

**EMC Technologies Pty Ltd**

ABN 82 057 105 549
176 Harrick Road
Keilor Park
Victoria Australia 3042

Ph: + 613 9365 1000
Fax: + 613 9331 7455
email: melb@emctech.com.au

SAR Test Report

Report Number: M110361_FCC_62205ANHMW_SAR_5.6

Test Sample: Portable TABLET Computer
Radio Modules: WLAN INTEL CENTRINO ADVANCED-N
6205(TAYLOR PEAK) 11A/B/G/N
62205ANHMW & Bluetooth
BCM92070MD_REF6

Host PC Model Number: T731, TH701

PC System FCC ID: EJE-WL0026

PC System IC: 337J-WL0026

Date of Issue: 19th May 2011

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.
www.emctech.com.au

CONTENTS

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION	3
2.0 INTRODUCTION.....	4
3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION	4
3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details.....	4
3.2 DUT (Bluetooth) Details.....	7
3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details.....	8
3.4 Test sample Accessories.....	8
3.4.1 Battery Types.....	8
4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER	9
4.1 Battery Status	9
5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY	10
5.1 Location	10
5.2 Accreditations	10
5.3 Environmental Factors.....	10
6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....	11
6.1 Probe Positioning System.....	11
6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance	11
6.3 System Verification	11
6.3.1 System Verification Results @ 5GHz	11
6.3.2 Deviation from reference system verification values	12
6.3.3 Liquid Depth 15cm	12
6.4 Phantom Properties	12
6.5 Tissue Material Properties.....	13
6.5.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity.....	14
6.6 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test.....	14
6.7 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom	14
7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY5.....	15
8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	16
9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS.....	18
10.0 TEST METHODOLOGY	19
10.1 List of All Test Cases (Test Frequencies, User Modes)	20
11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	21
11.1 5GHz Band SAR Results.....	21
12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	24
APPENDIX A1 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	26
APPENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	27
APPENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS	28
APPENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	29
APPENDIX A5 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	30
APPENDIX A6 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	31
APPENDIX A7 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	32
APPENDIX A8 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	33
APPENDIX B PLOTS OF THE SAR MEASUREMENTS.....	34
APPENDIX C CALIBRATION DOCUMENTS	135



SAR TEST REPORT**Report Number: M110361_FCC_62205ANHMW_SAR_5.6****PC System FCC ID:** EJE-WL0026**PC System IC:** 337J-WL0026**1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION****Table 1**


Test Sample:	Portable TABLET Computer
Model Name:	T731, TH701
Radio Modules:	WLAN 62205ANHMW & Bluetooth BCM92070MD_REF6
Interface Type:	Half Mini-PCI Module
Device Category:	Portable Transmitter
Test Device:	Pre-Production Unit
FCC System ID:	<u>EJE-WL0026</u>
PC System IC:	<u>337J-WL0026</u>
RF exposure Category:	General Population/Uncontrolled

Manufacturer: Fujitsu Limited

Test Standard/s:

1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)
2. Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands), RSS-102

Statement Of Compliance: The Fujitsu TABLET Computer T731, TH701 with Wireless LAN model 62205ANHMW complied* with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements.

Test Dates: 6th -10th May 2011**Test Officer:****Peter Jakubiec****Authorised Signature:****Chris Zombolas
Technical Director**

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.



SAR TEST REPORT
Portable TABLET Computer
Model: T731, TH701
Report Number: M110361_FCC_62205ANHMW_SAR_5.6

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Testing was performed on the Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T731, TH701 with INTEL Half Mini-PCI Wireless LAN Module (INTEL CENTRINO ADVANCED-N 6205(TAYLOR PEAK) 802.11a/b/g/n), Model: 62205ANHMW. & BROADCOM Bluetooth Module, Model: BCM92070MD_REF6. The INTEL CENTRINO ADVANCED-N 6205(TAYLOR PEAK) 11A/B/G/N module is an OEM product. The Half Mini-PCI Wireless LAN (WLAN) was tested in the dedicated host – LIFEBOOK T SERIES, Model T731, TH701. The system tested will be referred to as the DUT throughout this report.

There are two variants of the Fujitsu Tablet PC, Model: T731, TH701 covered in this report. One that is equipped with the modular certified low power Bluetooth transmitter with built-in antenna, and one variant that does not contain Bluetooth transmitter or Bluetooth antenna FCC ID: EJE-WL0026 IC: 337J-WL0026.

SAR testing was conducted on the sample that is equipped with the Bluetooth transmitter and Bluetooth antenna. Additionally the test sample had the WWAN antenna present during testing. The WWAN antenna was not transmitting and the distance between WWAN antenna and any other transmitting antenna was at least 12mm.

The measurement test results mentioned hereon only apply to the 5GHz frequency band; an additional report titled "M110361_FCC_62205ANHMW_SAR_2.4" applies to the 2450MHz frequency range.

3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

(Information supplied by the client)

3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details

Table 2

Transmitter:	Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module
Wireless Module:	Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6205(Taylor Peak) (11a/b/g/n)
Model Number:	62205ANHMW
Manufacturer:	Intel Corporation
Modulation Type:	DSSS for 802.11b OFDM for 802.11g OFDM for 802.11a OFDM for 802.11n
5GHz (802.11a/n)	BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM
2.4GHz (802.11b/g/n)	CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM
Maximum Data Rate:	802.11b = 11 Mbps, 802.11g and 802.11a = 54 Mbps 802.11n = 450 Mbps
Frequency Range:	2.412–2.462 GHz for 11b/g/n 5.18-5.32 GHz and 5.745-5.825 GHz for 11a/n
Number of Channels:	11 channels for 11b/g/n 24 channels for 11a/n with 20MHz Bandwidth 18 channels for 11n with 40MHz Bandwidth
Antenna Types:	Nissei Inverted F (1 st , 2 nd), Yokowo Monopole (3 rd) Location: Left Top edge of LCD screen(1 st), Right Top edge of LCD screen(2 nd)
Power Supply:	3.3 VDC from PCI bus



Table 3 Channels and Output power setting

Mode	Channel	Frequency	Data Rate	Tx BW	Average Power Target (dBm)		
		(MHz)	(Mbps)	(MHz)	Tx A		Tx B
802.11b	1	2412	1	-	15.5		15.5
	6	2437					
	11	2462			14		14
	13	2472					
802.11g	1	2412	6	-	14		14
	2	2417			16		16.5
	6	2437					
	10	2457			15		15
	11	2462					
	13	2472			16		16.5
802.11n	1	2412	HT0	20		13	
	2	2417			16	16.5	
	6	2437					12.5
	10	2457			14.5	14.5	
	11	2462					9
	13	2472			10.5	11	
	3F	2422	12.5	13			
	4F	2427			16	16	
	5F		12.5	13			
	6F	2437			10.5	11.5	
	7F		9.5	10			
	8F	2447			14.5	14.5	
	9F	2452	14.5	14.5			
	802.11a	36			5180	6	-
40		5200	14.5	14.5			
44		5220			14.5		
48		5240	14.5	14.5			
52		5260			14		
56		5280	14.5	14.5			
60		5300			14.5		
64		5320	14.5	14.5			
100		5500			14.5		
104		5520	14	14.5			
108		5540			14.5		
112		5560	14	14.5			
116		5580			14.5		
120		5600	14	14.5			
124		5620			14.5		
128		5640	14	14.5			
132		5660			14.5		
136		5680	14	14.5			
140		5700			14.5		
149		5745	14.5	14.5			
153		5765			14.5		
157		5785	14.5	14.5			

802.11a	161	5805	6	-	14.5		14.5	
	165	5825						
802.11n	36	5180	HT0	20	14		14	
	40	5200						
	44	5220			14.5		14.5	
	48	5240			14.5		14.5	
	52	5260						
	56	5280						
	60	5300						
	64	5320			14.5		14.5	
	100	5500			14.5		14.5	
	104	5520						
	108	5540						
	112	5560						
	116	5580			14		14.5	
	120	5600						
	124	5620						
	128	5640						
	132	5660			14.5			
	136	5680			14			
	140	5700			14			
	149	5745			14.5		14.5	
	153	5765						
	157	5785						
	161	5805						
	165	5825		40 Wide				
	38	5190					9.5	9.5
	46	5230					14.5	14.5
	54	5270					14.5	14.5
	62	5310					9.5	9.5
	102	5510					12	12
	110	5550					14.5	14.5
	118	5590						
	126	5630						
	134	5670						
	151	5755					14.5	14.5
	159	5795						

NOTE: For 2450 MHz SAR results refer to report titled "M110361_FCC_62205ANHWMW_SAR_2.4".



3.2 DUT (Bluetooth) Details

Table 4

Transmitter:	Bluetooth
Model Number:	BCM92070MD_REF6
Manufacturer:	Broadcom
Network Standard:	Bluetooth™ RF Test Specification
Modulation Type:	Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)
Frequency Range:	2402 MHz to 2480 MHz
Number of Channels:	79
Carrier Spacing:	1.0 MHz
Antenna Types:	Monopole Antenna included in module Module location: Right side of hinge
Max. Output Power:	4 dBm
Reference Oscillator:	16 MHz (Built-in)
Power Supply:	3.3 VDC from host.

Table 5: Frequency allocation:

Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Bluetooth Utility power setting
1	2402	4dBm
2	2403	
3	2404	
.	.	
.	.	
39	2440	
40	2441	
41	2442	
.	.	
.	.	
77	2478	
78	2479	
79	2480	



Accreditation No. 5292

3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details

Table 6

Host notebook:	LifeBook T series
Model Name:	T731, TH701
Serial Number:	Pre-production Sample
Manufacturer:	FUJITSU LIMITED
CPU Type and Speed:	Core i7-2620M 2.7GHz
LCD:	12.1" WXGA(1280x800) : HV121WX6-100
Graphics chip:	None
Wired LAN:	Intel 82579LM : 10 Base-T/100 Base-TX/1000Base-T
Modem:	Agere MDC1.5 modem Model: D40
Port Replicator Model:	FPCPR105
AC Adapter Model:	80W: ADP-80NB A(Delta), SEE100P2-19.0(Sanken), PJW1942N(Tamura), PJW1942NA(Tamura)
Voltage:	19 V
Current Specs:	4.22A
Watts:	80W
Radio Modules:	WLAN (Taylor Peak IEEE802.11a/b/g/n, 2x2)
WLAN Model Number:	62205ANHWMW
WLAN Manufacturer:	Intel Corp.
Interface Type:	Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module
Radio Modules:	Bluetooth module
Model Number:	BCM92070MD_REF6
Manufacturer:	Broadcom
Interface Type:	USB

3.4 Test sample Accessories

3.4.1 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion battery is used to power the DUT.

Table 7 Battery Details

Model	FMVNB171
V/mAh	10.8V/5800mAh



4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER

INTEL's DRTU test tool was used to configure the WLAN for testing. The DUT Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band and 24 channels within the frequency range 5180 to 5825 MHz. In The frequency range 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz the DUT operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. Within the 5180 to 5825 MHz frequency range the device operates in OFDM mode only. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu.

The Bluetooth module operates over 79 channels within the frequency range 2402 to 2480 MHz. It is possible for the Bluetooth module to operate simultaneously with the WLAN module (co-transmission). However, due to low output power of Bluetooth module (less than 5mW), standalone SAR measurement for Bluetooth module was not conducted (as per **KDB 616217**).

The test results mentioned in this report only apply to the 5.6 GHz frequency range. An additional report titled "M110361_FCC_62205ANHMMW_SAR_2.4" is specific to the 2450MHz range.

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode.

The frequency span of the for this band was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. The EUT is capable of using two antennas transmitting simultaneously (HT8 DATA mode) the power level is 3dB lower (50%) than if a single antenna was transmitting. There were no wires or other connections to the DUT during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device's TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The Transmitter power was set to be equal or higher than power specified by the manufacturer.

4.1 Battery Status

The DUT battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the DUT, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.



5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY

5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd
176 Harrick Road
Keilor Park, (Melbourne) Victoria
Australia 3042

Telephone: +61 3 9365 1000
Facsimile: +61 3 9331 7455
email: melb@emctech.com.au
website: www.emctech.com.au

5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA).
NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

Table 8

AS/NZS 2772.1:	RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement
ACMA:	Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003, Amdt (No. 2):2011
FCC:	Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01
EN 50360: 2001	Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)
EN 62209-1:2006	Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures. Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (300 MHz to 3 GHz)
*EN62209-2:2010	Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)
IEEE 1528: 2003	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

*NATA accreditation pending – standard to be adopted by ACMA.
Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within $21 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, the humidity was in the range 45% to 52%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY5 SAR measurement system using the SN3563 probe was less than $5\mu\text{V}$ in both air and liquid mediums.



6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Table 9

Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	: Lap Held Position
	: Edge On Position
	: Bystander Position

6.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY5 Version 52** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The DASY5 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 and EN62209-2 SAR measurement requirements.

6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe EX3DV4 Serial: 3563. Please refer to appendix C for detailed information.

6.3 System Verification

6.3.1 System Verification Results @ 5GHz

The following table lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR system verification. The results of the system verification are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR system verification was adjusted to 100 mW.

Table 10 System verification Results (Dipole: SPEAG D5GHzV2 SN: 1008)

1. System Frequency and Verification Date	2. ϵ_r (measured)	3. σ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
6 th May 2011 5800 MHz	44.9	6.06	10.1	2.83
7 th May 2011 5500 MHz	46.2	5.76	11.1	3.12
10 th May 2011 5200 MHz	45.3	5.24	10.0	2.84



6.3.2 Deviation from reference system verification values

The reference SAR value is the SAR system verification result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D5GHzV2) after system component calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table below.

Table 11 Deviation from reference system verification values in 5.6 GHz band

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	Calibration Reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From Reference 1g (%)
6 th May 2011 5800 MHz	10.1	101.00	104	-2.88
7 th May 2011 5500 MHz	11.1	111.00	114	-2.63
10 th May 2011 5200 MHz	10	100.00	97	3.09

NOTE: All reference system verification values are referenced to 1W input power.

6.3.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of a least 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.




Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

6.4 Phantom Properties

The phantoms used during the testing comply with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 and EN62209-2 SAR measurement requirements.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.
www.emctech.com.au

6.5 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

Table 12 Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5200MHz range

Frequency Band	ϵ_r (measured range)	ϵ_r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
5180 MHz Body	45.4	49.0 $\pm$ 10% (44.1 to 53.9)	5.20	5.3 $\pm$ 5% (5.04 to 5.57)	1000
5240 MHz Body	45.2	48.9 $\pm$ 10% (44.0 to 53.8)	5.31	5.4 $\pm$ 5% (5.13 to 5.67)	1000
5260 MHz Body	45.2	48.9 $\pm$ 10% (44.0 to 53.8)	5.33	5.4 $\pm$ 5% (5.13 to 5.67)	1000
5320 MHz Body	45.0	48.8 $\pm$ 10% (43.9 to 53.7)	5.44	5.4 $\pm$ 5% (5.13 to 5.67)	1000

Table 13 Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5600MHz range

Frequency Band	ϵ_r (measured range)	ϵ_r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
5520 MHz Body	46.2	48.6 $\pm$ 10% (43.7 to 53.4)	5.78	5.6 $\pm$ 5% (5.32 to 5.88)	1000
5580 MHz Body	46.0	48.5 $\pm$ 10% (43.8 to 53.5)	5.88	5.77 $\pm$ 5% (5.48 to 6.06)	1000
5620 MHz Body	45.9	48.5 $\pm$ 10% (43.8 to 53.5)	5.95	5.77 $\pm$ 5% (5.48 to 6.06)	1000
5680 MHz Body	45.7	48.4 $\pm$ 10% (43.6 to 53.2)	6.04	5.9 $\pm$ 5% (5.61 to 6.20)	1000

Table 14 Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5800MHz range

Frequency Band	ϵ_r (measured range)	ϵ_r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m ³
5745 MHz Body	44.6	48.3 $\pm$ 10% (43.47 to 53.13)	6.10	5.9 $\pm$ 5% (5.61 to 6.20)	1000
5785 MHz Body	44.9	48.2 $\pm$ 10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.09	6.0 $\pm$ 5% (5.7 to 6.3)	1000
5825 MHz Body	44.6	48.2 $\pm$ 10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.07	6.0 $\pm$ 5% (5.7 to 6.3)	1000

NOTE: The muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of $\pm$ 5% for σ and 10% for ϵ_r .



6.5.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than 2°C .

Table 15 Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Liquid Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Humidity (%)
6 th May 2011	21.4	20.1	51
7 th May 2011	20.3	20.1	45
10 th May 2011	20.0	19.6	52

6.6 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

A low loss clamp was used to position the TABLET underneath the phantom surface. Small pieces of foam were then used to press the TABLET flush against the phantom surface.

Table 16 Tissue Type: Muscle @ 5600MHz

EMCT Liquid, Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Composition
Distilled Water
Salt
Triton X-100

6.7 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the DUT underneath the phantom surface.
Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning



7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY5

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY5 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 10 mm x 10 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 70 mm x 120 mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation.
- c) Around this point, a volume of 24 mm x 24 mm x 20 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 9 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
 - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.0 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 2.0 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
 - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
 - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.



8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both device SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently.

Table 17: Uncertainty Budget for DASY5 Version 52 – DUT SAR test 5GHz

Error Description	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i	10g u _i	v _i
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1.00	1	1	6.55	6.55	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	0.7	0.7	1.90	1.90	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0.7	0.7	3.88	3.88	∞
Boundary Effects	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.30	0.30	∞
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	∞
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.50	1.50	∞
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	∞
Probe Positioning	9.9	R	1.73	1	1	5.72	5.72	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Test Sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	2.9	N	1.00	1	1	2.90	2.90	145
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	N	1.00	1	1	3.60	3.60	5
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	12.20	R	1.73	1	1	7.04	7.04	∞
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	1.60	1.08	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.50	1.23	∞
Combined standard Uncertainty (u _c)						14.3	14.1	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=	2		28.6	28.3	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY5 measurement system was $\pm 14.3\%$. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be $\pm 28.6\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.



Table 18 Uncertainty Budget for DASY5 Version 52 – System verification 5GHz

Error Description	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C _i (1g)	C _i (10g)	1g u _i	10g u _i	v _i
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1.00	1	1	6.55	6.55	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.30	0.30	∞
Response Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
RF Ambient Noise	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Probe Positioner	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.46	0.46	∞
Probe Positioning	6.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.87	3.87	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Dipole Related								
Deviation of exp. dipole	5.5	R	1.73	1	1	3.18	3.18	∞
Dipole Axis to Liquid Dist.	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Input power & SAR drift	5.00	R	1.73	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
SAR Correction	1.9	R	1.73	1	0.84	1.10	0.92	∞
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1.00	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1.00	0.26	0.26	0.65	0.65	∞
Temp.unc. - Conductivity	1.7	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	0.77	0.70	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	0.3	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.04	0.05	∞
Combined standard Uncertainty (u _c)						10.3	10.3	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=	2		20.7	20.5	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY5 measurement system was $\pm 10.3\%$. The extended uncertainty ($K = 2$) was assessed to be $\pm 20.7\%$ based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.



9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table 19: SPEAG DASY5 Version 52

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	✓
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	✓
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	07-July-2011	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	09-Dec-2011	✓
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	09-Dec-2011	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	7-July-2011	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Not Used	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3563	16-July-2011	✓
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3657	13-Dec-2011	
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	15-Dec-2011	
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	17-Dec-2010	
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	5-July-2012	
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	9-July-2012	
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	13-July-2012	
Antenna Dipole 1950 MHz	SPEAG	D1950V3	1113	10-Dec -2012	
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	17-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	09-Dec-2012	
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	16-Dec-2011	✓
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	*In test	✓
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*In test	
RF Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	9-Aug-2011	
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	13-Aug-2011	
RF Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRP	101415	5-May-2011	✓
RF Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRP - Z81	100174	16-July-2011	✓
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*In test	✓
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*In test	✓
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	22-Sept-2011	
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	10-Nov-2011	✓
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	*In test	
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	*In test	✓

* Calibrated during the test for the relevant parameters.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.
www.emctech.com.au

10.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The “LIFEBOOK T SERIES” can be used in either a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A) or a Tablet configuration.

3.2 Positions

See Appendix A for photos of test positions.

3.2.1 “Lap Held” Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The DUT was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Lap Held” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the DUT was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the PC’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

3.2.2 “Edge On” Position (Portrait or Landscape)

The DUT was tested in the (2.00 mm) flat section of the AndreT phantom for the “Edge On” position. The Antenna edge of the Transceiver was placed underneath the flat section of the phantom and suspended until the edge touched the phantom.

3.2.3 “Bystander” Position (25mm spacing)

The DUT was tested with the back of the screen parallel to the flat phantom, with the base 90 degrees to normal. This orientation simulates occasional exposure to the transmitter as a result of standing near the DUT operator during normal use.

For this position, the DUT was placed at the bottom of the P 10.1 phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the screen was 25mm from the phantom.




10.1 List of All Test Cases (Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The DUT has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level, up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required. All relevant provisions of KDB 447498 are applied for SAR measurements of the host system.


Table 20: Testing configurations

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations		
			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Lap Held	OFDM 5GHz All Bands	A		X	
		B		X	
Bystander	OFDM 5GHz All Bands	A		X	
		B		X	
Edge On	OFDM 5GHz All Bands	A		X	
		B		X	

Legend



Testing Required in this configuration



Testing required in this configuration only if SAR of middle channel is more than 3dB below the SAR limit or it is the worst case.

NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A and B refer to Tx1 and Tx2 in the host respectively.



Accreditation No. 5292

This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.
www.emctech.com.au

11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample DUT for all test configurations listed in section 10.2.

11.1 5GHz Band SAR Results

Table 21: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Lower Band – OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Bystander (25mm Spacing)	1	A	6	-	48	5240	0.140	-0.47
	2	B	6	-	48	5240	0.144	-0.48
Lap Held	3	A	6	-	48	5240	0.171	0.24
	-	B	6	-	48	5240	N/F	N/A
Primary Portrait	4	A	6	-	48	5240	0.137	0.46
Secondary Landscape	5	A	6	-	36	5180	1.070	-0.30
	6	B	6	-	36	5180	0.610	-0.13
	7	A	6	-	48	5240	1.120	0.10
	8	B	6	-	48	5240	0.455	-0.46
	9	A	6	-	52	5260	1.120	0.25
	10	B	6	-	52	5260	0.542	-0.01
	11	A	6	-	64	5320	0.874	-0.31
	12	B	6	-	64	5320	0.431	0.05
Secondary Portrait	13	B	6	-	36	5180	0.971	-0.05
	14	B	6	-	48	5240	0.865	0.13
	15	B	6	-	52	5260	0.920	-0.21
	16	B	6	-	64	5320	0.977	-0.29

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 28.6% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.

N/F = Noise Floor, approx 0.0 mW/g

The highest SAR level recorded in the 5.2 GHz band was **1.120** mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in **Secondary Landscape** position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel **52** (5260MHz) and antenna **A**.



Table 22 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Middle Band – OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Bystander (25mm Spacing)	17	A	6	-	116	5580	0.179	0.14
	18	B	6	-	116	5580	0.123	-0.47
Lap Held	19	A	6	-	116	5580	0.194	-0.34
	20	B	6	-	116	5580	0.042	0.40
Primary Portrait	21	A	6	-	116	5580	0.141	0.35
Secondary Landscape	22	A	6	-	104	5520	0.868	0.29
	23	B	6	-	104	5520	0.500	-0.11
	24	A	6	-	116	5580	0.697	-0.50
	25	B	6	-	116	5580	0.391	-0.51
	26	A	6	-	124	5620	0.555	-0.15
	27	B	6	-	124	5620	0.327	0.50
	28	A	6	-	136	5680	0.557	-0.24
	29	B	6	-	136	5680	0.353	0.22
Secondary Portrait	30	B	6	-	104	5520	1.440	0.02
	31	B	6	-	116	5580	1.310	-0.08
	32	B	6	-	124	5620	1.380	-0.30
	33	B	6	-	136	5680	1.480	-0.36

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 28.6% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 5.6 GHz band was **1.480** mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in **Secondary Portrait** position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel **136 (5680** MHz) and antenna **B**.



Table 23 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS Upper Band – OFDM Mode

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Bystander (25mm Spacing)	34	A	6	-	157	5785	0.108	-0.33
	35	B	6	-	157	5785	0.071	-0.01
Lap Held	36	A	6	-	157	5785	0.128	-0.05
	-	B	6	-	157	5785	N/F	N/A
Primary Portrait	37	A	6	-	157	5785	0.175	-0.20
Secondary Landscape	38	A	6	-	149	5745	0.395	-0.22
	39	B	6	-	149	5745	0.263	-0.49
	40	A	6	-	157	5785	0.418	-0.01
	41	B	6	-	157	5785	0.326	-0.36
	42	A	6	-	165	5825	0.331	-0.19
	43	B	6	-	165	5825	0.317	-0.16
Secondary Portrait	44	B	6	-	149	5745	1.02	0.19
	45	B	6	-	157	5785	1.01	-0.25
	46	B	6	-	165	5825	1.18	-0.30

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 28.6% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.

N/F = Noise Floor, approx 0.0 mW/g

The highest SAR level recorded in the 5.8 GHz band was **1.18** mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in **Secondary Portrait** position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel **165** (5825MHz) and antenna **B**.



12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T731, TH701 with INTEL Mini-PCI Wireless LAN Module (INTEL CENTRINO ADVANCED-N 6205(TAYLOR PEAK) 11A/B/G/N 802.11a/b/g/n), Model: 62205ANHWMW & BROADCOM Bluetooth Module, Model: BCM92070MD_REF6 was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was **1.48** mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at **5680** MHz (channel **136**) in the “**Secondary Portrait**” position in OFDM modulation mode at the antenna **B**. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure,

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.



Accreditation No. 5292

This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.
www.emctech.com.au

13.0 MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS


Fujitsu **TABLET** PC, Model: **T731, TH701** is equipped with WLAN (62205ANHMW) and Bluetooth (BCM92070MD_REF6).

According to the FCC SAR evaluation procedures mentioned in **KDB 616217**, stand-alone SAR evaluation is NOT required when the maximum transmitter and antenna output power is less than or equal to $60/f_{\text{(GHz)}} (P_{\text{ref}})$. The Bluetooth module in the EUT operates in the 2.4GHz range. It has a maximum output power of 2.5mW (4dBm) which is less than $P_{\text{ref}} (=60/2.4=25\text{mW})$.

The shortest distance between the BT module and any other transmitting antenna was 19.1cm.

Because $19.1\text{cm} > 5\text{cm}$, and $2.5\text{mW} < 25\text{mW}$, the Bluetooth module was not considered for SAR evaluation. This is in accordance with the test reduction methods detailed in **KDB 616217** and **KDB 447498**.

Diagram Showing Antenna Positions



NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A and B refer to Tx1 and Tx2 respectively in the host.