

# **APPLICATION SUBMITTAL**

**FOR  
GRANT OF CERTIFICATION**

**REPORT**


FOR

**MODEL: AP4118  
Tag Programmer  
914 MHz TRANSMITTER**

FOR

**Transcore  
Amtech Technology Center**

8600 Jefferson Street, NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113



# ROGERS LABS, INC.

4405 West 259<sup>th</sup> Terrace  
Louisburg, KS 66053  
Phone / Fax (913) 837-3214

## **ENGINEERING TEST REPORT FOR APPLICATION for GRANT of CERTIFICATION**

FOR  
**CFR 47, PART 15C - INTENTIONAL RADIATORS  
Paragraph 15.249  
Radiated emission limits; general requirements**

For

### **TRANSCORE AMTECH TECHNOLOGY CENTER**

8600 Jefferson Street, NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113  
Richard Nygren

TAG PROGRAMMER  
Model: AP4118  
Frequency 914 MHz  
FCC ID#: FIH AP411805430

Test Date: April 8, 2005

Certifying Engineer:

Scot D. Rogers  
ROGERS LABS, INC.  
4405 West 259<sup>th</sup> Terrace  
Louisburg, KS 66053  
Phone: (913) 837-3214  
FAX: (913) 837-3214

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD:.....4
1) APPLICABLE STANDARDS & TEST PROCEDURES .....4
2.1033(b) Application for Certification ..... 5
2) EQUIPMENT TESTED.....6
3) EQUIPMENT FUNCTION AND TESTING PROCEDURES .....6
4) EQUIPMENT AND CABLE CONFIGURATIONS.....7
Conducted Emission Test Procedure ..... 7
Radiated Emission Test Procedure: ..... 7
5) LIST OF TEST EQUIPMENT .....8
6) UNITS OF MEASUREMENTS .....8
7) TEST SITE LOCATIONS .....9
8) SUBPART B – UNINTENTIONAL RADIATORS .....9
Conducted EMI..... 9
Radiated EMI..... 11
Conducted (7 Highest Emissions) ..... 13
Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 3 meters)..... 14
Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 10 meters)..... 14
Summary of Results for Test System Conducted Emissions ..... 15
Summary of Results for Test System Radiated Emissions ..... 15
Statement of Modifications and Deviations ..... 15
9) SUBPART C - INTENTIONAL RADIATORS ..... 16
15.203 Antenna Requirements..... 16
15.205 Restricted Bands of Operation..... 16
Data: Emissions in Restricted Bands ..... 16
Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands: ..... 17
15.209 Radiated Emissions Limits; General Requirements..... 17
Radiated EMI ..... 17
Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 3 meters)..... 20
Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions: ..... 21
15.249 Operation in the Band 902-928 MHz..... 21
Data: Antenna Substitution Method..... 22
Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions of Intentional Radiator ..... 23
Statement of Modifications and Deviations ..... 23

**FORWARD:**

The following is submitted for consideration in obtaining a Grant of Certification for intentional radiators operating under CFR Paragraph 15.249.

Name of Applicant:

TRANSCORE AMTECH TECHNOLOGY CENTER  
8600 Jefferson Street, NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113

Model: AP4118.

FCC I.D.: FIH AP411805430.

Frequency Range: 914 MHz.

Operating Power: 88.5 db $\mu$ V/m @ 3 meters (3 meter effective radiated measurement).

**1) Applicable Standards & Test Procedures**

a) In accordance with the Federal Communications Code of Federal Regulations, dated October 1, 2004, Part 2, Subpart J, Paragraphs 2.907, 2.911, 2.913, 2.925, 2.926, 2.1031 through 2.1057, and applicable parts of paragraph 15, Part 15C Paragraph 15.249 the following is submitted:

b) Test procedures used are the established Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions as described in the ANSI 63.4-2001 and/or TIA/EIA 603-1.

**2.1033(b) Application for Certification**

- (1) Manufacturer:           TRANSCORE AMTECH TECHNOLOGY CENTER  
8600 Jefferson Street, NE  
Albuquerque, NM 87113
  
- (2) Identification:         Model: AP4118  
FCC I.D.: FIH AP411805430
  
- (3) Instruction Book:  
  
Refer to Exhibit for Instruction Manual.
  
- (4) Description of Circuit Functions:  
  
Refer to Exhibit of Operational Description.
  
- (5) Block Diagram with Frequencies:  
  
Refer to Exhibit of Operational Description.
  
- (6) Report of Measurements:  
  
Report of measurements follows in this Report.
  
- (7) Photographs: Construction, Component Placement, etc.:  
  
Refer to Exhibit for photographs of equipment.
  
- (8) Peripheral Equipment.  
  
This equipment interfaces to a computer through a standard RS-232 interface cable. The unit was tested for compliance using a laptop computer operating manufacturer supplied software to control the EUT. The computer was also connected to a printer through a standard parallel printer cable during testing.
  
- (9) Transition Provisions of 15.37 are not being requested.
  
- (10) Scanning receiver:  
  
Not applicable, the unit is not a scanning receiver.
  
- (11) Not Applicable. The EUT does not operate in the 59 – 64 GHz frequency band.

**2) Equipment Tested**

<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>FCC I.D.#</u>
EUT	AP4118	FIH AP411805430
CPU	Dell PP02X	DoC
Printer	2168A	B94C2121X

**3) Equipment Function and Testing Procedures**

The EUT is a 914 MHz radio transmitter used to program RFID tag information onto RFID tags. The AP4118 TAG PROGRAMMER communicates with a computer through a standard RS-232 serial interface port. Software on the controlling computer directs the programmer with data and information for the tag and issues the write/read commands to the EUT. The unit is marketed for use in programming RFID Tags with unique information required by the service programming the tag. The unit typically operates from a 120 volt AC adapter and must be connected to a computer for operation. For testing purposes, a laptop computer was used as the controlling computer. A printer was also connected to the computer to simulate a typical installation. The device utilizes a permanently connected antenna system with no provision for user replacement. The unit has no provision to connect to other external auxiliary equipment.

#### 4) **Equipment and Cable Configurations**

##### ***Conducted Emission Test Procedure***

The unit typically operates from 120 volt AC power supply supplied by the manufacturer with the equipment. For testing purposes, the power supply was used to power the EUT for conducted emission testing. The test setup, including the EUT, was arranged in a typical equipment configuration and placed on a 1 x 1.5-meter wooden bench, 0.8 meters high located in a screen room. The power lines of the system were isolated from the power source using a standard LISN with a 50- $\mu$ Hy choke. EMI was coupled to the spectrum analyzer through a 0.1  $\mu$ F capacitor internal to the LISN. The LISN was bonded to the ground plane and positioned on the floor beneath the wooden bench supporting the EUT. The power lines and cables were draped over the back edge of the table.

##### ***Radiated Emission Test Procedure:***

The EUT was placed on a rotating 1 x 1.5-meter wooden platform, 0.8 meters above the ground plane at a distance of 3 meters from the FSM antenna. EMI energy was maximized by equipment placement, raising and lowering the FSM antenna, changing the antenna polarization, and by rotating the turntable. Each emission was maximized before data was taken using a spectrum analyzer. Refer to photographs in the exhibits for EUT placement.

### 5) List of Test Equipment

A Hewlett Packard 8591EM Spectrum Analyzer was used as the measuring device for the emissions testing of frequencies below 1 GHz. A Hewlett Packard 8562A Spectrum Analyzer was used as the measuring device for testing the emissions at frequencies above 1 GHz. The analyzer settings used are described in the following table. Refer to the appendix for a complete list of test equipment.

HP 8591 EM ANALYZER SETTINGS		
CONDUCTED EMISSIONS:		
RBW	AVG. BW	DETECTOR FUNCTION
9 kHz	30 kHz	Peak / Quasi Peak
RADIATED EMISSIONS:(Below 30 MHz)		
RBW	AVG. BW	DETECTOR FUNCTION
9 kHz	30 kHz	Peak / Average
RADIATED EMISSIONS:(Above 30 MHz)		
RBW	AVG. BW	DETECTOR FUNCTION
120 kHz	300 kHz	Peak / Quasi Peak
HP 8562A ANALYZER SETTINGS		
RBW	VIDEO BW	DETECTOR FUNCTION
100 kHz	100 kHz	PEAK
1 MHz	1 MHz	Peak / Average

<u>EQUIPMENT MFG.</u>	<u>MODEL</u>	<u>CAL. DATES</u>	<u>DUE.</u>
LISN Comp. Design	FCC-LISN-2-MOD.CD	10/04	10/05
Antenna ARA	BCD-235-B	10/04	10/05
Antenna EMCO	3147	10/04	10/05
Antenna EMCO	3143	5/04	5/05
AnalyzerHP	8591EM	5/04	5/05
AnalyzerHP	8562A	2/05	2/056

### 6) Units of Measurements

Conducted EMI: Data is in dBµV; dB referenced to one microvolt.

Radiated EMI: Data is in dBµV/m; dB/m referenced to one microvolt per meter.



## 7) Test Site Locations

**Conducted EMI:** The AC power line conducted emissions tests were performed in a shielded screen room located at Rogers Labs, Inc., 4405 W. 259<sup>th</sup> Terrace, Louisburg, KS.

**Radiated EMI:** The radiated emissions tests were performed at the 3 meters, Open Area Test Site (OATS) located at Rogers Labs, Inc., 4405 W. 259<sup>th</sup> Terrace, Louisburg, KS.

**Site Approval:** Refer to Appendix for FCC Site Approval Letter, Reference #90910.

**NVLAP Accredited:** Lab Code 200087-0.

## 8) SUBPART B – UNINTENTIONAL RADIATORS

### ***Conducted EMI***

The EUT and support equipment was arranged in a typical equipment configuration and placed on a 1 x 1.5-meter wooden bench 80 cm above the conducting ground plane, floor of a screen room. The bench was positioned 40 cm away from the wall of the screen room. The LISN was positioned on the floor of the screen room 80 cm from the rear of the EUT. The manufacturer supplied AC power supply for the equipment was connected to the LISN. A second LISN was positioned on the floor of the screen room 80 cm from the rear of the supporting equipment. All other power cords except the EUT were then powered from the second LISN. EMI was coupled to the spectrum analyzer through a 0.1  $\mu$ F capacitor, internal to the LISN. Power line conducted emissions testing was carried out individually for each current carrying conductor of the EUT. The excess

length of lead between the system and the LISN receptacle was folded back and forth to form a bundle not exceeding 40 cm in length. The screen room, conducting ground plane, analyzer, and LISN were bonded together to the protective earth ground. Preliminary testing was performed to identify the frequency of each radio frequency emission displaying the highest amplitude. The cables were repositioned to obtain maximum amplitude of measured EMI level. Once the worst-case configuration was identified, plots were made of the EMI from 0.15 MHz to 30 MHz then the data was recorded with maximum conducted emissions levels. Refer to figures one and two displaying plots taken from the spectrum analyzer of conducted emissions.




Figure one Line Conducted Emissions Line 1.




Figure two Line Conducted Emissions Line 2.

**Radiated EMI**

The EUT was arranged in a typical equipment configuration and operated through all of its various modes. Preliminary testing was performed in a screen room with the EUT positioned 1 meter from the FSM. Radiated emissions measurements were performed to identify the frequencies, which produced the highest emissions. Plots were made of the frequency spectrum from 30 MHz to 1,200 MHz for the preliminary testing. Refer to figures three and four for plots of the radiated emissions spectrum taken in a screen room at one meter distance. The highest radiated emission was then re-maximized at the OATS location before final radiated emissions measurements were performed. Final data was taken with the EUT located at the OATS at distances of both 3 and 10 meters between the EUT and the receiving antenna to demonstrate compliance with the general radiated

emissions limits. The frequency spectrum from 30 MHz to 2,000 MHz was searched for

radiated emissions. Measured emission levels were maximized by EUT placement on the table, rotating the turntable through 360 degrees, varying the antenna height between 1 and 4 meters above the ground plane and changing antenna position between horizontal and vertical polarization. Antennas used were Broadband Biconical from 30 to 200 MHz, Biconilog from 30 to 1000 MHz, Log Periodic from 200 MHz to 5 GHz and or, pyramidal horns and mixers from 4 GHz to 10 GHz, notch filters and appropriate amplifiers were utilized.

Sample Calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RFS} &= \text{Radiated Field Strength} \\
 \text{dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m} &= \text{dB}\mu\text{V} + \text{A.F.} - \text{Amplifier Gain} \\
 \text{dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m} &= 52.1 + 5.5 - 30 \\
 &= 27.6
 \end{aligned}$$

MARKER	ACTV DET: PEAK
125.5 MHz	MEAS DET: PEAK QP
30.70 dB $\mu$ V	MKR 125.5 MHz
	30.70 dB $\mu$ V




Figure three Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.

MARKER  
320 MHz  
29.92 dBµV

ACTV DET: PEAK  
MEAS DET: PEAK QP  
MKR 320 MHz  
29.92 dBµV




Figure four Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.

**Conducted (7 Highest Emissions)**

Frequency band (MHz)	L1 Level (dBµV)			L2 Level (dBµV)			CISPR 22 Q.P./AVE Limit(dBµV)
	Peak	Q.P.	AVE	Peak	Q.P.	AVE	
0.15 - 0.5	41.5	37.7	30.5	41.4	39.3	29.6	66 / 56
0.5 - 5	32.0	29.9	27.7	34.1	32.0	30.7	56 / 46
5 - 10	34.5	29.8	19.1	33.2	29.4	19.0	60 / 50
10 - 15	37.1	33.9	28.9	35.4	34.0	28.6	60 / 50
15 - 20	37.3	33.2	27.5	36.2	33.2	27.2	60 / 50
20 - 25	39.1	35.9	30.5	42.8	38.0	32.3	60 / 50
25 - 30	43.3	40.2	38.9	41.9	39.8	38.5	60 / 50

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 10 dB below the limit.

**Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 3 meters)**

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dBµV)	FSM Vert. (dBµV)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dBµV/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dBµV/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 3m (dBµV/m)
48.0	52.1	54.8	5.5	30	27.6	30.3	40.0
75.0	50.0	53.7	6.9	30	26.9	30.6	40.0
125.0	51.7	58.3	6.6	30	28.3	34.9	43.5
140.7	42.7	54.7	8.8	30	21.5	33.5	43.5
175.0	46.4	53.0	8.8	30	25.2	31.8	43.5
225.0	50.0	52.6	10.2	30	30.2	32.8	46.0
300.0	46.7	53.7	13.3	30	30	37.0	46.0

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 10 dB below the limit.

**Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 10 meters)**

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dBµV)	FSM Vert. (dBµV)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 10m (dBµV/m)	RFS Vert. @ 10m (dBµV/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 10m (dBµV/m)
48.0	41.0	45.3	6.5	30	17.5	21.8	30
75.0	40.3	44.0	7.4	30	17.7	21.4	30
125.0	39.9	46.1	7.5	30	17.4	23.6	30
140.7	31.6	43.9	9.3	30	10.9	23.2	30
175.0	35.2	43.4	9.0	30	14.2	22.4	30
225.0	40.0	42.1	11.2	30	21.2	23.3	30
300.0	38.1	42.8	13.9	30	22.0	26.7	37

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 10 dB below the limit.

***Summary of Results for Test System Conducted Emissions***

The conducted emissions for the EUT meet the requirements for CISPR 22 and FCC Part 15B CLASS B Digital Devices. The EUT had a 19.2 dB minimum margin below the quasi-peak limit and an 11.1 dB margin below the average limit for Class B equipment. Other emissions were present with recorded data representing worst-case amplitudes.

***Summary of Results for Test System Radiated Emissions***

The radiated emissions for the EUT meet the requirements for CISPR 22 and FCC Part 15B CLASS B Digital Devices. The EUT had an 8.6 dB minimum margin below the FCC quasi-peak Class B limit and a 6.4 dB minimum margin below the FCC/CISPR quasi-peak Class B limit. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 10 dB below the limit.

***Statement of Modifications and Deviations***

No modifications to the EUT were required for the unit to meet the CISPR 22 or FCC Part 15B CLASS B emissions standards. There were no deviations or exceptions to the specifications.

### 9) Subpart C - Intentional Radiators

As per CFR Part 15, Subpart C, paragraph 15.201 the following information is submitted.

#### 15.203 Antenna Requirements

The unit is produced with a permanently attached antenna and has no provision for user service, replacement, or antenna modification. The requirements of 15.203 are fulfilled and there are no deviations or exceptions to the specification.

#### 15.205 Restricted Bands of Operation

Spurious emissions falling in the restricted frequency bands of operation were measured at a distance of three meters at the OATS. The EUT utilizes frequency, determining circuitry, which generates harmonics falling in the restricted bands. Emissions were checked at the OATS, using appropriate antennas or pyramidal horns, amplification stages, and a spectrum analyzer. No other significant emission was observed which fell into the restricted bands of operation.

Sample Calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RFS (dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m)} &= \text{FSM(dB}\mu\text{V)} + \text{A.F.(dB)} - \text{Gain(dB)} \\ &= 50.0 + 6.9 - 30 \\ &= 26.9 \end{aligned}$$

#### Data: Emissions in Restricted Bands

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dBμV)	FSM Vert. (dBμV)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dBμV/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dBμV/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 3m (dBμV/m)
75.0	50.0	53.7	6.9	30	26.9	30.6	40.0
120.0	46.1	54.9	5.9	30	22.0	30.8	43.5
125.0	51.7	58.3	6.6	30	28.3	34.9	43.5
2742.0	21.0	20.0	35.4	20	36.4	35.4	54.0
3656.0	20.1	21.3	39.8	20	39.9	41.1	54.0
4570.0	20.7	21.1	44.2	20	44.9	45.3	54.0



***Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands:***

The radiated emissions for the EUT meet the requirements for FCC Part 15C Intentional Radiators. The EUT had an 8.6 dB minimum margin below the limits. Average, Quasi-peak, and peak amplitudes were checked for compliance with the regulations. No other emissions were found in the restricted frequency bands. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the FCC Limits.

***15.209 Radiated Emissions Limits; General Requirements*****Radiated EMI**

The EUT was arranged in a typical equipment configuration and operated through all of its various modes. Preliminary testing was performed in a screen room with the EUT positioned 1 meter from the FSM. Radiated emissions measurements were performed to identify the frequencies, which produced the highest emissions. Emissions were checked in the screen room from 30 to 10,000 MHz and plots were made of the frequency spectrum from 30 MHz to 10,000 MHz for the preliminary testing. Refer to figures five through eight displaying plots made of emissions spectrum displayed on the analyzer taken in the screen room. The highest radiated emission was then re-maximized at the OATS location before final radiated emissions measurements were performed. Final data was taken with the EUT located at the open area test site at a distance of 3 meters between the EUT and the receiving antenna. The frequency spectrum from 30 MHz to 10,000 MHz was searched for radiated emissions. Measured emission levels were maximized by EUT placement on the table, rotating the turntable through 360 degrees, varying the antenna height between 1 and 4 meters above the ground plane and changing antenna polarization

between horizontal and vertical. Antennas used were Broadband Biconical from 30 MHz to 200 MHz, Biconilog from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz, Log Periodic from 200 MHz to 5 GHz, and/or Pyramidal Horns from 4 GHz to 10 GHz.

Sample Calculations:

RFS = Radiated Field Strength

$\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m} = \text{dB}\mu\text{V} + \text{A.F.} - \text{Amplifier Gain}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m} &= 52.1 + 5.5 - 30 \\ &= 27.6 \end{aligned}$$


MARKER  
 915 MHz  
 60.07 dB $\mu$ V

ACTV DET: PEAK  
 MEAS DET: PEAK QP  
 MKR 915 MHz  
 60.07 dB $\mu$ V

LOG REF 80.0 dB $\mu$ V

10  
 dB/  
 #ATN  
 0 dB

VA SB  
 SC FC  
 CORR



START 200 MHz

#IF BW 120 kHz

AVG BW 300 kHz

STOP 1.200 GHz

SWP 208 msec

Figure five Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.




Figure six Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.





Figure seven Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.

MARKER  
914.09 MHz  
63.10 dB $\mu$ V

ACTV DET: PEAK  
MEAS DET: PEAK QP  
MKR 914.09 MHz  
63.10 dB $\mu$ V



START 902.00 MHz STOP 928.00 MHz  
#IF BW 120 kHz AVG BW 300 kHz SWP 20.0 msec

Figure eight Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.

**Data: General Radiated Emissions from test system (Data taken at 3 meters)**

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dB $\mu$ V)	FSM Vert. (dB $\mu$ V)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dB $\mu$ V/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dB $\mu$ V/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 3m (dB $\mu$ V/m)
48.0	52.1	54.8	5.5	30	27.6	30.3	40.0
75.0	50.0	53.7	6.9	30	26.9	30.6	40.0
125.0	51.7	58.3	6.6	30	28.3	34.9	43.5
140.7	42.7	54.7	8.8	30	21.5	33.5	43.5
175.0	46.4	53.0	8.8	30	25.2	31.8	43.5
225.0	50.0	52.6	10.2	30	30.2	32.8	46.0
300.0	46.7	53.7	13.3	30	30	37.0	46.0

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 10 dB below the limit.

**Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions:**

The radiated emissions for the EUT meet the requirements for FCC Part 15C Intentional Radiators. The EUT had an 8.6 dB minimum margin below the limits. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the FCC Limits.

**15.249 Operation in the Band 902-928 MHz**

The power output was measured on an open field test site @ 3 meters. Data was taken per Paragraph 2.1046(a) and 15.249.

- (a) The EUT was placed on a wooden turntable 0.8 meters above the ground plane and at a distance of 3 meters from the FSM antenna. The amplitude of the carrier frequency was measured using a spectrum analyzer. The amplitude of the emission was then recorded from the analyzer display.
- (b) Emissions radiated outside of the specified bands, except for harmonics, shall be attenuated by at least 50 dB below the level of the fundamental or to the general radiated emission limits in 15.209, whichever is the lesser attenuation. The amplitudes of each spurious emission were measured at a distance of 3 meters from the FSM antenna at the OATS. The amplitude of each spurious emission was maximized by varying the FSM antenna height, polarization, and by rotating the turntable. A Biconilog Antenna was used for measuring emissions from 30 to 1000 MHz, a Log Periodic Antenna for 200 to 5000 MHz, and Pyramidal Horn Antennas from 4 GHz to 10 GHz. Emissions were measured in dB $\mu$ V/m @ 3 meters.

Sample calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dB}\mu\text{v/m@ 3m} &= \text{FSM} + \text{A.F.} - \text{Amplifier Gain} \\ &= 64.8 + 23.7 - 0 \\ &= 88.5 \end{aligned}$$

Emission Frequency (MHz)	FSM Horz. (dBµV)	FSM Vert. (dBµV)	Ant. Factor (dB)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dBµV/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dBµV/m)	Limit @ 3m (dBµV/m)
914.0	64.8	54.1	23.7	0	88.5	77.8	94
1828.0	29.5	25.3	29.7	20	39.2	35.0	54
2742.0	21.0	20.0	35.4	20	36.4	35.4	54
3656.0	20.1	21.3	39.8	20	39.9	41.1	54
4570.0	20.7	21.1	44.2	20	44.9	45.3	54

Note: Level was measured @ 3 meter site.

The EUT power output was also measured using the antenna substitution method. The amplitude of each emission was recorded from the analyzer display. The EUT was replaced by a dipole antenna drive by a frequency generator. The generator output was increased until the received emission level was equal to the level take from the EUT. The antenna was removed and the output amplitude of the generator was measured and recorded from the spectrum analyzer.

**Data: Antenna Substitution Method.**

Frequency of Emission (MHz)	Amplitude of EUT Spurious emission		Signal level to substitution antenna required to reproduce		Emission level below carrier		Limit (dBc)
	Horizontal (dBm)	Vertical (dBm)	Horizontal (dBm)	Vertical (dBm)	Horizontal (dBc)	Vertical (dBc)	
914.0	-42.2	-52.9	-11.2	-20.5	-	-	-

### ***Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions of Intentional Radiator***

The EUT had the highest emission of 88.5 dB $\mu$ V/m at 3 meters at the fundamental frequency of operation. This is below the limit of 94.0 dB $\mu$ V/m required by 15.249. The harmonic emissions were also measured and compared to the specifications of 15.209 and found to be below the required limits. There are no measurable emissions in the restricted bands other than those recorded in this report. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the FCC Limits. The specifications of 15.249 were met; there are no deviations or exceptions to the requirements.

### ***Statement of Modifications and Deviations***

No modifications to the EUT were required for the unit to meet the FCC Part 15C emissions standards. There were no deviations to the specifications.

## APPENDIX

Model: AP4118 TAG PROGRAMMER

1. Test Equipment List
2. Rogers Qualifications
3. FCC Site Approval Letter



**TEST EQUIPMENT LIST FOR ROGERS LABS, INC.**

The test equipment used is maintained in calibration and good operating condition. Use of this calibrated equipment ensures measurements are traceable to national standards.

<u>List of Test Equipment:</u>	<u>Calibration Date:</u>
Scope: Tektronix 2230	2/05
Wattmeter: Bird 43 with Load Bird 8085	2/05
Power Supplies: Sorensen SRL 20-25, SRL 40-25, DCR 150, DCR 140	2/05
H/V Power Supply: Fluke Model: 408B (SN: 573)	2/05
R.F. Generator: HP 606A	2/05
R.F. Generator: HP 8614A	2/05
R.F. Generator: HP 8640B	2/05
Spectrum Analyzer: HP 8562A,	2/05
Mixers: 11517A, 11970A, 11970K, 11970U, 11970V, 11970W	
HP Adapters: 11518, 11519, 11520	
Spectrum Analyzer: HP 8591 EM	5/04
Frequency Counter: Leader LDC 825	2/05
Antenna: EMCO Biconilog Model: 3143	5/04
Antenna: EMCO Log Periodic Model: 3147	10/04
Antenna: Antenna Research Biconical Model: BCD 235	10/04
Antenna: EMCO Dipole Set 3121C	2/05
Antenna: C.D. B-101	2/05
Antenna: Solar 9229-1 & 9230-1	2/05
Antenna: EMCO 6509	2/05
Audio Oscillator: H.P. 201CD	2/05
R.F. Power Amp 65W Model: 470-A-1010	2/05
R.F. Power Amp 50W M185- 10-501	2/05
R.F. PreAmp CPPA-102	2/05
LISN 50 $\mu$ Hy/50 ohm/0.1 $\mu$ f	10/04
LISN Compliance Eng. 240/20	2/05
Peavey Power Amp Model: IPS 801	2/05
Power Amp A.R. Model: 10W 1010M7	2/05
Power Amp EIN Model: A301	2/05
ELGAR Model: 1751	2/05
ELGAR Model: TG 704A-3D	2/05
ESD Test Set 2010i	2/05
Fast Transient Burst Generator Model: EFT/B-101	2/05
Current Probe: Singer CP-105	2/05
Current Probe: Solar 9108-1N	2/05
Field Intensity Meter: EFM-018	2/05
KEYTEK Ecat Surge Generator	2/05
Shielded Room 5 M x 3 M x 3.0 M (101 dB Integrity)	
2/28/2005	

**QUALIFICATIONS**

Of

***SCOT D. ROGERS, ENGINEER*****ROGERS LABS, INC.**


Mr. Rogers has approximately 16 years experience in the field of electronics. Six years working in the automated controls industry and 6 years working with the design, development and testing of radio communications and electronic equipment.

**POSITIONS HELD:**

Systems Engineer:	A/C Controls Mfg. Co., Inc. 6 Years
Electrical Engineer:	Rogers Consulting Labs, Inc. 5 Years
Electrical Engineer:	Rogers Labs, Inc. Current

**EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND:**

- 1) Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from Kansas State University.
- 2) Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration  
Kansas State University.
- 3) Several Specialized Training courses and seminars pertaining to Microprocessors and Software programming.



Scot D. Rogers

March 8, 2004

Date

1/11/03

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****Laboratory Division  
7435 Oakland Mills Road  
Columbia, MD 21046**

August 15, 2003

Registration Number: 90910

Rogers Labs, Inc.  
4405 West 259th Terrace  
Louisburg, KS 66053

Attention: Scot Rogers


Re: Measurement facility located at Louisburg  
3 & 10 meter site  
Date of Renewal: August 15, 2003

Dear Sir or Madam:

Your request for renewal of the registration of the subject measurement facility has been received. The information submitted has been placed in your file and the registration has been renewed. The name of your organization will remain on the list of facilities whose measurement data will be accepted in conjunction with applications for Certification under Parts 15 or 18 of the Commission's Rules. Please note that the file must be updated for any changes made to the facility and the registration must be renewed at least every three years.

Measurement facilities that have indicated that they are available to the public to perform measurement services on a fee basis may be found on the FCC website [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov) under E-Filing, OET Equipment Authorization Electronic Filing, Test Firms.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Parrish  
Information Technician