

1365 Adams Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Symbol Technologies, Inc., Model No: DP-4046

Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Test Report for Symbol Technologies, Inc. on the NETVISION 2.4 GHZ DIRECT SEQUENCE SPREAD SPECTRUM RADIO Model: DP-4046

Test Report: 2036369F1 Date of Report: March 27, 2001

Job #: J20036369F and J20036369H

Total number of pages in report: 31 + Data Sheets



NVLAP Laboratory Code 200201-0 Accredited for testing to FCC Parts 15

Tested by	Suresh Kondapalli	Review Date:
Reviewedby: 52 for	David Chernomordik EMC Site Manager	Review Date: 3/20/01

All services undertaken are subject to the following general policy: Reports are submitted for exclusive use of the client to whom they are addressed. Their significance is subject to the adequacy and representative character of the samples and to the comprehensiveness of the tests, examinations or surveys made. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written consent of Intertek Testing Services, NA Inc. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP, NIST nor any other agency of the U.S. Government.

Report # 2036369F1

FCC Part 2 SAR Evaluation



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	JOB DESCRIPTION	. 2
	1.1 Client Information	. 2
	1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)	. 2
	1.3 Test plan reference	. 2
	1.4 System test configuration	. 3
	1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment	. 3
	1.4.2 Test Position for Brain	. 4
	1.4.3 Test Position for Muscle	, 4
	1.4.4 Test Condition	. 5
	1.5 Modifications required for compliance	, 6
	1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards	. 6
2.0	SAR EVALUATION	. 7
	2.1 SAR Limits	. 7
	2.2 Configuration Photographs	. 8
	2.3 System Verification	16
	2.4 Evaluation Procedures	16
	2.5 Test Results	17
3.0	EQUIPMENT	21
	3.1 Equipment List	21
	3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid	22
	3.3 E-Field Probe Calibration	24
	3.4 Measurement Uncertainty	25
	3.5 Measurement Traceability	25
4.0	WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA	26
5.0	REFERENCES	27
6.0	Document History	28
APPE	ENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data	29
APPE	ENDIX B - E-Field Probe Calibration Data	30
APPE	ENDIX C – Antenna Specifications	31



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### **1.0 JOB DESCRIPTION**

1.1 Client Information

The EUT has been tested at the request of:

Company:	Symbol Technologies, Inc
Address:	6480 Via Del Oro
	San Jose, CA 95119-1208
	USA
Name of contact:	Mr. Norm Nelson
Telephone:	(408) 528-2649
Fax:	(408) 528-2740

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

#### **Product Descriptions:**

Equipment		NETVISION 2.4 GHZ DIRECT SEQUENCE SPREAD SPECTRUM			
		RADIO. Unit is available with a main antenna and an auxiliary antenna.			
Trade Name		Symbol Technologies,	Model No:		DP-4046
		Inc.			
FCC ID		H9PDP4046	S/N No.		Not Labeled
Category		Portable	RF Exposure		Uncontrolled Environment
Frequency Band (up link)		2402-2480 MHz	System		DSSS
		EUT Antenna D	escriptions		
Main	Nar	ne: Phone PCB	Auxiliary	Name: Phone Stick On	
Antenna	San	yo #: IRA4L90A15401	Antenna	Sanyo #: IRA4L90A15401	
Туре	Type Symbol #: 50-21900-045		Туре	Symbol #: 50-21900-044	
Gain: 2 dBi			G	ain: 2 dBi	
Location: Main Antenna: Internal					
Auxiliary Antenna: Internal					

Note: For details on antennas see Appendix C

Use of Product :	Wireless Voice/Data communications
Manufacturer:	Symbol Technologies, Inc.
Production is planned	[X] Yes, [] No
EUT receive date:	March 19, 2001
EUT received condition:	Prototype in good condition.
Test start date:	March 20, 2001
<b>Test end date:</b> 1.3 Test plan reference	March 26, 2001



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

FCC rule part 2.1093, FCC Docket 96-326 & Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65

- 1.4 System test configuration
- 1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

The EUT was tested without the need for support equipment.





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 1.4.2 Test Position for Brain

The DP-4046 was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in C95.1 (1992) and Supplement C of OET 65 (1998). The DP-4046 was placed in the intended use position, i.e. CENELEC 80° position. This position is defined by a reference plane and a line. The reference plane of the head is given by three points, the auditory canal opening of both ears and center of the closed mouth. The reference line of the DP-4046 is defined by the line, which connects the center of the ear piece with the center of the bottom of the case and lies on the surface of the case facing the phantom. The reference line of the DP-4046 lies in the reference plane of the head. The center of the ear-piece of the DP-4046 is placed at the entry of the auditory canal. The angle between the reference line of the phone and the line connecting both auditory canal openings is 80°. Please refer to figure 1 below for the position details:



Figure 1: Intended use position for Brain

Additionally, the DP-4046 was tested in a second position from the normal 80° angle between the reference line of the phone and the line connecting both auditory canal openings. The center of the ear piece of the DP-4046 is placed at the entry of the auditory canal. The angle between the reference line of the phone and the line connecting both auditory canal openings was adjusted from 80° to the angle where two points of the phone were in contact with the phantom (ear hole and cheek). This position is called two touch.

Data pages indicate the position of the DP-4046 during testing. The 80° test position has data pages labeled 'one touch'. The two touch position has data pages labeled 'two touch'.

#### 1.4.3 Test Position for Muscle



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

The DP-4046 was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in C95.1 (1992) and Supplement C of OET 65 (1998). Please refer to figure 2 below for the position details:



Figure 2: Intended use position for Muscle(Body Worn)

Data pages indicate the position of the DP-4046 during testing. The muscle test position has data pages labeled 'with Belt Clip'.

#### 1.4.4 Test Condition

During tests, the worst case data (max. RF coupling) was determined with following conditions:

Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

EUT Antenna	Internal	Orientation	80 Degrees (Brain)
			Two Point Touch (Brain)
			Flat (Muscle)
Usage	Right Hand	Distance between antenna	Not able to measure due to
	Left Hand	axis at the joint and the	the antenna being mounted
	Body	liquid surface:	internal to the phone
Simulating human hand	Not Used	EUT Battery	Fully Charged
Power output	21.6 dBm		

The spatial peak SAR values were accessed for lowest, middle and highest operating channels defined by the manufacturer.

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

No modifications were implemented by Intertek Testing Services.

#### 1.6 Additions, deviations and exclusions from standards

No additions, deviations or exclusions have been made from standard.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 2.0 SAR EVALUATION

#### 2.1 SAR Limits

The following FCC limits for SAR apply to devices operate in General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment:

EXPOSURE	SAR
(General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure environment)	(W/kg)
Average over the whole body	0.08
Spatial Peak (1g)	1.60
Spatial Peak for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (10g)	4.00



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.2 Configuration Photographs – Continued





Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 2.3 System Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system was verified to the  $\pm 5\%$  of the specifications by using the system validation kit. The validation was performed at 900 MHz.

Validation kit	Targeted SAR <sub>1g</sub> (mW/g)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (mW/g)	
D900V2, S/N #: 013	3.92	3.89	

#### 2.4 Evaluation Procedures

The SAR evaluation was performed with the following procedures:

- a. SAR was measured at a fixed location above the ear point and used as a reference value for the assessing the power drop.
- b. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 20 mm x 20 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.
- c. Around this point, a volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 34 mm was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. Based on this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
  - The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measurement point is 1.6 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in Z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - ii) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3-D spline interpolation algorithm. The 3-D spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 1000 points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
  - iii) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- d. Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in step a. above. If the value changed by more than 5 %, the evaluation was repeated.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## 2.5 Test Results

The following pages contain data tables with the test results obtained when the device was tested in the condition described in this report. Detailed measurement plots, which reveal information about the location of the maximum SAR with respect to the device, are reported in Appendix A.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

Trade Name:	Symbol Technologies Inc	Model No.:	DP-4046 Main Antenna
Serial No.:	Not Labeled	Test Engineer:	Suresh Kondapalli

TEST CONDITIONS				
Ambient Temperature	23 °C	Relative Humidity	55 %	
Test Signal Source	Test Mode	Signal Modulation	CW	
Output Power Before	21.6 dBm	Output Power After	21.6 dBm	
SAR Test		SAR Test		
Test Duration	23 Min.	Number of Battery	Every Scan	
		Change		

## MAIN ANTENNA DATA TABLE

Brain EUT Position: Left Hand, 80 Deg					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number	
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)		
2412	DSSS	1	0.628	1	
2437	DSSS	1	0.632	2	
2462	DSSS	1	0.706	3	

Brain EUT Position: Left Hand, Two Points Touching Phantom				
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	
2412	DSSS	1	0.527	4
2437	DSSS	1	0.492	5
2462	DSSS	1	0.525	6

Brain						
	EUT Position: Right Hand, 80 Deg					
Channel	Operating	Duty	Meas	ured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	F	lot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(1	mW/g)		
2412	DSSS	1		0.404		7
2437	DSSS	1		0.455		8
2462	DSSS	1		0.457		9
		Br	ain			
<b>EUT Position: Right Hand, Two Points Touching Phantom</b>						
Channel	Operating	Du	ty	Measured SA	$R_{1g}$	Plot Number



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	
2412	DSSS	1	0.349	10
2437	DSSS	1	0.423	11
2462	DSSS	1	0.394	12

Muscle				
EUT Position: Face down, with belt Clip Touching Phantom *				
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	
2412	DSSS	1	0.366	13
2437	DSSS	1	0.342	14
2462	DSSS	1	0.285	15

\* Belt-clip is 18.3 mm thick

## AUXILIARY ANTENNA DATA TABLE

Trade Name:	Symbol Technologies Inc	Model No.:	DP-4046 With Auxiliary Antenna
Serial No.:	Not Labeled	Test Engineer:	Suresh Kondapalli

TEST CONDITIONS				
Ambient Temperature	23 °C	Relative Humidity	55 %	
Test Signal Source	Test Mode	Signal Modulation	CW	
Output Power Before SAR Test	21.6 dBm	Output Power After SAR Test	21.6 dBm	
Test Duration	23 Min.	Number of Battery Change	Every Scan	

Brain EUT Position: Left Hand, 80 Deg					
Channel	Channel Operating Duty Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> Plot Number				
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)		
2412	DSSS	1	0.168	16	
2437	DSSS	1	0.087	17	
2462	DSSS	1	0.083	18	

Brain EUT Position: Left Hand. Two Points Touching Phantom				
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

2412	DSSS	1	0.081	19
2437	DSSS	1	0.085	20
2462	DSSS	1	0.062	21

Brain						
	EUT Position: Right Hand, 80 Deg					
Channel	Channel Operating Duty Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> Plot Number					
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)			
2412	DSSS	1	0.183	22		
2437	DSSS	1	0.155	23		
2462	DSSS	1	0.101	24		

Brain				
EUT Position: Right Hand, Two Points Touching Phantom				
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	
2412	DSSS	1	0.185	25
2437	DSSS	1	0.147	26
2462	DSSS	1	0.109	27

Muscle				
EUT Position: Face down, with belt Clip Touching Phantom *				
Channel	Operating	Duty	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub>	Plot Number
MHz	Mode	Cycle ratio	(mW/g)	
2412	DSSS	1	0.552	28
2437	DSSS	1	0.469	29
2462	DSSS	1	0.301	30

\* Belt-clip is 18.3 mm thick

Notes: a) Worst case data were reported

- b) Duty cycle factor included in the measured SAR data
- c) Uncertainty of the system is not included



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 3.0 EQUIPMENT

#### 3.1 Equipment List

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) tests were performed with the SPEAG model DASY 3 automated near-field scanning system, which is a package, optimized for dosimetric evaluation of mobile radios [3].

The following major equipment/components were used for the SAR evaluations:

	SAR Measurement System		
EQUIPMENT	SPECIFICATIONS	S/N #	LAST CAL.
			DATE
Robot	Stäubi RX60L	597412-01	N/A
	Repeatability: $\pm 0.025$ mm		
	Accuracy: 0.806x10° degree		
<b>DD</b> <sup>1</sup> 11 <b>D</b> 1	Number of Axes: 6	1000	04/10/00
E-Field Probe	ET3DV5	1333	04/10/00
	Frequency Range: 10 MHz to 6 GHz		
	Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$		
	Directivity: $\pm 0.1$ dB in brain tissue		
Data Acquisition	DAE3	317	N/A
	Measurement Range: $1\mu V$ to $>200mV$	•	
	Input offset Voltage: $< 1\mu V$ (with auto zero)		
	Input Resistance: 200 M		
Phantom	Generic Twin V3.0	N/A	N/A
	Type: Generic Twin, Homogenous		
	Shell Material: Fiberglass		
	Thickness: $2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$		
	Capacity: 20 liter		
	Ear spacer: 4 mm (between EUT ear piece at	nd tissue simulation	ng liquid)
Simulated Tissue	Mixture	N/A	03/19/01
	Please see section 6.2 for details		
Power Meter	HP 8900D w/ 84811A sensor	3607U00673	08/01/00
	Frequency Range: 100kHz to 18 GHz		
	Power Range: 300µW to 3W		



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 3.2 Tissue Simulating Liquid

Brain		
Ingredient	Frequency (2440 MHz)	
Water	53.93 %	
Sugar	44.97 %	
Salt	0 %	
HEC	1.0 %	
Bactericide	0.1 %	

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753C network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	ε <sub>r</sub> *	σ *(mho/m)	ρ **(kg/m <sup>3)</sup>
2440	$50.6 \pm 5\%$	$2.24\pm10\%$	1000

\* worst case uncertainty of the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit \*\*

worst case assumption

Note: The amount of each ingredient specified in the tables are not the exact amounts of the final test solution. The final test solution was adjusted by adding small amounts of either water, sugar, and/or salt to calibrate the solution to meet the proper dielectric parameters.

Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

Muscle		
Ingredient	Frequency (2440 MHz)	
Water	55.5 %	
Sugar	43.5 %	
Salt	0 %	
Cellulose	1.0 %	

The dielectric parameters were verified prior to assessment using the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit and the HP 8753C network Analyzer. The dielectric parameters were:

Frequency (MHz)	ε <sub>r</sub> *	σ *(mho/m)	ρ **(kg/m <sup>3)</sup>
2440	51.2 ± 5%	$2.36\pm10\%$	1000

\* worst case uncertainty of the HP 85070A dielectric probe kit

\*\* worst case assumption

Note: The amount of each ingredient specified in the tables are not the exact amounts of the final test solution. The final test solution was adjusted by adding small amounts of either water, sugar, and/or salt to calibrate the solution to meet the proper dielectric parameters.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 3.3 E-Field Probe Calibration

Probes were calibrated by the manufacturer in an IFI Model 110 TEM Cell. To ensure consistency, a strict protocol was followed. The conversion factor (ConF) between this calibration and the measurement in the tissue simulation solution was performed by comparison with temperature measurement and computer simulations. Probe calibration factors are included in Appendix B.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 3.4 Measurement Uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY3 measurement system according to the NIS81 [5] and the NIST 1297 [6] documents and is given in the following table. The extended uncertainty (K=2) was assessed to be 23.5 %

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET				
<b>Uncertainty Description</b>	Error	Distrib.	Weight	Std.Dev.
Probe Uncertainty				
Axial isotropy	±0.2 dB	U-shape	0.5	±2.4 %
Spherical isotropy	±0.4 dB	U-shape	0.5	±4.8 %
Isotropy from gradient	±0.5 dB	U-shape	0	
Spatial resolution	±0.5 %	Normal	1	±0.5 %
Linearity error	±0.2 dB	Rectang.	1	±2.7 %
Calibration error	±3.3 %	Normal	1	±3.3 %
SAR Evaluation Uncertainty				
Data acquisition error	±1 %	Rectang.	1	±0.6 %
ELF and RF disturbances	±0.25 %	Normal	1	±0.25 %
Conductivity assessment	±10 %	Rectang.	1	±5.8 %
Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation Uncertainty				
Extrapol boundary effect	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %
Probe positioning error	±0.1 mm	Normal	1	±1 %
Integrat. And cube orient	±3 %	Normal	1	±3 %
Cube shape inaccuracies	±2 %	Rectang.	1	±1.2 %
Device positioning	±6 %	Normal	1	±6 %
Combined Uncertanties				
				±11.7 %

#### 3.5 Measurement Traceability

All measurements described in this report are traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards or appropriate national standards.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

# 4.0 WARNING LABEL INFORMATION - USA

See attached users manual.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

#### 5.0 **REFERENCES**

- ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300 Ghz, The Institute of electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1997
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", *IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetic evaluation of mobile communications equipment with know precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp.645-652, May 1997.
- [5] NIS81, NAMAS, "The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddinton, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [6] Barry N. Tayor and Chris E. Kuyatt, "Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institude of Standards and Technology, 1994.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

# 6.0 Document History

Revision/ Job Number	Writer Initials	Date	Change
1.0 / 2036369F1	SS	March 27, 2001	Original document

Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## **APPENDIX A - SAR Evaluation Data**

Please note that the graphical visualization of the phone position onto the SAR distribution gives only limited information on the current distribution of the device, since the curvature of the head results in graphical distortion. Full information can only be obtained either by H-field scans in free space or SAR evaluation with a flat phantom.

Powerdrift is the measurement of power drift of the device over one complete SAR scan.



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

## **APPENDIX B - E-Field Probe Calibration Data**

See attached pages.

**APPENDIX C – Antenna Specifications** 



Date of Test: March 20 & 26, 2001

See attached pages.