

RE: Schlumberger Resource Management

FCC ID: F9CCMM1303
F9C25-1962

I really want to move on these Applications and am not willing to make you or your client wait any additional time for a response. I have thought long and on your proposed Grant notes and I am convinced that this is not the appropriate way to go. The TCB process was set up to handle "routine" equipment authorizations only. In the case of RF exposure we are given a set of "canned" statements, which are deemed appropriate for most equipment. And the Commission has made it very clear they do not want deviations by the TCBs from that text

The definitions of Fixed, Mobile and Portable are very arbitrary. Fixed devices are placed on outdoor permanent structures at least two (and sometimes 10) meters away from all persons. Portable devices concern themselves with the near-field phenomenon associated with the maximum power density of jumbled E and H fields as they leave a radiating structure. At in-between distances you have the "Mobile" designation – or devices that operate with safe distances from 20 cm (~7 inches) to 2 meters (~6.5 feet).

I propose we use the original Grant notes without changes.

Response: Schlumberger will accept the mobile grant condition for these devices. I have also included an RF Hazard statement for this application just in case and a user manual statement.