

TEST REPORT

**Covering the
DYNAMIC FREQUENCY SELECTION (DFS)
REQUIREMENTS
OF**

FCC Part 15 Subpart E (UNII)

**Intel Corporation
Model(s): Centrino® Advanced-N + WiMAX 6250 622ANXHMW**

FCC ID(s): PD9622ANXH
PD9622ANXHU
E2K625ANXH

COMPANY: Intel Corporation
2111 NE 25th Avenue JF3-302
Hillsboro, OR, 97124


TEST SITE: Elliott Laboratories
684 W. Maude Ave
Sunnyvale, CA 94085

REPORT DATE: September 17, 2009

FINAL TEST DATE: August 31, 2009

TEST ENGINEER: Wayne Fisher

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:



Mark Briggs
Staff Engineer



Testing Cert #2016-01

Elliott Laboratories is accredited by the A2LA, certificate number 2016-01, to perform the test(s) listed in this report. This report shall not be reproduced, except in its entirety, without the written approval of Elliott Laboratories

REVISION HISTORY

Rev #	Date	Comments	Modified By
1.0	Sept. 17, 2009	First release	Mark Briggs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REVISION HISTORY2

TABLE OF CONTENTS3

LIST OF TABLES.....4

LIST OF FIGURES.....4

SCOPE.....5

OBJECTIVE5

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE.....5

DEVIATIONS FROM THE STANDARD.....5

EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DETAILS6

 GENERAL.....6

 ENCLOSURE.....6

 MODIFICATIONS.....7

 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....7

 INTERFACE CABLING.....7

 EUT OPERATION.....7

RADAR WAVEFORMS.....8

TEST RESULTS.....9

 TEST RESULTS SUMMARY – FCC PART 15, CLIENT DEVICE.....9

 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....9

DFS TEST METHODS.....10

 RADIATED TEST METHOD.....10

DFS MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION.....12

 RADAR GENERATION SYSTEM.....12

 CHANNEL MONITORING SYSTEM.....13

DFS MEASUREMENT METHODS.....14

 DFS – CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME AND CHANNEL MOVE TIME.....14

 DFS – CHANNEL NON-OCCUPANCY AND VERIFICATION OF PASSIVE SCANNING.....14

 DFS CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME.....15

 TRANSMIT POWER CONTROL (TPC).....15

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS.....15

 DETECTION PROBABILITY / SUCCESS RATE.....15

 THRESHOLD LEVEL.....15

APPENDIX A TEST EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION DATA.....16

APPENDIX B TEST DATA TABLES AND PLOTS FOR CHANNEL CLOSING.....17

 FCC PART 15 SUBPART E CHANNEL CLOSING MEASUREMENTS.....17

APPENDIX C TEST CONFIGURATION PHOTOGRAPHS.....23

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 FCC Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms..... 8
Table 2 FCC Long Pulse Radar Test Waveforms..... 8
Table 3 FCC Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveforms 8
Table 4 FCC Part 15 Subpart E Client Device Test Result Summary 9
Table 5 FCC Part 15 Subpart E Channel Closing Test Results 17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Test Configuration for radiated Measurement Method 10
Figure 2 Channel Closing Time and Channel Move Time (20MHz Channel) – 40 second plot..... 18
Figure 3 Close-Up of Transmissions Occurring More Than 200ms After The End of Radar (20MHz Channel)..... 19
Figure 4 Channel Closing Time and Channel Move Time (20MHz Channel) – 40 second plot..... 20
Figure 5 Close-Up of Transmissions Occurring More Than 200ms After The End of Radar (20MHz Channel)..... 21
Figure 6 Radar Channel Non-Occupancy Plot..... 22

SCOPE

The Federal Communications Commission and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) publish standards regarding ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and Radio spectrum Matters for radio-communications devices. Tests have been performed on the Intel Corporation model Centrino® Advanced-N + WiMAX 6250 622ANXHMW in accordance with these standards.

Test data has been taken pursuant to the relevant DFS requirements of the following standard(s). In the cases of ETSI (EN) standards, testing was limited to those aspects covering essential requirements under article 3.2 of the R&TTE Directive pertaining to DFS:

- FCC Part 15 Subpart E Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U-NII) Devices

Tests were performed in accordance with these standards together with the current published versions of the basic standards referenced therein as outlined in Elliott Laboratories test procedures.

The test results recorded herein are based on a single type test of the Intel Corporation model Centrino® Advanced-N + WiMAX 6250 622ANXHMW and therefore apply only to the tested sample. The sample was selected and prepared by Steve Hackett of Intel Corporation.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the manufacturer is to comply with the standards identified in the previous section. In order to demonstrate compliance, the manufacturer or a contracted laboratory makes measurements and takes the necessary steps to ensure that the equipment complies with the appropriate technical standards. Compliance with some DFS features is covered through a manufacturer statement or through observation of the device.

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The tested sample of Intel Corporation model Centrino® Advanced-N + WiMAX 6250 622ANXHMW complied with the DFS requirements of:

FCC Part 15.407(h)(2)

Maintenance of compliance is the responsibility of the manufacturer. Any modifications to the product should be assessed to determine their potential impact on the compliance status of the device with respect to the standards detailed in this test report.

DEVIATIONS FROM THE STANDARD

No deviations were made from the test methods and requirements covered by the scope of this report.

EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DETAILS**GENERAL**

The Intel Corporation model Centrino® Advanced-N + WiMAX 6250 622ANXHMW is a PCI express form factor (half-mini) card that is designed to provide a 2x2 802.11abgn and 1x2 802.16e interfaces for host systems such as laptop PCs. The electrical rating of the EUT is 3.3Vdc (via mini PCI bus).

DFS tests were performed with the card installed into the mini-PCI bus of a laptop, with the card located inside the laptop as would be the case in normal use.

The sample was received on August 5, 2009 and tested on August 31, 2009. The EUT consisted of the following component(s):

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Mac Number
Intel	622ANXHMW	2x2 agn radio	0015.0059.F2BA

The manufacturer declared values for the EUT operational characteristics that affect DFS are as follows:

Operating Modes (5250 – 5350 MHz, 5470 – 5725 MHz)

- Master Device
- Client Device (no In Service Monitoring, no Ad-Hoc mode)
- Client Device with In-Service Monitoring

Antenna Gains / EIRP (5250 – 5350 MHz, 5470 – 5725 MHz)

	5250 – 5350 MHz	5470 – 5725 MHz
Lowest Antenna Gain (dBi)	-	-
Highest Antenna Gain (dBi)	3.7	4.9
Output Power (dBm)	18.9	18.3

Power does not exceed 200mW eirp

Channel Protocol

- IP Based
- Frame Based
- OTHER _____

ENCLOSURE

The EUT has no enclosure. It is designed to be installed within the enclosure of a host computer.

MODIFICATIONS

The EUT did not require modifications during testing in order to comply with the requirements of the standard(s) referenced in this test report.

SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The following equipment was used as local support equipment for testing:

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Serial Number	FCC ID
Toshiba	PSA G8U-0400 1W	Laptop computer	49290792Q	DoC
<i>Cisco</i>	<i>Air 1252 AG-AK9</i>	<i>Wireless Access Point</i>	<i>FTX1209906V</i>	<i>LDK 102 061</i>

The italicized device was the master device.

INTERFACE CABLING

The I/O cabling configuration during testing was as follows:

Port	Connected To	Cable(s)		
		Description	Shielded or Unshielded	Length (m)
AP ethernet	Remote PC ethernet	Cat 5	Unshielded	10m

EUT OPERATION

The EUT was operating with the following driver software 13.0.0.91.

The client device (host PC and the EUT) was using Windows Media Player Classic as required by FCC Part 15 Subpart E to playback the "FCC" test file which was streamed from the remote PC (via the wired ethernet interface) through the master device and then wirelessly to the client.

RADAR WAVEFORMS

Table 1 FCC Short Pulse Radar Test Waveforms					
Radar Type	Pulse Width (μsec)	PRI (μsec)	Pulses / burst	Minimum Detection Percentage	Minimum Number of Trials
1	1	1428	18	60%	30
2	1-5	150-230	23-29	60%	30
3	6-10	200-500	16-18	60%	30
4	11-20	200-500	12-16	60%	30
Aggregate (Radar Types 1-4)				80%	120

Table 2 FCC Long Pulse Radar Test Waveforms							
Radar Type	Pulse Width (μsec)	Chirp Width (MHz)	PRI (μsec)	Pulses / burst	Number of Bursts	Minimum Detection Percentage	Minimum Number of Trials
5	50-100	5-20	1000-2000	1-3	8-20	80%	30

Table 3 FCC Frequency Hopping Radar Test Waveforms							
Radar Type	Pulse Width (μsec)	PRI (μsec)	Pulses / hop	Hopping Rate (kHz)	Hopping Sequence Length (msec)	Minimum Detection Percentage	Minimum Number of Trials
6	1	333	9	0.333	300	70%	30

TEST RESULTS**TEST RESULTS SUMMARY – FCC Part 15, CLIENT DEVICE**

Table 4 FCC Part 15 Subpart E Client Device Test Result Summary						
Description	Radar Type	Radar Frequency	Measured Value	Requirement	Test Data	Status
Channel closing transmission time	Type 1	5700 MHz 5320 MHz	1.36ms 0.92ms	< 60ms	Appendix B	Pass
Channel move time	Type 1	5700 MHz 5320 MHz	0.533s 0.448s	< 10s	Appendix B	Pass
Non-occupancy period - associated	Type 1	5260 MHz	> 30 minutes	> 30 minutes	Appendix B	Pass
Passive Scanning	N/A	N/A	Refer to manufacturer attestation			

Notes:

- 1) Tests were performed using the radiated test method.
- 2) Channel availability check, detection threshold and non-occupancy period are not applicable to client devices.

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

ISO/IEC 17025 requires that an estimate of the measurement uncertainties associated with the emissions test results be included in the report. The measurement uncertainties given below are based on a 95% confidence level, with a coverage factor (k=2) and were calculated in accordance with UKAS document LAB 34.

Measurement	Measurement Unit	Expanded Uncertainty
Timing (Channel move time, aggregate transmission time)	ms	Timing resolution +/- 0.24%
Timing (non occupancy period)	seconds	5 seconds
DFS Threshold (radiated)	dBm	1.6
DFS Threshold (conducted)	dBm	1.2

DFS TEST METHODS**RADIATED TEST METHOD**

The combination of master and slave devices is located in an anechoic chamber. The simulated radar waveform is transmitted from a directional horn antenna (typically an EMCO 3115) toward the unit performing the radar detection (radar detection device, RDD). Every effort is made to ensure that the main beam of the EUT's antenna is aligned with the radar-generating antenna.




Figure 1 Test Configuration for radiated Measurement Method

The signal level of the simulated waveform is set to a reference level equal to the threshold level (plus 1dB if testing against FCC requirements). Lower levels may also be applied on request of the manufacturer. The level reported is the level at the RDD antenna and so it is not corrected for the RDD's antenna gain. The RDD is configured with the lowest gain antenna assembly intended for use with the device.

The signal level is verified by measuring the CW signal level from the radar generation system using a reference antenna of gain G (dBi). The radar signal level is calculated from the measured level, R (dBm), and any cable loss, L (dB), between the reference antenna and the measuring instrument:

$$\text{Applied level (dBm)} = R - \text{GREF} + L$$

If both master and client devices have radar detection capability then the device not under test is positioned with absorbing material between its antenna and the radar generating antenna, and the radar level at the non RDD is verified to be at least 20dB below the threshold level to ensure that any responses are due to the RDD detecting radar.

The antenna connected to the channel monitoring subsystem is positioned to allow both master and client transmissions to be observed, with the level of the EUT's transmissions between 6 and 10dB higher than those from the other device.

DFS MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION

RADAR GENERATION SYSTEM

An Agilent PSG is used as the radar-generating source. The integral arbitrary waveform generators are programmed using Agilent's "Pulse Building" software and Elliott custom software to produce the required waveforms, with the capability to produce both unmodulated and modulated (FM Chirp) pulses. Where there are multiple values for a specific radar parameter then the software selects a value at random and, for FCC tests, the software verifies that the resulting waveform is truly unique.

With the exception of the hopping waveforms required by the FCC's rules (see below), the radar generator is set to a single frequency within the radar detection bandwidth of the EUT. The frequency is varied from trial to trial by stepping in 5MHz steps.

Frequency hopping radar waveforms are simulated using a time domain model. A randomly hopping sequence algorithm (which uses each channel in the hopping radar's range once in a hopping sequence) generates a hop sequence. A segment of the first 100 elements of the hop sequence are then examined to determine if it contains one or more frequencies within the radar detection bandwidth of the EUT. If it does not then the first element of the segment is discarded and the next frequency in the sequence is added. The process repeats until a valid segment is produced. The radar system is then programmed to produce bursts at time slots coincident with the frequencies within the segment that fall in the detection bandwidth. The frequency of the generator is stepped in 1 MHz increments across the EUT's detection range.

The radar signal level is verified during testing using a CW signal with the AGC function switched on. Correction factors to account for the fact that pulses are generated with the AGC functions switched off are measured annually and an offset is used to account for this in the software.

The generator output is connected to the coupling port of the conducted set-up or to the radar-generating antenna.

CHANNEL MONITORING SYSTEM

Channel monitoring is achieved using a spectrum analyzer and digital storage oscilloscope. The analyzer is configured in a zero-span mode, center frequency set to the radar waveform's frequency or the center frequency of the EUT's operating channel. The IF output of the analyzer is connected to one input of the oscilloscope.

A signal generator output is set to send either the modulating signal directly or a pulse gate with an output pulse co-incident with each radar pulse. This output is connected to a second input on the oscilloscope and the oscilloscope displays both the channel traffic (via the if input) and the radar pulses on its display.

For in service monitoring tests the analyzer sweep time is set to > 20 seconds and the oscilloscope is configured with a data record length of 10 seconds for the short duration and frequency hopping waveforms, 20 seconds for the long duration waveforms. Both instruments are set for a single acquisition sequence. The analyzer is triggered 500ms before the start of the waveform and the oscilloscope is triggered directly by the modulating pulse train. Timing measurements for aggregate channel transmission time and channel move time are made from the oscilloscope data, with the end of the waveform clearly identified by the pulse train on one trace. The analyzer trace data is used to confirm that the last transmission occurred within the 10-second record of the oscilloscope. If necessary the record length of the oscilloscope is expanded to capture the last transmission on the channel prior to the channel move.

Channel availability check time timing plots are made using the analyzer. The analyzer is triggered at start of the EUT's channel availability check and used to verify that the EUT does not transmit when radar is applied during the check time.

The analyzer detector and oscilloscope sampling mode is set to peak detect for all plots.

DFS MEASUREMENT METHODS

DFS – CHANNEL CLOSING TRANSMISSION TIME AND CHANNEL MOVE TIME

Channel clearing and closing times are measured by applying a burst of radar with the device configured to change channel and by observing the channel for transmissions. The time between the end of the applied radar waveform and the final transmission on the channel is the channel move time.

The aggregate transmission closing time is measured in one of two ways:

FCC – the total time of all individual transmissions from the EUT that are observed starting 200ms at the end of the last radar pulse in the waveform. This value is required to be less than 60ms.

ETSI¹ – the total time of all individual transmissions from the EUT that are observed from the end of the last radar pulse in the waveform. This value is required to be less than 260ms.

DFS – CHANNEL NON-OCCUPANCY AND VERIFICATION OF PASSIVE SCANNING

The channel that was in use prior to radar detection by the master is additionally monitored for 30 minutes to ensure no transmissions on the vacated channel over the required non-occupancy period. This is achieved by tuning the spectrum analyzer to the vacated channel in zero-span mode and connecting the IF output to an oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is triggered by the radar pulse and set to provide a single sweep (in peak detect mode) that lasts for at least 30 minutes after the end of the channel move time.

For devices with a client-mode that are being evaluated against FCC rules the manufacturer must supply an attestation letter stating that the client device does not employ any active scanning techniques (i.e. does not transmit in the DFS bands without authorization from a Master device).

¹ This measurement method is used for MIC Table No. 45.

DFS CHANNEL AVAILABILITY CHECK TIME

It is preferred that the EUT report when it starts the radar channel availability check. If the EUT does not report the start of the check time, then the time to start transmitting on a channel after switching the device on is measured to approximate the time from power-on to the end of the channel availability check. The start of the channel availability check is assumed to be 60 seconds prior to the first transmission on the channel.

To evaluate the channel availability check, a single burst of one radar type is applied within the first 2 seconds of the start of the channel availability check and it is verified that the device does not use the channel by continuing to monitor the channel for a period of at least 60 seconds. The test is repeated by applying a burst of radar in the last 2 seconds (i.e. between 58 and 60 seconds after the start of CAC when evaluating a 60-second CAC) of the channel availability check.

TRANSMIT POWER CONTROL (TPC)

Compliance with the transmit power control requirements for devices is demonstrated through measurements showing multiple power levels and manufacturer statements explaining how the power control is implemented.

SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

DETECTION PROBABILITY / SUCCESS RATE

The detection probability, or success rate, for any one radar waveform equals the number of successful trials divided by the total number of trials for that waveform.

THRESHOLD LEVEL

The threshold level is the level of the simulated radar waveform at the EUT's antenna. If the test is performed in a conducted fashion then the level at the rf input equals the level at the antenna plus the gain of the antenna assembly, in dBi. The gain of the antenna assembly equals the gain of the antenna minus the loss of the cabling between the rf input and the antenna. The lowest gain value for all antenna assemblies intended for use with the device is used when making this calculation.

If the test is performed using the radiated method then the threshold level is the level at the antenna.

Appendix A Test Equipment Calibration Data

<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Model #</u>	<u>Asset #</u>	<u>Cal Due</u>
Hewlett Packard	EMC Spectrum Analyzer, 9 KHz-26.5 GHz	8593EM	1141	29-Dec-09
EMCO	Antenna, Horn, 1-18 GHz	3115	1561	10-Jun-10
EMCO	Antenna, Horn, 1-18 GHz	3117	1662	11-Apr-10
Agilent	PSG Vector Signal Generator (250kHz - 20GHz)	E8267C	1877	15-Feb-10
Tektronix	500MHz, 2CH, 5GS/s Scope	TDS5052B	2118	N/A

Appendix B Test Data Tables and Plots for Channel Closing**FCC PART 15 SUBPART E Channel Closing Measurements**

Table 5 FCC Part 15 Subpart E Channel Closing Test Results					
Waveform Type	Channel Closing Transmission Time ¹		Channel Move Time		Result
	Measured	Limit	Measured	Limit	
Radar Type 1 (20MHz Channel)	1.36ms	60 ms	0.533s	10 s	Pass
Radar Type 1 (40MHz channel)	0.92ms	60 ms	0.448s	10 s	Pass

After the final channel closing test the channel was monitored for a further 30 minutes. No transmissions occurred on the channel (see Figure 6).

¹ Channel closing time for FCC measurements is the aggregate transmission time starting from 200ms after the end of the radar signal to the completion of the channel move.

Elliott Timing Plots - Channel Closing




Figure 2 Channel Closing Time and Channel Move Time (20MHz Channel) – 40 second plot

Elliott Timing Plots - Channel Closing




Figure 3 Close-Up of Transmissions Occurring More Than 200ms After The End of Radar (20MHz Channel)

Elliott Timing Plots - Channel Closing




Figure 4 Channel Closing Time and Channel Move Time (20MHz Channel) – 40 second plot

Elliott Timing Plots - Channel Closing





Figure 5 Close-Up of Transmissions Occurring More Than 200ms After The End of Radar (20MHz Channel)

The non-occupancy plot was made over a 30-minute time period following the channel move time with the analyzer IF output connected to the scope and tuned to the vacated channel. No transmissions were observed after the channel move had been completed. After the channel move the client re-associated with the master device on the new channel.



5660 MHz (20MHz channel bandwidth) monitored immediately before, during and for a minimum of 30 minutes following the channel move. Plot shows channel traffic prior to channel move and no traffic on the vacated channel after the channel move.

Figure 6 Radar Channel Non-Occupancy Plot

(Note – plot incorrectly states 5260MHz as the channel

Appendix C Test Configuration Photographs

Uploaded to FCC as a separate document