

From: etcemi [etcemi@ms29.hinet.net]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2002 1:27 AM  
To: Mike Kuo  
Subject: Re: FOR FCC ID. GOH-PC2000 ( Code Alarm, new FCC ID:GOH-PCGNE2, AN02T1810)

Dear Mike,

Attached please find the information for duty factor and the calculation is as follows:  
 $20\log(1*40+1.444*10)/99.333 = -5.2(\text{dB})$

And TIN is 3624-03811.

If you have any further questions, please kindly let me know.

Best regards,

K. C. Chen  
ETC/EMC Department II

----- Original Message -----  
From: Mike Kuo  
To: 'etcemi'  
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2002 8:40 AM  
Subject: RE: FOR FCC ID. GOH-PC2000 ( Code Alarm, new FCC ID:GOH-PCGNE2, AN02T1810)

Hi Jill and K.C.:

On Feb. 22, I sent an e-mail with the following technical questions, please address each question:

Question #1 and Question #3 are satisfied due to the application is changed from Class II permissive change to new application with new FCC ID number. However, Question#2 and Question #4 are still not addressed.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier

-----Original Message-----

From: CERTADM

Sent: Friday, February 22, 2002 5:35 PM

To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'

Subject: Code Alarm FCC ID:GOH-PC2000, AN02T1810 Class II permissive change

Notice\_content

-----

Question #1: The explanation in Class II permissive change letter does not provide detail information about the changes.

Question #2: There is no FRN for Code Alarm. Since the applicant is an US corporation. TAX ID number from Code Alarm is required to apply FRN.

Question #3: In the original certification, this transmitter was certified under 15.231(e) section by another TCB. As review the test report submitted under the original application, I believe this device should be certified under 15.231(b) of rules. However, because the original certification was issued to 15.231(e) technical standard and the test data presented in your test report are tested in accordance with 15.231(b) technical requirements. FCC Class II permissive change can not be made because two different technical sections.

Question #4: In the page 8 of test report, peak and average reading are reported. For pulse emission, the average reading =peak+duty factor as indicated in your test report. However, there is no duty cycle measurement made. Please provide additional test data for justify the correction factor used for average readings.

Best Regards

Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 60 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

-----Original Message-----

From: etcemi [mailto:etcemi@seed.net.tw]

Sent: Monday, April 08, 2002 6:14 AM

To: mikekuo@ccsemc.com; mkuo@ccsemc.com

Subject: FOR FCC ID. GOH-PC2000

Dear Mike,

Please update the application for FCC ID. GOH-PC2000. The new ID is GOH-PCGNE2. Thank your for your kindly.

Best regards,

Jill Shiau

ETC/EMC Dept.