

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

APPLICANT: Monterey International Corp.

MODEL NO.: MS22

FCC ID: FKD46AMS22

This report concerns (check one) :	Original Grant ☒	Class II Change ☐
Equipment type: Mouse		
Deferred grant requested per 47CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, defer until: _____ (date) We, the undersigned, agree to notify the Commission by (date) _____ / _____ / _____ of the intended date of announce ment of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.		
Transiyion Rules Request per 15.37? Yes ☐ No ☒ If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B for unintentional radiator the new 47 CFR (10-1-90 Edition) provision.		
Report Prepared by Testing House : Neutron Engineering Inc. for Company Name: Monterey International Corp. Address: NO. 28, WU-CHUN 6th RD., WU-KU IND, PARK, TAIPEI HSINE, TAIWAN R.O.C		
Applicant Signature :  _____ Jim Chen / Mech. Eng./R&D		

CERTIFICATION

We hereby certify that:

The test data, data evaluation , test procedures, and equipment configurations shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures given in ANSI C63.4 (1992)/CISPR 22 (1997) and the energy emitted by the sample EUT tested as described in this report is in compliance with CLASS B conducted and radiated emission limits of FCC Rules Part 15 , Subpart B/CISPR 22 (1997).

Prepared by : Carol Chen



Reviewed by : Andy Chiu



Approved by : George Yao



Issued Date : Sep. 09, 2002

Report No. : NEI-FCCB-02185

Company Stamp :



NEUTRON ENGINEERING INC.

No. 132-1, Lane 329, Sec. 2, Palain Road,
Shijr Jen Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

TEL: (02) 2646-5426 FAX : (02) 2646-6815

Table of Contents

1. General Information.....	
1-1 Product Description.....	4
1-2 Related Submittal(s)/Grant(s).....	4
1-3 Tested System Details.....	5
1-4 Test Methodology.....	6
1-5 Test Facility.....	6
2. Product Labeling.....	
Figure 2-1 FCC ID Label.....	7
Figure 2-2 Location of Label on EUT.....	7
3. System Test Configuration.....	
3-1 Justification.....	8
3-2 EUT Exercise Software.....	8
3-3 Special Accessories.....	9
3-4 Equipment Modifications.....	9
3-5 Configuration of Tested System.....	10
Figure 3-1 Configuration of Tested System.....	12
4. Block Diagram(s).....	13
5. Conducted and Radiated Measurement Photos.....	
Figure 5-1. Conducted Measurement Photos.....	14
Figure 5-2 Radiated Measurement Photos.....	15
6. Conducted Emission Datas.....	16
7. Radiated Emission Datas	
7-1 Reaiated Emission Data.....	17
7-2 Field Strength Calculation.....	18
7-3 Correction Factor Table VS Frequency.....	19
8. Attachment	
Photos of Tested EUT.....	20
User's Manual.....	21

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1-1. Product Description

The Monterey International Corp. Model: MS22 (referred to as the EUT in this report) is a scrolling wheel Mouse designed for Information Technology Equipment.

The summarized feature of EUT are described as following:

- Interface : PS/2
- High resolution 800 CPI
- Optical surface scanning, can be used virtually on any surface without a mouse pad
- Without mouse ball so that cleaning is not necessary
- Very precise cursor movement
- System requirements PC for IBM XT/AT, 386, 486, and Pentium compatibles
- Supporting os : windows 95,98,98SE, 2000, NT or XP

Please see User Manual of this submittals for features descriptions and details.

1-2. Related Submittal(s) / Grant (s)

1-2-1. Models Covered

Models covering in this test report is : MS22

1-2-2. Models Difference

N/A

1-3. Tested System Details

The FCC IDs for all equipments, plus descriptions of all cables used in the tested system (including inserted cards, which have grants) are:

Model No.	FCC ID	Equipment	Cable
MS22	FKD46AMS22	Mouse	Shielded Data Cable.
CM753ET	N/A(3)	Monitor	Shielded Data Cable ⁽²⁾ Un-Shielded Power Cord
Pavilion 8801	N/A(3)	PC	Shielded Power Cord.
DPU-414	N/A(3)	Printer	Shielded Data Cable Un-Shielded Power Cord
DM-1414V	N/A(3)	Modem	Shielded Data Cable Un-Shielded Power Cord
5181	N/A(3)	Keyboard	Shielded Data Cable

Notes:

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

(2) Monitor's attached video cable without ferrite core.

(3) The support Equipment was authorized by Declaration of Confirmation.

1-4. Test Methodology

Both conducted and radiated testing were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (1992)/CISPR 22 (1997). Radiated testing was performed at an antenna to EUT distance 10 meters.

1-5. Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated data is located on the address of No. 132-1, Lane 329, Sec. 2, Palain Road, Shijr 221, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C. of NEUTRON ENGINEERING INC. This site has been fully described in report dated Jun. 25, 1999 Submitted to your office, and accepted in a letter dated Sep. 02, 1999 (Reg. No. 95335).

3. System Test Configuration

3-1. Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The Mouse was connected to support equipment-personal computer. Peripherals of PC, such as monitor, Keyboard, modem and printer were contained in this system in order to comply with the CISPR22 (1997) Rules requirement. The PC operated in the default 640 x 480 / 31.5 KHz VGA Graphic mode. This operating condition was tested and used to collect the included data.

3-2. EUT Exercise Software

The EUT exercise program used during radiated and conducted testing was designed to exercise the various system components in a manner similar to a typical use. The software, contained on a 3-1/2 inch disk, was inserted into driver A and is auto-starting on power-up. Once loaded, the program sequentially exercises each system component in turn. The sequence used is:

1. Read (write) from (to) mass storage device (Disk).
2. Send "H" pattern to video port device (Monitor).
3. Send " H " pattern to parallel port device (Printer).
4. Send " H " pattern to serial port device (Modem).
5. Repeated from 2 to 4 continuously.

As the EUT and Keyboard are strictly input devices, no data is transmitted to (from) them during test. They are, however, continuously scanned for data input activity.

3-3. Special Accessories

No any other special accessory used for compliance testing.

3-4. Equipment Modifications

Not available for this EUT intended for grant.

Applicant Signature :



Date :

Sep. 02, 2002

Type/Printed Name :

Jim Chen

Position :

Mech. Eng./R&D

3.5 Configuration of Tested System

The configuration of tested system is described as the block diagram shown in next page Figure 3.1 and details information of I/O cable and power cord connection are tabulated as Table A and B. The monitor is powered from a floor mounted receptacle (referred to as the wall outlet in the previous described) was tested.

TABLE A - Test Equipment

Item	Equipment	Mfr.	Model/Type No.	I/O Port	FCC ID	Remark
E-1	Mouse	Monterey	MS22	PS/2 Port	FKD46AMS22	EUT
E-2	Monitor	HITACHI	CM753ET	VGA Port	N/A(3)	
E-3	PC	HP	Pavilion 8801		N/A(3)	
E-4	Printer	SII	DPU-414	Printer Port	N/A(3)	
E-5	Modem	ACEEX	DM-1414V	Com Port	N/A(3)	
E-6	Keyboard	HP	5181	PS/2 Port	N/A(3)	

Remark:


- (1) Unless otherwise denoted as EUT in 'Remark' column, device(s) used in tested system is a support equipment.
- (2) Unless otherwise marked as in 'Remark' column, Neutron consigns the supporting equipment(s) to the tested system.
- (3) The support equipment was authorized by Declaration of Confirmation.

Table B. - Informations Cable Information

Item	I/O Cable	Device Connected	Shielded	Ferrite	Detachable/Permanently	Note
C-1	Video Cable	PC-Monitor	Yes	No	Permanently attached	
C-2	Centronics Cable	PC-Printer	Yes	No	Detachable type	
C-3	Keyboard Cable	PC-Keyboard	Yes	No	Permanently attached	
C-4	RS-232 Cable	PC-Modem	Yes	No	Detachable type	
C-5	Mouse Cable	EUT-PC	Yes	No	Permanently attached.	

Note:

- (1) Unless otherwise marked as in 'Remark' column, Neutron consigns the supporting equipment(s) to the tested system.

Figure 3.1 Configuration of Tested System

4. Block Diagram(s)

Figure 4.1 Block diagram of system, Page 13.A

6. Conducted Emission Datas

6.1 The initial step in collecting conducted data is a spectrum analyzer peak scan of the measurement range. significant peaks are then marked as shown on the following data page, and these signals are then quasi-peaked.

Judgement: Passed by **-12.04 dB** in mode of **Neutral** terminal **0.21 MHz**

Freq. (MHz)	Terminal L/N	Measured(dBuV)		Limits(dBuV)		Safe Margins (dBuV)	
		QP-Mode	AV-Mode	QP-Mode	AV-Mode		Note
0.19	Line	51.20	*	64.08	54.08	-12.88	(QP)
0.29	Line	46.01	*	60.55	50.55	-14.54	(QP)
9.16	Line	44.25	*	60.00	50.00	-15.75	(QP)
13.92	Line	47.46	*	60.00	50.00	-12.54	(QP)
16.57	Line	43.97	*	60.00	50.00	-16.03	(QP)
24.27	Line	43.15	*	60.00	50.00	-16.85	(QP)
0.21	Neutral	51.21	*	63.25	54.06	-12.04	(QP)
0.55	Neutral	42.81	*	56.00	46.00	-13.19	(QP)
9.35	Neutral	44.30	*	60.00	50.00	-15.70	(QP)
13.84	Neutral	47.86	*	60.00	50.00	-12.14	(QP)
16.93	Neutral	45.59	*	60.00	50.00	-14.41	(QP)
24.27	Neutral	40.75	*	60.00	50.00	-19.25	(QP)

Remark :

- (1) Reading in which marked as QP means measurements by using are Quasi-Peak Mode with Detector BW=9KHz ; SPA setting in RBW=100KHz,VBW=100KHz, Swp. Time = 0.3 sec./MHz. Reading in which marked as AV means measurements by using are Average Mode with instrument setting in RBW=1MHz,VBW=10Hz, Swp. Time =0.3 sec./MHz.
- (2) All readings are QP Mode value unless otherwise stated AVG in column of 『Note』. If the QP Mode Measured value compliance with the QP Limits and lower than AVG Limits, the EUT shall be deemed to meet both QP & AVG Limits and then only QP Mode was measured, but AVG Mode didn't perform. In this case, a " *" marked in AVG Mode column of Interference Voltage Measured.
- (3) Measuring frequency range from 150KHz to 30MHz.

Review:

Andy Chiu

Test Personnel. :

Cary

Date:

Sep. 02, 2002

7. Radiated Emission Datas

7.1 The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, measured levels, correction factor (includes cable and antenna corrections), the corrected reading, as well as the limit. Explanation of the Correction Factor is given in paragraph 7.2.

Judgement: **Passed by** -4.74 dB in polarity of Vertical 65.12 MHz

Freq. (MHz)	Ant. H/V	Reading(RA) (dBuV)	Corr.Factor(CF) (dB)	Measured(FS) (dBuV/m)	Limits(QP) (dBuV/m)	Safe Margins (dBuV/m)	Note
39.33	V	39.47	- 15.67	23.80	30.00	- 6.20	
50.64	V	35.70	- 15.33	20.37	30.00	- 9.63	
65.12	V	41.72	- 16.46	25.26	30.00	- 4.74	
145.67	H	31.87	- 12.91	25.19	30.00	- 4.81	
175.11	H	33.35	- 14.09	19.26	30.00	- 10.74	
184.40	H	34.77	- 14.90	19.87	30.00	- 10.13	
202.80	V	31.30	- 15.60	23.39	30.00	- 6.61	
202.84	H	36.45	- 15.60	20.85	30.00	- 9.15	
210.21	V	35.12	- 15.49	19.63	30.00	- 10.37	
217.29	H	30.80	- 15.13	15.67	30.00	- 14.33	
224.13	V	31.65	- 14.65	17.00	30.00	- 13.00	
226.51	H	31.17	- 14.49	16.68	30.00	- 13.32	

Remark:

- (1) Reading in which marked as QP or Peak means measurements by using are Quasi-Peak Mode or Peak Mode with Detector BW=120KHz; SPA setting in RBW=1MHz, VBW =1MHz, Swp. Time = 0.3 sec./MHz.
- (2) All readings are Peak unless otherwise stated QP in column of(Note). Peak denotes that the Peak reading compliance with the QP Limits and then QP Mode measurement didn't perform.
- (3) Measuring frequency range from 30MHz to 1000MHz.
- (4) If the peak scan value lower limit more than 20dB, then this signal data does not show in table.

Review:

Andy Chiu

Test Personnel. :

Cary

Date:

Sep. 02, 2002

7-2. Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CL - AG$$

Where FS = Field Strength

RA = Receiver Amplitude

AF = Antenna Factor (1)

CL = Cable Attenuation Factor (1)

AG = Amplifier Gain (1) (2)

Remark :

(1) The Correction Factor = AF + CL - AG, as shown in the data tables' Correction Factor column.

(2) AG is not available for Neutron's Open Site Facility

Example of Calculation:

Assume a Receiver Reading of 23.7 dBuV is obtained with an Antenna Factor of 7.2 dBuV and a Cable Factor of 1.1 dBuV. Then:

1. The Correction Factor will be calculated by

$$\text{Correction Factor} = AF + CL - AG = 7.2 + 1.1 - 0 = 8.3 \text{ (dB)}$$

as shown in the data tables' Correction Factor column.

2. The Field Strength will be calculated by

$$FS = RA + \text{Correction Factor} = 23.7 + 8.3 = 32 \text{ (dBuV/m)}.$$

FS is the value shown in the data tables' Corrected Reading column and RA is the value shown in the data tables' Receiver Reading column. The 32 dBuV/m value was mathematically converted to its corresponding level in uV/m as:

$$\text{Log}^{-1} \left[(32.0 \text{ dBuV/m}) / 20 \right] = 39.8 \text{ (uV/m)}$$

7-3. Correction Factor VS Frequency

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Cable Loss (dB)
30.00	11.10	0.90
35.00	10.80	0.50
40.00	11.20	1.00
45.00	11.50	0.80
50.00	11.30	1.00
55.00	10.50	1.30
60.00	9.90	1.00
65.00	8.70	1.50
70.00	7.60	1.20
75.00	6.40	1.40
80.00	6.10	1.30
85.00	7.00	1.40
90.00	8.00	1.70
95.00	10.00	1.50
100.00	11.20	1.90
110.00	12.60	2.00
120.00	13.00	1.80
130.00	12.50	1.80
140.00	12.00	2.00
150.00	12.00	2.20
160.00	13.20	2.40
170.00	14.80	2.50
180.00	16.30	2.50
190.00	17.00	2.50
200.00	17.30	2.40
225.00	10.50	2.70
250.00	11.70	3.10
275.00	12.80	3.70
300.00	14.50	4.00
325.00	14.00	4.50
350.00	14.20	4.50
375.00	14.60	4.60
400.00	15.10	4.80
450.00	16.20	5.40
500.00	17.60	6.50
550.00	17.80	7.00
600.00	18.40	7.10
650.00	19.50	7.10
700.00	20.80	7.20
750.00	20.50	7.50
800.00	21.10	8.00
850.00	22.40	8.60
900.00	23.50	8.90
950.00	24.00	9.70
1000.00	24.80	10.30

Attachment

Photos of Tested EUT

- 1. Photo # 1 Front View Rear View**
- 2. Photo # 2 Unit Partially Disassembled**
- 3. Photo # 3 Unit Partially Disassembled**
- 4. Photo # 4 Unit Partially Disassembled**

Attachment

User' s Manual