

MessageFrom: Eva Kao [eva_kao@cclab.com.tw]
Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2003 12:17 AM
To: Mike Kuo; 'Vincent'
Cc: ? ??; ? ??; Scott Wang
Subject: Re: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Hi Mike,

Answer #5: We got the wrong internal photos, please find the attached is revised internal photos

Answer #9: The EMC test was performed and finished on November 11, 2002. so the modification are implemented on the SAR device.

Best Regards,
Vincent

----- Original Message -----

From: Mike Kuo
To: 'Vincent' ; Mike Kuo
Cc: ? ?? ; ? ?? ; ? ?? ; Scott Wang
Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2003 2:20 PM
Subject: RE: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Hi Vincent:

Please get back to me on Question #5 and #9. When questions are issued, I need to have your reply in writing.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo
Compliance Certification Services
561F Monterey Road
Morgan Hill, CA 95037
Tel: (408)463-0885 x:105
Fax: (408)463-0888
e-mail:mkuo@ccsemc.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Vincent [mailto:vs@cclab.com.tw]
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2003 11:20 PM
To: MIKE
Cc: ? ??; ? ??; ? ??; ScottWang
Subject: Fw: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Hi Mike

Is there any other problem for ViewSonic's project, FCC ID: GSS-MW251V110/AN03T2711(SAR #24468) ?

Due to this project is on top urgent, can you Grant it first.

Thanks

Vicnent

----- Original Message -----

From: Eva Kao
To: Mike Kuo ; Vincent
Sent: Monday, April 21, 2003 11:34 AM
Subject: Re: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Hi Mike,

Attached please find the revised internal photos.

Thank you!

Eva

-----Original Message-----

From: Mike Kuo [mailto:MKUO@CCSEMC.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2003 1:47 AM
To: 'Eva Kao'; 'Lucy_Tsai (E-mail)'; Vincent Su; Helen Zhao; Mike Kuo
Subject: RE: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Hi Eva:

When there are some questions not addressed in your reply, please let me know what action will be taken. You did not address Question #5 and #9. Please let me know how are you going to address these two questions.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

-----Original Message-----

From: Eva Kao [mailto:eva_kao@cclab.com.tw]
Sent: Tuesday, April 15, 2003 1:59 AM
To: 'Lucy_Tsai (E-mail)'; Vincent Su; Helen Zhao; Mike Kuo
Subject: Fw: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-MW251V110, AN03T2711

Notice_content

Question #1: Last two pictures of internal photos with label " Antenna module and antenna-B " and Antenna module with antenna-F". Are there two types of antennas included in this filing ? Or you are saying Antenna front side and Antenna Back side ? Please explain.

Ans.: Just one type of antenna including in this file. It's antenna front side and back side view.

Question #2: In the operational description file, it states "Under normal use condition, the user has to keep at least 20 cm separation distance between radiator and the body of the user. The other instruction, please have a look at the users manual."

Ans.: Revised operational description is attached.

Since this device can also be used as panel PC which the end user can not maintain 20cm separation distance but do consider such position is normal operating conditions. Please remove or revise this statement in the operational description.

Question #3: The antenna specification file provided looks like dipole antenna but the antenna in the internal photos looks like PCB antenna. Please explain the difference.

Ans.: The antenna type is PCB antenna, In the antenna spec. page 2, The symbol " 1 in circle" indicates antenna connector length. The symbol " 2 in circle" indicates antenna cable length. The symbol " 3 in circle" indicates PCB antenna

EMC Question #4: In the SAR test report, the antenna gain is 3dBi but in the test report, the antenna gain is listed as 0dBi. Please explain the difference.

The Antenna gain shall be 0 dBi , Please modify SAR report.

Question #5: The antenna shown in the SAR test report does not agree the antenna shown in the internal photos. Please explain.

Question #6: In the user manual, there is optional extended battery pack offered with this device. In the SAR test report, only one battery was tested. Please provide additional SAR test data with extended battery.

We delete extended battery pack in the user manual. Please find revised user manual.

Question #7: In the user manual, the wireless function is achieved via external USB adaptor. On the other hand, the wireless device included in this application is Mini-PCI type Wireless module installed inside the device by the manufacturer, please provide revised user manual to harmonize

the wireless function to match with the device under tests.

Please find revised user manual.

Question #8: There is no RF exposure warning statement provided in the user manual. Please provide revised user manual.

Please find revised user manual.

Question #9 As demonstrated in the internal photos, modifications were made during final compliance tests. Since the SAR tests were tested before the EMC tests by comparing the test dates, the modifications made on the EUT during the EMC tests may have effects on the SAR test report tested before EMC tests. Please verify that the EUT tested during the SAR evaluation is representative device to the one tested during EMC tests. If the modifications are not implemented on the SAR device, SAR tests may be to evaluate again.

Question #10: Please provide modification report signed by the applicant to agree that all modifications made the device will be incorporated into each unit sold in the U.S.

Please find the modification report.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

