

Non-Conformities FCC ID: EWQ100WA (CKC CS Ref # 91382-02-FCC)

The items listed below represent requests for information following review of this application for certification under United States (FCC) regulations. Further question may arise pending review of responses to these items.

OK	ID	#	Non-Conformity or Comment	Submitted Response	Respondent / Date of Response
X		1	On the CKC CS FCC application form, the grantee code used was EWQ which is registered to Waseca MN address, however the application form lists Liberty Lake as the responsible party address. Can you please confirm the correct information.	Updated application form provided.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		2	For the label on the 100WA, the portion of the enclosure appears detachable. The FCC ID must be inseparable from the equipment. Can you please clarify the label location information.	this cover is not designed to be removed, but as an easy install for the mfg process after the unit is potted inside. it is extremely difficult to remove and general tabs are broken off in trying to remove. it's intent is to be installed permanently.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		3	Both the 100WA and 100WR users manuals are missing the statement required by 15.21 "Changes or modifications...". Additionally, there is no requirement to have the 15.19 "Two part warning" statement is twice in the users manual. Having the statement once in the manual is okay	Updated users manuals provided.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		4	The block diagram shows a remote antenna option. No data was presented in the test reports regarding this option. Please clarify.	we ran out of time to measure the remote antenna so it is not part of this initial filing, why not on the apps. will do a PC II later	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		5	Low power report page 9, first plot – what is the meaning of the green line? For both plots on this page, Is this data corrected or uncorrected?	the green line is a left over display marker that the test engineer forgot to turn off. the data is corrected data.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		6	Please explain comment on bottom of page 9: "141uV is and interferer"	we had an interferer come up, though it is below the spec.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		7	For the FHSS report, please explain the plots at the bottom of page 29	these are standard plots we put in this section. the first is the peak level and the second demonstrates the 10Hz averaging.	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10
X		8	For the FHSS report, please clarify why band edge measurements	this is the worst case, highest power	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10

			were shown only for power setting E		
X		9	The FCC application form is missing required information: this product is a composite equipment subject to an additional authorization. Please make appropriate changes to the application form.	Updated application	Jay Holcomb 11/23/10