

Subject:  
Re: E09G5R  
Date:  
Sun, 8 Oct 2000 12:26:29 -0700  
From:  
"Acme Testing" <acmetest@acmetesting.com>  
To:  
"Certification Manager" <certification@curtis-straus.com>  
References:  
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7

Thank you Jon,

It has been a pleasure working with you on these project and I look forward to completing more applications with Curtis-Straus.

Regards,

Desiree

----- Original Message -----

From: Certification Manager <certification@curtis-straus.com>  
To: Acme Testing <acmetest@acmetesting.com>  
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2000 2:02 PM  
Subject: Re: E09G5R

> Dear Desiree,  
>  
> Looks good to me. I should wrap this one up on Monday.  
>  
> -Jon.  
>  
> Acme Testing wrote:  
>  
>> Dear Jon,  
>>  
>> I have attached a revised page 5 of the test report as per request #6.  
>>  
>> Let me know if this information is sufficient.  
>>  
>> Regards,  
>>  
>> Desiree

>>

>> PS: I just mailed another application your way.

>>

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: Certification Manager <certification@curtis-straus.com>

>> To: Acme Testing <acmetest@acmetesting.com>

>> Sent: Friday, October 06, 2000 7:22 AM

>> Subject: Re: E09G5R

>>

>>> All appears in order except item 6 of my original request.

>>>

>>> 6. Please tell me where to find information responsive to the 2.1033  
>>> (c) (8) section of the rules. It appears to be 4.2VDC, but how much  
>>> current goes into the final stage? You may make a statement along the  
>>> lines of that found in FCC Application EO9DATAPAC, "Note:due to the  
>>> product design it was not directly possible to physically measure the  
>>> collector current (IC) and collector voltage (VC) directly for the  
>>> exciter. The RF/PM 2105 module operates at approximately 50%  
efficiency

>>> over the 951-962 MHz band at the rated temperature and power levels  
>>> tested. Power is controlled by varying the voltage to the RF/PM 2105  
>>> module.."

>>>

>>> I do not appear to have a response from you addressing this issue. If  
I

>> have

>>> overlooked it please accept my apologies and direct my attention  
>> accordingly.

>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>>

>>> Jon.

>>>

>>> Acme Testing wrote:

>>>

>>>> Dear Jon,

>>>>

>>>> Could you let me know if there are any outstanding issues on this  
>>>> application.

>>>>

>>>> Regards,

>>>>

>>>> Desiree

>>>> ----- Original Message -----

>>>> From: Certification Manager <certification@curtis-straus.com>

>>>> To: Acme Testing <acmetest@acmetesting.com>

>>>> Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2000 6:15 AM  
>>>> Subject: Re: E09G5R  
>>>>  
>>>>> Dear Desiree,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The FCC requires a tune up procedure to be filed with a request  
for  
>>>>> certification of a licensed device, see 2.1033(c)(9). Usually  
this is  
>> a  
>>>>> procedure used in final assembly or QC to set the power level in  
the  
>> final  
>>>>> stage.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> -Jon.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Acme Testing wrote:  
>>>>>  
>>>>>> Dear Jon,  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> I am working on the answers for items 1-7. The client requested  
>>>>>> clarification of item # 7;  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 7. Please provide the tune up procedure for the unit or  
indicate if  
>>>>> none is  
>>>>>> used.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> In response to item #8, I have attached the test set up photos  
for  
>> your  
>>>>>>> review.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I will forward all other information as it is received.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Regards,  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Desiree Patterson  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> ----- Original Message -----  
>>>>>>> From: Certification Manager <certification@curtis-straus.com>  
>>>>>>> To: <acmetest@acmetesting.com>  
>>>>>>> Sent: Thursday, September 28, 2000 2:15 PM  
>>>>>>> Subject: E09G5R  
>>>>>>>

>>>>>> Dear Mr. Slavens,  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> We have the following issues to resolve on this application:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 1. I cannot read the manual provided due to the low  
resolution.  
>>>> Please  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> provide a manual scanned at a higher resolution.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 2. I do not see an RF exposure exhibit. I will be looking  
for  
>>>>>> appropriate warnings about keeping a separation distance  
between  
>> the  
>>>>>> antenna and persons. I expect something of the style:  
CAUTION:  
>> To  
>>>>>> comply with FCC RF exposure compliance requirements, a  
separation  
>>>>>> distance of at least 5.0 cm must be maintained between the  
antenna  
>> of  
>>>>>> this device and all persons."  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 3. Your label mentions part 15. I assume this is for the  
>> computer  
>>>>>> portion of this device. I note that the statement used does  
not  
>>>> contain  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> the correct language required by 15.19 (c). I will approve  
this  
>> Part  
>>>>>> 101 submittal, but you should let your client know that they  
are  
>> not  
>>>>>> complying with the FCC Part 15 requirements with this label.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 4. Please send a signed copy of the agency letter. You can  
send  
>> them  
>>>>>> via fax to my attention at 978-486-8828.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 5. Similarly please send in a signed copy of the letter  
>> requesting

>>>>>> confidentiality. It would be nice if the fill-in-the-blanks  
>> (COMPANY  
>>>>>> NAME) items were actually filled in with Itron. If a FOIA is  
ever  
>>>>>> filled for these items, it would stand up a lot better if it  
>> appeared  
>>>>>> that the company took the letter seriously.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 6. Please tell me where to find information responsive to the  
>> 2.1033  
>>>>>> (c) (8) section of the rules. It appears to be 4.2VDC, but  
how  
>> much  
>>>>>> current goes into the final stage? You may make a statement  
along  
>> the  
>>>>>> lines of that found in FCC Application EO9DATAPAC, "Note:due  
to  
>> the  
>>>>>> product design it was not directly possible to physically  
measure  
>> the  
>>>>>> collector current (IC) and collector voltage (VC) directly for  
the  
>>>>>> exciter. The RF/PM 2105 module operates at approximately 50%  
>>> efficiency  
>>>>>> over the 951-962 MHz band at the rated temperature and power  
>> levels  
>>>>>> tested. Power is controlled by varying the voltage to the  
RF/PM  
>> 2105  
>>>>>> module.."  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 7. Please provide the tune up procedure for the unit or  
indicate  
>> if  
>>>>>> none is used.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 8. I couldn't find the two test setup photos referenced in  
the  
>> test  
>>>>>> report. Please direct me to where they are or send them to  
me.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Sincerely,  
>>>>>>

