

Mike Kuo

From: eric@ccsemc.com.tw
Sent: January06日2004年Tuesday 1:12 AM
To: mkuo@ccsemc.com
Cc: susan_su@ccsemc.com.tw; allen_lin@ccsemc.com.tw; cert@ccsemc.com.tw; wklo@ccsemc.com.tw; scheng@ccsemc.com
Subject: 回信：FW: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-M3AWEB56GA, AN03T3450



(VSMW24668-1W) UserMan.pdf



B31105205-SAR-FC C.pdf



ViewSonic V210 Declaration.pdf...

Dear Mike,

Please let us know is there any updates of this??
We have replied you on 12/30 as shown as the following email.

Thank you!!

BR, Eric

----- 轉呈者 eric/ccsemc 於 2004/01/06 05:06 PM -----

eric

黃勁武

收件人：Mike Kuo <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>

副本抄送： "eric@ccsemc.com.tw" <eric@ccsemc.com.tw>

主旨：回信：FW: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-M3AWEB56GA, AN03T3450(Document link:

2003/12/30 06:58 eric)

PM

Dear Mike,

Please find our replies as follows in BLUE!!

Thank you!!

Should you have any question, please don't hesitate to ask me.

Best regards,

Eric Wong

Compliance Certification Services Inc. (Formerly C&C Laboratory Co., Ltd.)

Tel.: +886-3-3240332 Ext.49

Add.: No.81-1, Lane 210, Ba-de 2nd Rd., Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 338, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Email: eric@ccsemc.com.tw

URL: <http://www.ccsemc.com.tw>

(See attached file: (VSMW24668-1W) UserMan.pdf)(See attached file: B31105205-SAR-FCC.pdf)(See attached file: ViewSonic V210 Declaration.pdf)

Mike Kuo
<MKUO@CCSEMC.com> 收件人 : "eric@ccsemc.com.tw" <eric@ccsemc.com.tw>
副本抄送 :
2003/12/06 10:54 主旨 : FW: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-M3AWEB56GA, AN03T3450
AM

-----Original Message-----

From: CERTADM
Sent: Friday, December 05, 2003 6:53 PM
To: Mike Kuo
Subject: ViewSonic Corp., FCC ID:GSS-M3AWEB56GA, AN03T3450

Notice_content

Question #1: In the operational description mentioned the user has to keep 20 cm separation distance during normal operation. Such statement does not agree with the device function which is considered as portable device when used in the lap held position. Please make necessary correction .

(Answer #1/by Eric: The user manual has been revised per your comment. Please refer to the attached file.)

Question #2: SAR System validation: Page 14 of test report, the head liquid was used but the title of liquid is indicated as body liquid. Please make necessary correction.

(Answer #2/by James: The SAR system validation was used head liquid, because the calibration data used the same liquid (see the D2450V2 SN:728 cal. data). I think the SAR system validation is indicated regular when we use it. And I have changed the mistake (see the report Page 14)We have calibrated the body liquid parameter (see the report Page15).

Question #3: This device is equipped with two antennas, these two antennas are TX/RX diversity. During SAR tests, two configurations were used. First: tested antenna 1 to simulate lap held operation, second : tested antenna 1 with 1.5 cm separation distance to simulate near by person operation. There is no antenna 2 tested for above two configurations. Please provide additional SAR test data for Antenna 2 with same configurations as tested for antenna 1.

(Answer #3/by James: The EUT is wireless Lan with modem mini-PCI.We have tried the transmitter software to control Antenna 2,but it can ' t do.And I have check to customer and Intell ' s engineer.He have forward the question to the Intell in the U.S.A..The module is not the same, so the diversity function is only Rx.

Question #4: As illustrated in the internal photos, there are ferrite cores added to the antenna cables. The photos contain in the SAR test report can not be verified the ferrite cores on the antenna cables. Please confirm

the
EUT that was used during SAR tests is the identical sample with ferrite
cores/ modification during EMC tests.

(Answer #4/by James: We was used the same sample for RF and SAR test, so I
have added antenna cable ' s photo in the report (see the report Page 20))

Question #5: Please provide modification report signed by the applicant to
document all modifications made during final EMC and SAR tests.

(Answer #5/by Eric: Please refer to the modification letter attached.)

Best Regards

Mike Kuo

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
to
the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.