 4, 2015

IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

ADJUSTMENT OF DISPLAYED TRAFFIC LEVEL

A link is established between the Master and Slave and the distance between the units is adjusted as needed to provide a suitable received level at the Master and Slave devices. The video test file is streamed to generate WLAN traffic. The monitoring antenna is adjusted so that the WLAN traffic level, as displayed on the spectrum analyzer, is at lower amplitude than the radar detection threshold.


TEST AND MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT

The following test and measurement equipment was utilized for the DFS tests documented in this report:

TEST EQUIPMENT LIST							
Description Manufacturer Model Asset Number Cal Due							
Spectrum Analyzer, 26.5 GHz	Agilent / HP	E4440A	C01178	09/05/15			
Vector Signal Generator, 20GHz	Agilent / HP	E8267C	C01066	09/03/15			
Arbitrary Waveform Generator	Agilent / HP	33220A	C01146	04/03/15			

6.1.3. SETUP OF EUT

RADIATED METHOD EUT TEST SETUP



SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The following support equipment was utilized for the DFS tests documented in this report:

PERIPHERAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT LIST					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	FCC ID	
P.O.E. Injector (EUT)	Phihong	POE36U-1AT-R	P21301089D1	DoC	
Notebook PC (Slave Device)	HP	Elitebook 8460p	CNU2359KXV	PD962205ANHU	
AC Adapter (Slave PC)	Chicony Power Technology	PPP12H-S	F12941226036826	DoC	
Notebook PC (Console/Server)	Dell	P05G	J9VBWM1	DoC	
AC Adapter (Console PC)	HP	PA-1900-18H2	597950ALLUDC4B	DoC	
Controller	Adtran	Bluesocket	A91000000000005	DoC	
AC Adapter (Controller)	Wearnes Global Co. Ltd.	WDS060122	1408Y0008732	DoC	
Gigabit Switch	Netgear	GS105	GS12154DB047636	DoC	
AC Adapter (Switch)	Netgear	DSA-0131F-12	No Serial Number	DoC	

6.1.4. DESCRIPTION OF EUT

For FCC the EUT operates over the 5250-5350 MHz and 5470-5725 MHz ranges.

For IC the EUT operates over the 5250-5350 MHz and 5470-5725 MHz ranges, excluding the 5600-5650 MHz range.

The EUT is a Master Device.

The highest power level within these bands is 22 dBm EIRP in the 5250-5350 MHz band and 30 dBm EIRP in the 5470-5725 MHz band.

The only antenna assembly utilized with the EUT has a gain of 5.0 dBi.

Three identical antennas are utilized to meet the diversity and MIMO operational requirements.

The rated output power of the Master unit is > 23dBm (EIRP). Therefore the required interference threshold level is -64 dBm. After correction for procedural adjustments, the required radiated threshold at the antenna port is -64 + 1 = -63 dBm.

The calibrated radiated DFS Detection Threshold level is set to –64 dBm. The tested level is lower than the required level hence it provides a margin to the limit.

The EUT uses three transmitter/receiver chains, each connected to an antenna to perform radiated tests.

The Slave device associated with the EUT during these tests does not have radar detection capability.

WLAN traffic that meets or exceeds the minimum required loading was generated by transferring a data stream from the controller/server PC to the EUT using iPerf version 2.0.5 software package.

TPC is required since the maximum EIRP is greater than 500 mW (27 dBm).

The EUT utilizes the 802.11a/n architecture. Two nominal channel bandwidths are implemented: 20 MHz and 40 MHz.

The software installed in the access point is revision 7.0.

UNIFORM CHANNEL SPREADING

This function is not required per KDB 905462.


6.2. RESULTS FOR 20 MHz BANDWIDTH


6.2.1. TEST CHANNEL


All tests were performed at a channel center frequency of 5500 MHz.


6.2.2. RADAR WAVEFORMS AND TRAFFIC


RADAR WAVEFORMS



















TRAFFIC



CHANNEL LOADING



The level of traffic loading on the channel by the EUT is 17.72%

6.2.3. CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME

PROCEDURE TO DETERMINE INITIAL POWER-UP CYCLE TIME

A link was established on channel then the EUT was rebooted. The time from the cessation of traffic to the re-initialization of traffic was measured as the time required for the EUT to complete the total power-up cycle. The time to complete the initial power-up period is 60 seconds less than this total power-up time.

DATE: June 4, 2015 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

PROCEDURE FOR TIMING OF RADAR BURST

With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 0 to 6 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

The Non-Occupancy list was cleared. With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 54 to 60 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

No Radar Triggered

Timing of	Timing of	Total Power-up	Initial Power-up
Reboot	Start of Traffic	Cycle Time	Cycle Time
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
30.15	157.7	127.6	67.6

Radar Near Beginning of CAC

Timing of	Timing of	Radar Relative	Radar Relative
Reboot	Radar Burst	to Reboot	to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
30.23	98.7	68.5	0.9


Radar Near End of CAC

Timing of Reboot	Timing of Radar Burst	Radar Relative to Reboot	Radar Relative to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
30.00	155.9	125.9	58.4

QUALITATIVE RESULTS


Timing of Radar Burst	Display on Control Computer	Spectrum Analyzer Display
No Radar Triggered	EUT marks Channel as active	Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC
Within 0 to 6 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel
Within 54 to 60 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel

TIMING WITHOUT RADAR DURING CAC




Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC.

TIMING WITH RADAR NEAR BEGINNING OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

TIMING WITH RADAR NEAR END OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

6.2.4. OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS

RESULTS

The channel spacing is not less than the channel bandwidth therefore the EUT does not have an overlapping channel plan.

6.2.5. MOVE AND CLOSING TIME

REPORTING NOTES

The reference marker is set at the end of last radar pulse.

The delta marker is set at the end of the last WLAN transmission following the radar pulse. This delta is the channel move time.

The aggregate channel closing transmission time is calculated as follows:

Aggregate Transmission Time = (Number of analyzer bins showing transmission) * (dwell time per bin)


The observation period over which the aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker + 200 msec) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).

RESULTS


Channel Move Time	Limit
(sec)	(sec)
0.914	10

Aggregate Channel Closing Transmission Time	Limit
(msec)	(msec)
8.0	60

MOVE TIME




CHANNEL CLOSING TIME




AGGREGATE CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME

Only intermittent transmissions are observed during the aggregate monitoring period.




LONG PULSE CHANNEL MOVE TIME

The traffic ceases prior to 10 seconds after the end of the radar waveform.



6.2.6. DETECTION BANDWIDTH

REFERENCE PLOT OF 99% POWER BANDWIDTH



RESULTS

FL	FH	Detection	99% Power	Ratio of	Minimum
		Bandwidth	Bandwidth	Detection BW to	Limit
				99% Power BW	
(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(%)	(%)
5491	5509	18	17.554	102.5	100

DETECTION BANDWIDTH PROBABILITY

DETECTION BANDWIDTH PROBABILITY RESULTS Detection Bandwidth Test Results FCC Type 0 Waveform: 1 us Pulse Width, 1428 us PRI, 18 Pulses per Burst Number of Trials Number Detected Frequency Detection Mark (MHz) (%) FL

FH

6.2.7. IN-SERVICE MONITORING

RESULTS

FCC Radar Test Summary				
Signal Type	Number of Trials	Detection	Limit	Pass/Fail
		(%)	(%)	
FCC Short Pulse Type 1	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 2	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 3	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 4	30	96.67	60	Pass
Aggregate		99.17	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5	30	93.33	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5 (5 MHz Below Center Frequency)	30	100.00	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5 (5 MHz Above Center Frequency)	30	100.00	80	Pass
FCC Hopping Type 6	38	100.00	70	Pass

TYPE 1 DETECTION PROBABILITY

1 us Pulse W				
Waveform	PRI	Pulses Per Burst	Test	Successful Detection
	(us)		(A/B)	(Yes/No)
1001	3066	18	Α	Yes
1002	678	78	Α	Yes
1003	538	99	Α	Yes
1004	698	76	Α	Yes
1005	798	67	Α	Yes
1006	598	89	Α	Yes
1007	578	92	Α	Yes
1008	858	62	Α	Yes
1009	618	86	Α	Yes
1010	558	95	Α	Yes
1011	758	70	Α	Yes
1012	518	102	Α	Yes
1013	778	68	Α	Yes
1014	718	74	Α	Yes
1015	878	61	Α	Yes
1016	898	59	В	Yes
1017	1224	44	В	Yes
1018	2886	19	В	Yes
1019	2802	19	В	Yes
1020	652	81	В	Yes
1021	2206	24	В	Yes
1022	1712	31	В	Yes
1023	1205	44	В	Yes
1024	1210	44	В	Yes
1025	1658	32	В	Yes
1026	784	68	В	Yes
1027	1407	38	В	Yes
1028	2642	20	В	Yes
1029	969	55	В	Yes

TYPE 2 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Waveform	Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
2001	1.6	211.00	27	Yes
2002	4.7	154.00	26	Yes
2003	1	163.00	27	Yes
2004	4	225.00	28	Yes
2005	2.3	155.00	28	Yes
2006	3	193.00	25	Yes
2007	3.5	222.00	25	Yes
2008	2.9	160.00	29	Yes
2009	4.7	230.00	29	Yes
2010	2.5	207.00	29	Yes
2011	1.7	227.00	29	Yes
2012	2.3	218.00	24	Yes
2013	1.9	200.00	27	Yes
2014	1.3	196.00	23	Yes
2015	4.9	151.00	26	Yes
2016	2	205.00	27	Yes
2017	3.7	176.00	29	Yes
2018	2.8	184.00	28	Yes
2019	2	155.00	29	Yes
2020	1.6	156.00	27	Yes
2021	2	214.00	28	Yes
2022	2.8	228.00	27	Yes
2023	1.4	164.00	29	Yes
2024	3.8	157.00	25	Yes
2025	5	222.00	27	Yes
2026	3.3	164.00	26	Yes
2027	4.4	201.00	27	Yes
2028	2.5	151.00	28	Yes
2029	4.2	186.00	23	Yes
2030	1.7	230.00	23	Yes

TYPE 3 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Waveform	or FCC Short Pu Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
3001	7.3	369.00	16	Yes
3002	7.1	444.00	16	Yes
3003	5.5	329.00	18	Yes
3004	7.1	259.00	16	Yes
3005	9.5	272.00	17	Yes
3006	9.4	495.00	17	Yes
3007	7.5	317.00	18	Yes
3008	9.8	439.00	16	Yes
3009	9.5	350.00	16	Yes
3010	5.9	491.00	16	Yes
3011	6.4	406.00	17	Yes
3012	9.1	283.00	18	Yes
3013	6.8	314.00	17	Yes
3014	6.8	377.00	18	Yes
3015	9.8	457.00	16	Yes
3016	9.1	484.00	18	Yes
3017	9.1	461.00	16	Yes
3018	10	265.00	16	Yes
3019	7.2	268.00	16	Yes
3020	8.2	305.00	16	Yes
3021	6.1	472.00	18	Yes
3022	6.5	500.00	18	Yes
3023	5	448.00	18	Yes
3024	8.3	334.00	18	Yes
3025	7.4	457.00	18	Yes
3026	9.9	488.00	18	Yes
3027	6.8	453.00	18	Yes
3028	9.1	333.00	18	Yes
3029	7.9	399	18	Yes
3030	6.6	303	16	Yes

DATE: June 4, 2015

IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

TYPE 4 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Waveform	Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
4001	10.3	460.00	14	Yes
4002	15.3	403.00	14	Yes
4003	17.1	286.00	16	Yes
4004	10.6	374.00	12	Yes
4005	14.6	408.00	15	Yes
4006	11.8	340.00	13	Yes
4007	14.8	470.00	13	Yes
4008	13.5	454.00	14	Yes
4009	10.2	440.00	16	Yes
4010	12.4	327.00	15	Yes
4011	19.1	258.00	14	Yes
4012	13.8	347.00	14	Yes
4013	17.7	319.00	15	Yes
4014	15.6	472.00	15	Yes
4015	15.7	419.00	12	Yes
4016	11.3	431.00	12	Yes
4017	16.3	480.00	14	Yes
4018	19.6	422.00	12	Yes
4019	12.7	330.00	13	Yes
4020	13.2	446.00	14	Yes
4021	12.3	276.00	15	Yes
4022	15.6	467.00	15	Yes
4023	18.9	495.00	13	Yes
4024	19.3	407.00	15	No
4025	10.8	341.00	14	Yes
4026	10.6	251.00	13	Yes
4027	10.4	463.00	12	Yes
4028	13.4	402.00	12	Yes
4029	16.3	376.00	12 14	Yes

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Trial	Successful Detection
	(Yes/No)
1	Yes
2	Yes
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes
8	Yes
9	Yes
10	Yes
11	No
12	Yes
13	Yes
14	Yes
15	Yes
16	Yes
17	Yes
18	Yes
19	No
20	Yes
21	Yes
22	Yes
23	Yes
24	Yes
25	Yes
26	Yes
27	Yes
28	Yes
29	Yes
30	Yes

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT 5 MHz BELOW THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Data Sheet for FCC Long Pulse Radar Type 5			
Trial	Successful Detection (Yes/No)		
1	Yes		
2	Yes		
3	Yes		
4	Yes		
5	Yes		
6	Yes		
7	Yes		
8	Yes		
9	Yes		
10	Yes		
11	Yes		
12	Yes		
13	Yes		
14	Yes		
15	Yes		
16	Yes		
17	Yes		
18	Yes		
19	Yes		
20	Yes		
21	Yes		
22	Yes		
23	Yes		
24	Yes		
25	Yes		
26	Yes		
27	Yes		
28	Yes		
29	Yes		
30	Yes		

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT 5 MHz ABOVE THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Data Sheet for FCC Long Pulse Radar Type 5				
Trial	Successful Detection (Yes/No)			
1	Yes			
2	Yes			
3	Yes			
4	Yes			
5	Yes			
6	Yes			
7	Yes			
8	Yes			
9	Yes			
10	Yes			
11	Yes			
12	Yes			
13	Yes			
14	Yes			
15	Yes			
16	Yes			
17	Yes			
18	Yes			
19	Yes			
20	Yes			
21	Yes			
22	Yes			
23	Yes			
24	Yes			
25	Yes			
26	Yes			
27	Yes			
28	Yes			
29	Yes			
30	Yes			

TYPE 6 DETECTION PROBABILITY

1 us Pulse Width, 333 us PRI, 9 Pulses per Burst, 1 Burst per Hop NTIA August 2005 Hopping Sequence						
Trial	Starting Index Within Sequence	Signal Generator Frequency (MHz)	Hops within Detection BW	Successful Detection (Yes/No)		
1	76	5491	3	Yes		
2	551	5492	6	Yes		
3	1026	5493	4	Yes		
4	1501	5494	3	Yes		
5	1976	5495	4	Yes		
6	2451	5496	8	Yes		
7	2926	5497	6	Yes		
8	3401	5498	1	Yes		
9	3876	5499	4	Yes		
10	4351	5500	4	Yes		
11	4826	5501	6	Yes		
12	5301	5502	6	Yes		
13	5776	5503	3	Yes		
14	6251	5504	7	Yes		
15	6726	5505	3	Yes		
16	7201	5506	4	Yes		
17	7676	5507	4	Yes		
18	8151	5508	3	Yes		
19	8626	5509	4	Yes		
20	9101	5491	5	Yes		
21	9576	5492	3	Yes		
22	10051	5493	5	Yes		
23	10526	5494	1	Yes		
24	11001	5495	4	Yes		
25	11476	5496	5	Yes		
26	11951	5497	10	Yes		
27	12426	5498	3	Yes		
28	12901	5499	6	Yes		
29	13376	5500	7	Yes		
30	13851	5501	2	Yes		
31	14326	5502	4	Yes		
32	14801	5503	2	Yes		
33	15276	5504	4	Yes		
34	15751	5505	3	Yes		
35	16226	5506	2	Yes		
36	16701	5507	5	Yes		
37	17176	5508	3	Yes		
38	17651	5509	5	Yes		

DATE: June 4, 2015


6.3. RESULTS FOR 40 MHz BANDWIDTH


6.3.1. TEST CHANNEL


All tests were performed at a channel center frequency of 5510 MHz.


6.3.2. RADAR WAVEFORMS AND TRAFFIC


RADAR WAVEFORMS



















TRAFFIC



CHANNEL LOADING



The level of traffic loading on the channel by the EUT is 18.12%

REPORT NO: 15U19448-2A FCC ID: HDCWLAN193XF1

6.3.3. CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME

PROCEDURE TO DETERMINE INITIAL POWER-UP CYCLE TIME

A link was established on channel then the EUT was rebooted. The time from the cessation of traffic to the re-initialization of traffic was measured as the time required for the EUT to complete the total power-up cycle. The time to complete the initial power-up period is 60 seconds less than this total power-up time.

DATE: June 4, 2015 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

PROCEDURE FOR TIMING OF RADAR BURST

With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 0 to 6 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

The Non-Occupancy list was cleared. With a link established on channel, the EUT was rebooted. A radar signal was triggered within 54 to 60 seconds after the initial power-up period, and transmissions on the channel were monitored on the spectrum analyzer.

QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

No Radar Triggered

Timing of	Timing of	Total Power-up	Initial Power-up
Reboot	Start of Traffic	Cycle Time	Cycle Time
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
30.15	157.2	127.1	67.1

Radar Near Beginning of CAC

Timing of	Timing of	Radar Relative	Radar Relative
Reboot	Radar Burst	to Reboot	to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
30.225	98.3	68.1	1.0


Radar Near End of CAC

Timing of Reboot	Timing of Radar Burst	Radar Relative to Reboot	Radar Relative to Start of CAC
(sec)	(sec)	(sec)	(sec)
29.93	156.1	126.2	59.1

QUALITATIVE RESULTS


Timing of Radar Burst	Display on Control Computer	Spectrum Analyzer Display
No Radar Triggered	EUT marks Channel as active	Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC
Within 0 to 6 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel
Within 54 to 60 second window	EUT indicates radar detected	No transmissions on channel

TIMING WITHOUT RADAR DURING CAC




Transmissions begin on channel after completion of the initial power-up cycle and the CAC.

TIMING WITH RADAR NEAR BEGINNING OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

TIMING WITH RADAR NEAR END OF CAC



No EUT transmissions were observed after the radar signal.

6.3.1. OVERLAPPING CHANNEL TESTS

RESULTS

The channel spacing is not less than the channel bandwidth therefore the EUT does not have an overlapping channel plan.

6.3.2. MOVE AND CLOSING TIME

REPORTING NOTES

The reference marker is set at the end of last radar pulse.

The delta marker is set at the end of the last WLAN transmission following the radar pulse. This delta is the channel move time.

The aggregate channel closing transmission time is calculated as follows:

Aggregate Transmission Time = (Number of analyzer bins showing transmission) * (dwell time per bin)

The observation period over which the aggregate time is calculated begins at (Reference Marker + 200 msec) and ends no earlier than (Reference Marker + 10 sec).


RESULTS

Channel Move Time	Limit
(sec)	(sec)
0.892	10

Aggregate Channel Closing Transmission Time	Limit
(msec)	(msec)
8.0	60

DATE: June 4, 2015 IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

MOVE TIME




CHANNEL CLOSING TIME




AGGREGATE CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME

Only intermittent transmissions are observed during the aggregate monitoring period.



LONG PULSE CHANNEL MOVE TIME


The traffic ceases prior to 10 seconds after the end of the radar waveform.



6.3.3. NON-OCCUPANCY PERIOD

RESULTS


No EUT transmissions were observed on the test channel during the 30-minute observation time.



DATE: June 4, 2015

6.3.4. DETECTION BANDWIDTH

REFERENCE PLOT OF 99% POWER BANDWIDTH



RESULTS

FL	FH	Detection	99% Power	Ratio of	Minimum
		Bandwidth	Bandwidth	Detection BW to	Limit
				99% Power BW	
(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(%)	(%)
5492	5528	36	35.525	101.3	100

DETECTION BANDWIDTH PROBABILITY

	width Test Results			
FCC Type 0 Wa	veform: 1 us Pulse V	Vidth, 1428 us PRI, 1	8 Pulses per l	Burst
Frequency	Number of Trials	Number Detected	Detection	Mark
(MHz)			(%)	
5492	10	10	100	FL
5493	10	10	100	
5494	10	10	100	
5495	10	10	100	
5500	10	10	100	
5505	10	10	100	
5510	10	10	100	
5515	10	10	100	
5520	10	10	100	
5525	10	10	100	
5526	10	10	100	
5527	10	10	100	
5528	10	10	100	FH

6.3.5. IN-SERVICE MONITORING

RESULTS

Signal Type	Number of Trials	Detection	Limit	Pass/Fail
		(%)	(%)	
FCC Short Pulse Type 1	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 2	30	96.67	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 3	30	100.00	60	Pass
FCC Short Pulse Type 4	30	100.00	60	Pass
Aggregate		99.17	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5	30	100.00	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5 (5 MHz Below Center Frequency)	30	100.00	80	Pass
FCC Long Pulse Type 5 (5 MHz Above Center Frequency)	30	96.67	80	Pass
FCC Hopping Type 6	34	100.00	70	Pass

DATE: June 4, 2015

IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

TYPE 1 DETECTION PROBABILITY

	Short Pulse Radar Type 1 428 us PRI, 18 Pulses per Burst
Trial	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
1	Yes
2	Yes
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes
8	Yes
9	Yes
10	Yes
11	Yes
12	Yes
13	Yes
14	Yes
15	Yes
16	Yes
17	Yes
18	Yes
19	Yes
20	Yes
21	Yes
22	Yes
23	Yes
24	Yes
25	Yes
26	Yes
27	Yes
28	Yes
29	Yes
30	Yes

TYPE 2 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Waveform	or FCC Short Pu Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
2001	1.6	211.00	27	Yes
2002	4.7	154.00	26	Yes
2003	1	163.00	27	Yes
2004	4	225.00	28	Yes
2005	2.3	155.00	28	Yes
2006	3	193.00	25	Yes
2007	3.5	222.00	25	Yes
2008	2.9	160.00	29	Yes
2009	4.7	230.00	29	Yes
2010	2.5	207.00	29	Yes
2011	1.7	227.00	29	Yes
2012	2.3	218.00	24	Yes
2013	1.9	200.00	27	Yes
2014	1.3	196.00	23	Yes
2015	4.9	151.00	26	Yes
2016	2	205.00	27	Yes
2017	3.7	176.00	29	Yes
2018	2.8	184.00	28	Yes
2019	2	155.00	29	Yes
2020	1.6	156.00	27	Yes
2021	2	214.00	28	Yes
2022	2.8	228.00	27	No
2023	1.4	164.00	29	Yes
2024	3.8	157.00	25	Yes
2025	5	222.00	27	Yes
2026	3.3	164.00	26	Yes
2027	4.4	201.00	27	Yes
2028	2.5	151.00	28	Yes
2029	4.2	186.00	23	Yes
2030	1.7	230.00	23	Yes

TYPE 3 DETECTION PROBABILITY

Wa∨eform	Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
3001	7.3	369.00	16	Yes
3002	7.1	444.00	16	Yes
3003	5.5	329.00	18	Yes
3004	7.1	259.00	16	Yes
3005	9.5	272.00	17	Yes
3006	9.4	495.00	17	Yes
3007	7.5	317.00	18	Yes
3008	9.8	439.00	16	Yes
3009	9.5	350.00	16	Yes
3010	5.9	491.00	16	Yes
3011	6.4	406.00	17	Yes
3012	9.1	283.00	18	Yes
3013	6.8	314.00	17	Yes
3014	6.8	377.00	18	Yes
3015	9.8	457.00	16	Yes
3016	9.1	484.00	18	Yes
3017	9.1	461.00	16	Yes
3018	10	265.00	16	Yes
3019	7.2	268.00	16	Yes
3020	8.2	305.00	16	Yes
3021	6.1	472.00	18	Yes
3022	6.5	500.00	18	Yes
3023	5	448.00	18	Yes
3024	8.3	334.00	18	Yes
3025	7.4	457.00	18	Yes
3026	9.9	488.00	18	Yes
3027	6.8	453.00	18	Yes
3028	9.1	333.00	18	Yes
3029	7.9	399	18	Yes

Waveform	Pulse Width (us)	PRI (us)	Pulses Per Burst	Successful Detection (Yes/No)
4001	10.3	460.00	14	Yes
4002	15.3	403.00	14	Yes
4003	17.1	286.00	16	Yes
4004	10.6	374.00	12	Yes
4005	14.6	408.00	15	Yes
4006	11.8	340.00	13	Yes
4007	14.8	470.00	13	Yes
4008	13.5	454.00	14	Yes
4009	10.2	440.00	16	Yes
4010	12.4	327.00	15	Yes
4011	19.1	258.00	14	Yes
4012	13.8	347.00	14	Yes
4013	17.7	319.00	15	Yes
4014	15.6	472.00	15	Yes
4015	15.7	419.00	12	Yes
4016	11.3	431.00	12	Yes
4017	16.3	480.00	14	Yes
4018	19.6	422.00	12	Yes
4019	12.7	330.00	13	Yes
4020	13.2	446.00	14	Yes
4021	12.3	276.00	15	Yes
4022	15.6	467.00	15	Yes
4023	18.9	495.00	13	Yes
4024	19.3	407.00	15	Yes
4025	10.8	341.00	14	Yes
4026	10.6	251.00	13	Yes
4027	10.4	463.00	12	Yes
4028	13.4	402.00	12	Yes
4029	16.3	376.00	12	Yes
4030	16.6	384.00	14	Yes

DATE: June 4, 2015

IC: 2250A-WLAN193XF1

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Data Chart for ECC	Lang Bulas Badas Tima F
Trial	Long Pulse Radar Type 5 Successful Detection
IIIai	(Yes/No)
1	Yes
2	Yes
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes
8	Yes
9	Yes
10	Yes
11	Yes
12	Yes
13	Yes
14	Yes
15	Yes
16	Yes
17	Yes
18	Yes
19	Yes
20	Yes
21	Yes
22	Yes
23	Yes
24	Yes
25	Yes
26	Yes
27	Yes
28	Yes
29	Yes
30	Yes
30	162

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT 5 MHz BELOW THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Trial	Successful Detection
	(Yes/No)
1	Yes
2	Yes
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes
8	Yes
9	Yes
10	Yes
11	Yes
12	Yes
13	Yes
14	Yes
15	Yes
16	Yes
17	Yes
18	Yes
19	Yes
20	Yes
21	Yes
22	Yes
23	Yes
24	Yes
25	Yes
26	Yes
27	Yes
28	Yes
29	Yes
30	Yes

TYPE 5 DETECTION PROBABILITY AT 5 MHz ABOVE THE CHANNEL CENTER FREQUENCY

Data Sheet for FCC Long Pulse Radar Type 5				
Trial	Successful Detection (Yes/No)			
1	Yes			
2	No			
3	Yes			
4	Yes			
5	Yes			
6	Yes			
7	Yes			
8	Yes			
9	Yes			
10	Yes			
11	Yes			
12	Yes			
13	Yes			
14	Yes			
15	Yes			
16	Yes			
17	Yes			
18	Yes			
19	Yes			
20	Yes			
21	Yes			
22	Yes			
23	Yes			
24	Yes			
25	Yes			
26	Yes			
27	Yes			
28	Yes			
29	Yes			
30	Yes			

TYPE 6 DETECTION PROBABILITY

110 044	us Pulse Width, 333 us PRI, 9 Pulses per Burst, 1 Burst per Hop TIA August 2005 Hopping Sequence					
Trial	Starting Index Within Sequence	Signal Generator Frequency (MHz)	Hops within Detection BW	Successfu Detection (Yes/No)		
1	19	5492	4	Yes		
2	494	5493	5	Yes		
3	969	5494	4	Yes		
4	1444	5495	5	Yes		
5	1919	5496	5	Yes		
6	2394	5497	5	Yes		
7	2869	5498	5	Yes		
8	3344	5499	5	Yes		
9	3819	5500	4	Yes		
10	4294	5501	4	Yes		
11	4769	5502	4	Yes		
12	5244	5503	3	Yes		
13	5719	5504	2	Yes		
14	6194	5505	3	Yes		
15	6669	5506	3	Yes		
16	7144	5507	5	Yes		
17	7619	5508	6	Yes		
18	8094	5492	1	Yes		
19	8569	5493	3	Yes		
20	9044	5494	2	Yes		
21	9519	5495	4	Yes		
22	9994	5496	2	Yes		
23	10469	5497	2	Yes		
24	10944	5498	6	Yes		
25	11419	5499	3	Yes		
26	11894	5500	6	Yes		
27	12369	5501	4	Yes		
28	12844	5502	2	Yes		
29	13319	5503	4	Yes		
30	13794	5504	2	Yes		
31	14744	5505	5	Yes		
32	15219	5506	3	Yes		
33	15694	5507	4	Yes		
34	16169	5508	3	Yes		