

BABT. Segensworth Road, Titchfield Fareham, Hampshire, United Kingdom, PO15 5RH Tel: +44(0) 1329 443300, Fax: +44(0) 1329 443331 www.babt.com



## MPE Calculation For Symbol Hydra Wireless LAN

The Symbol Hydra device consists of a 2.4GHz and a 5GHz transmitter. The far field regions below are calculated for both the 2.4GHz and 5GHz frequencies. To establish the worst-case scenario, it is assumed that there is an additive effect of the 2.4GHz and 5GHz powers.

Firstly, the worst-case distance to be in the far field is established for both frequencies:

## <u>5GHz</u>

The wavelength of the equipment is:

 $\frac{3x10^8}{5805 x10^6} = 0.05m$ 

Thus, the far field region is defined as being:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2p}$$
 =  $\frac{0.05}{6.283}$  = 0.008m or 8mm

## 2.4GHz

The wavelength of the equipment is:

$$\frac{3x10^8}{2462 \times 10^6} = 0.12m$$

Thus, the far field region is defined as being:

 $\frac{\lambda}{2p}$  =  $\frac{0.12}{6.283}$  = 0.019m or 19mm

So, taking both maximum power readings and combining:

50.7mW + 69.18mW = 119.88mW

Therefore, the formula below is applicable for distances that are in the far field. Thus, predicting the worst case RF Power Density at 20cm from the antenna would be:

$$S = \frac{P \times G}{4p R^2}$$
 =  $\frac{119.88 \times 1.58}{12.57 \times 20^2}$  =0.038mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

where:

| Р | = | power measured in mW                                  |
|---|---|---|
| G | = | antenna gain as numeric gain, (1.58 numeric / 2.0dBi) |
| R | = | distance in cm  |



BABT. Segensworth Road, Titchfield Fareham, Hampshire, United Kingdom, PO15 5RH Tel: +44(0) 1329 443300, Fax: +44(0) 1329 443331 www.babt.com



MPE Calculation For Symbol Hydra Wireless LAN - continued

MPE for Occupational/Controlled Exposure at 2462MHz is 5mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

MPE for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure at 2462MHz is 1mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

MPE for Occupational/Controlled Exposure at 5280MHz is 5mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

MPE for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure at 5280MHz is 1mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

Therefore, the unit under test has a power density, which is less than both the General Population and Occupational exposure limits whilst both transmitters are operating. This is deemed the worst-case configuration for the equipment under test.