EMC Technologies Pty Ltd
A.C.N. 057 105 549
57 Assembly Drive
Tullamarine Victoria Australia 3043

Ph: + 613 9335 3333
Fax: + 613 9338 9260
email: melb@emctech.com.au

SAR Test Report

Report Number: M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_5.2

Test Sample:	Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model Number:	WLL4070
Tested For:	Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd
FCC ID:	EJE-WB0026
IC:	337J-WB0026
Date of Issue:	2nd August 2005

CONTENTS

SAR TEST REPORT
Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model: WLL4070
Report Number: M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_5.2
FCC ID: EJE-WB0026
IC: 337J-WB0026

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

Test Sample:	Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN and Bluetooth Module
Model Name:	Atheros
Interface Type:	Mini-PCI Module
Device Category:	Portable Transmitter
Test Device:	Production Unit
Model Number:	WLL4070
FCC ID:	EJE-WB0026
IC:	337J-WB0026
RF exposure Category:	General Population/Uncontrolled
Manufacturer:	Fujitsu Limited

Test Standard/s:

1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Requirements For Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)
2. Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields. RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) September 25, 1999

Statement Of Compliance: The Fujitsu Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN model WLL4070 complied***** with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements.

*. Refer to compliance statement section 9.

Test Date: 26th – 27th and 31st, May and 14th - 15th July 2005

Tested for:	Fujitsu Australia Pty Ltd
Address:	5 Lakeside Drive, Burwood East, Vic. 3151
Contact:	Praveen Rao
Phone:	+61 3 9845 4300

Test Officer:

Peter Jakubiec
Assoc Dip Elec Eng

Authorised Signature:

Aaron Sargent B.Eng
EMR Engineer

SAR TEST REPORT
Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN
Model: WLL4070
Report Number: M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_5.2

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE

(Information supplied by the client)

2.1 Description of Test Sample

The EUT is a Fujitsu Stylistic incorporating a Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module Atheros (WLL4070). The Atheros module is an OEM product. The Mini-PCI wireless LAN (WLAN) module was tested in the dedicated host, a Stylistic ST Series "NIECHEN".

The measurement test results mentioned hereon only apply to the 5200/5800MHz frequency band; an additional report titled "M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_2.4" applies to the 2450MHz frequency range.

2.1.1 Summary of EUT Details

Table 1: EUT Details

WLAN Module	: Atheros 11abg, Model: WLL4070
Antenna type	: Yokowo Monopole Antenna YCE-5008
Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	1. Tablet Position 2. Lap Arm Held Position 3. Edge Position
Battery Options	: Standard

Table 2: Modulation Schemes and Frequency Ranges

Frequency Range	Modulation	Rated Power Output (dBm)	TURBO Mode Rated Power Output (dBm)
2.402-2.480	Bluetooth (BT) Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)	12	NO Turbo Mode
2.412-2.462 GHz	802.11b - DSSS	18	NO Turbo Mode
	802.11g - OFDM		14.5 max
5.150-5.250 GHz	802.11a – OFDM	14	13.5 max
5.250-5.350 GHz		17	
5.725-5.825 GHz		16	

NOTE: For 2.4GHz SAR results refer to report titled "M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_2.4".

Table 3: 802.11a

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
BPSK	**6Mbps**, 9Mbps
QPSK	12Mbps, 18Mbps
16QAM	24Mbps, 36Mbps
64QAM	48Mbps, 54Mbps

NOTE: For 2.4GHz SAR results refer to report titled "M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_2.4".

Table 4: 802.11b

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
DBPSK	**1Mbps**
DQPSK	2Mbps
CCK	5.5Mbps, 11Mbps

Table 5: 802.11g

Modulation Scheme	Date Rate
BPSK	**6Mbps**, 9Mbps
QPSK	12Mbps, 18Mbps
16QAM	24Mbps, 36Mbps
64QAM	48Mbps, 54Mbps

2.1.2 EUT Host Details

Table 6: Host Details

Test Sample:	STYLISTIC ST Series
Models:	ST5030D
Codename:	NIECHEN
CPU Speed:	Pentium M 1.2 GHz ULV
Manufacturer:	Fujitsu Ltd.
LAN:	Broadcom BCM5751M : 10 Base-T/100 Base-TX/1000 Base-T
Modem:	Agere MDC 1.5, Model: AM2

2.2 Test sample Accessories

2.2.1 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Batteries is used to power the Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN Model: WLL4070. SAR measurements were performed with the following battery.

Standard Battery

Model	W01A-I03A	W01A-I38A
V/mAh	10.8V/4400mAh	10.8V/7200mAh
Cell No.	6	10

2.3 Test Signal, Frequency and Output Power

The Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels (USA model) within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band and 12 channels within the frequency range 5180 – 5825 MHz. The frequency range is 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz and the device operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. Within the 5180 – 5825 MHz frequency range the device operates in OFDM mode only. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu. The fixed frequency channels used in the testing are shown in Table 7.

The Bluetooth module operates over 79 channels within the frequency range 2402 to 2480 MHz. It is possible for the Bluetooth module to operate simultaneously with the WLAN module (co-transmission). For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu. The tests were conducted with only the WLAN operating and also with the WLAN and Bluetooth module operating in co-transmission. The fixed frequency channels used in the testing are shown in Table 7. The Bluetooth interface utilizes dedicated antenna, for the purpose of this report labelled antenna "D".

The test results mentioned in this report only apply to the 5200/5800MHz frequency range. An additional report titled *"M050509_CERT_WLL4070_SAR_2.4"* is specific to the 2450MHz range.

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode. Table 7 shows the data rates used in the SAR tests.

The frequency span of the 2450 MHz range and 5200/5800MHz Bands was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable Tablet Computer during the SAR measurements.

At the beginning and at the completion of the SAR tests, the conducted power of the device was measured after temporary modification of antenna connector inside the device's TX RX compartment. Measurements were performed with a calibrated Power Meter. The results of this measurement are listed in table 7.

Table 7: Frequency and Conducted Power Results

Channel	Channel Frequency MHz	Modulation	Maximum Conducted Output Power Measured (dBm)
OFDM Channel 36	5180	OFDM	16.7
OFDM Channel 48	5240	OFDM	19.9
OFDM Channel 64	5320	OFDM	19.3
OFDM Channel 149	5745	OFDM	17.0
OFDM Channel 157	5785	OFDM	17.4
OFDM Channel 165	5825	OFDM	18.2
Channel 1	2402	FHSS	10.6
Channel 40	2441	FHSS	10.8
Channel 79	2480	FHSS	10.5

*NOTE: The highest conducted power was measured in these data rates.

2.4 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the device, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.

2.5 Details of Test Laboratory

2.5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd - ACN/ABN: 82057105549
57 Assembly Drive
Tullamarine, (Melbourne) Victoria
Australia 3043

Telephone: +61 3 9335 3333
Facsimile: +61 3 9338 9260
email: melb@emctech.com.au
website: www.emctech.com.au

2.5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA).
NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

AS/NZS 2772.1:	RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement
ACA:	Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003
FCC:	Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01
CENELEC:	ES59005: 1998
EN 50360: 2001	Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)
EN 50361: 2001	Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz)
IEEE 1528: 2003	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

The 5.2 to 5.8 GHz SAR measurement range is not within the current scope of NATA accreditation.
Refer to NATA website www.nata.asn.au for the full scope of accreditation.

2.5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within 20±1°C, the humidity was in the range 42% to 50%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1380 probe was less than 5μV in both air and liquid mediums.

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

3.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY4 V4.4 Build 3** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision 6-axis robot (working range greater that 1.1m), which positions the SAR measurement probes with a positional repeatability of better than ±0.02 mm. The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN50361 SAR measurement requirements.

3.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe ES3DV3 Serial: 3029 (5.2-5.8 GHz) designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimised for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated and found to be accurate to better than ±0.25 dB. The probe is suitable for measurements close to material discontinuity at the surface of the phantom. The sensors of the probe are directly loaded with Schottky diodes and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300 mm) to the data acquisition unit.

3.3 Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200 MΩ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80dB.Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

3.4 Calibration and Validation Procedures and Data

Prior to the SAR assessment, the system validation kit was used to verify that the DASY4 was operating within its specifications. The validation was performed at 5200/5800MHz with the SPEAG calibrated dipole D5GHzV2.

The validation dipoles are highly symmetric and matched at the centre frequency for the specified liquid and distance to the phantom. The accurate distance between the liquid surface and the dipole centre is achieved with a distance holder that snaps onto the dipole.

System validation is performed by feeding a known power level into a reference dipole, set at a know distance from the phantom. The measured SAR is compared to the theoretically derived level.

3.4.1 Validation Results @ 5GHz

The following tables lists the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

Table 8: Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D5GHzV2 SN: 1008)

1. Validation Date	2. ∈r (measured)	3. σ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
26th May 2005	45	6.05	18.9	5.23
27th May 2005	44.7	6.15	18.3	4.99
31st May 2005	47.6	5.52	20.8	5.77
14th July 2005	48.0	5.44	22.4	6.23
15th July 2005	46.2	6.49	19.6	5.48

3.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values

Currently no IEEE Std 1528-2003 SAR reference values are available at 5.2 GHz, as a consequence all 5.8 GHz & 5.2 GHz validation results were compared against the SPEAG calibration reference SAR values.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D5GHzV2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in (5200/5800MHz) below.

Table 9: Deviation from reference validation values @ 5200MHz and 5800MHz

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference (1g)
26th May 2005 5800MHz	18.9	75.6	80.8	-6.4%
27th May 2005 5800MHz	18.3	73.2	80.8	-9.4%
31st May 2005 5200MHz	20.8	83.2	84.8	-1.9%
14th July 2005 5200MHz	22.4	89.6	84.8	5.7%
15th July 2005 5800MHz	19.6	78.4	80.8	-3.0%

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.

3.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of a least 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.



Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

3.5 Phantom Properties (Size, Shape, Shell Thickness)

The phantom used during the validations was the SAM Phantom model: TP - 1060 from SPEAG. It is a phantom with a single thickness of 2 mm and was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. The SAM phantom support structures were all non-metallic and spaced more than one device width away in transverse directions.

For SAR testing in the body worn positions an AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 was used. The phantom thickness is 2.0mm+/-0.2 mm and was filled with the required tissue simulating liquid. Table 10 provides a summary of the measured phantom properties.

Table 10: Phantom Properties (300MHz-2500MHz)

Phantom Properties	Required	Measured
Thickness of flat section	2.0mm ± 0.2mm (bottom section)	2.12-2.20mm
Dielectric Constant	<5.0	4.603 @ 300MHz (worst-case frequency)
Loss Tangent	<0.05	0.0379 @ 2500MHz (worst-case frequency)

Depth of Phantom	200mm
Length of Flat Section	620mm
Width of Flat Section	540mm

P 10.1 Flat Phantom

P 10.1 Flat Phantom



3.6 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

Table 11: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for Validations

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m^3
5200 MHz Brain	47.6	49.0 ±5% (46.55 to 51.45)	5.5	5.30 ±5% (5.04 to 5.57)	1000
5800 MHz Brain	44.6-45.0	48.2 ±5% (45.8 to 50.61)	6.04-6.15	6.0 ±5% (5.7 to 6.3)	1000

NOTE: The brain liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±5%.

Table 12: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5200MHz range

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m^3
5180 MHz Muscle	48-48.1	49.0 ±10% (44.1 to 53.9)	5.4-5.5	5.3 ±10% (4.77 to 5.83)	1000
5240 MHz Muscle	47.8-47.9	48.9 ±10% (44.01 to 53.8)	5.6-5.7	5.4 ±10% (4.86 to 5.94)	1000
5320 MHz Muscle	47.6-47.7	48.8 ±10% (43.9 to 55.3)	5.7-5.8	5.4 ±10% (4.86 to 5.94)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±10%.

Table 13: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for 5800MHz range

Frequency Band	∈r (measured range)	∈r (target)	σ (mho/m) (measured range)	σ (target)	ρ kg/m^3
5745 MHz Muscle	44.6-46.3	48.3 ±10% (43.47 to 53.13)	6.04-6.38	5.9 ±10% (5.31 to 6.49)	1000
5785 MHz Muscle	44.4-46.2	48.2 ±10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.1-6.46	6.0 ±10% (5.4 to 6.60)	1000
5825 MHz Muscle	44.3-46.0	48.2 ±10% (43.38 to 53.02)	6.2-6.5	6.0 ±10% (5.4 to 6.60)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of ±10%.

3.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2|°C.

Table 14: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day

Date	Ambient Temperature (°C)	Liquid Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)
26th May 2005	20.0	19.6	42
27th May 2005	20.2	19.7	47
31st May 2005	20.3	19.6	44
14th July 2005	20.1	19.6	46
15th July 2005	20.0	19.5	45

3.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

Table 15: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 5600MHz

Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

EMCT Liquid

Composition
Distilled Water
Salt
Triton X-100

SPEAG liquid (validation)

Composition
Proprietary

3.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the Laptop underneath the phantom surface. Small pieces of foam were then used to press the laptop flush against the phantom surface.

Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning

4.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.

b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 101mm x 81mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first "pre-scans" covered an area of 151 mm x 201 mm to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

c) Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

   (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

   (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.

   (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.

   (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

5.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both Handset SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently.

Table 16: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 DASY4 V4.4 Build 3 – EUT SAR test @ 5200/5800 MHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (%)	10g u_i (%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (numerical calibration)	E.2.1	8.3	N	1	1	1	8.3	8.3	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.075	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	5.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.34	N	1	1	1	3.3	3.3	7
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	6.6.2	5	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	10	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	10	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				13.4	12.9	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				26.9	25.83	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±13.4%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±26.9% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

Table 17: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 DASY4 V4.4 Build 3 – Validation 5200/5800 MHz

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h=cxf/e	i=cxg/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C_i (1g)	C_i (10g)	1g u_i (%)	10g u_i (%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration (k=1) (standard calibration)	E.2.1	8.3	N	1	1	1	8.3	8.3	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	2	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1	N	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	0.075	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	5.7	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related									
Dipole Axis to Liquid distance	E.4.2	2	N	1	1	1	2.0	2.0	11
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	6.6.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	10	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	E.3.2	10	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty			RSS				12.2	11.7	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				24.5	23.34	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was ±12.2%. The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be ±24.5% based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.

6.0 QUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table 18: SPEAG DASY4 DASY4 V4.4 Build 3

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	Yes
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	Yes
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	No
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	Yes
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	Yes
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	No
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable	No
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	09-July-2005	No
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	06-Dec-2005	Yes
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	No
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	14-July-2005	Yes
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	29-Sept -2005	No
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	1-Nov-2005	Yes
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	27- Nov-2005	No
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	15-Dec-2006	No
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	12-July-2006	No
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	25-May-2006	No
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	13-July-2006	No
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	2-Nov-2006	Yes
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	05-Oct-2005	Yes
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	In test	No
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	In test	No
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	In test	Yes
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*Not Required	No
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	26-May-2005	Yes
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	27-May-2005	Yes
RF Power Meter Dual	Gigatronics	8542B	1830125	13-April-2006	Yes
RF Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80301A	1828805	13-April-2006	Yes
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*Not Required	No
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*Not Required	No
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	10-Sept-2005	No
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	06-Aug-2006	Yes
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	In test	No
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	In test	Yes

7.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

Tablet PCs should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for Tablet (bottom-face) only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the tablet can be operated in. The ST Series laptop “NIECHEN” can be used in only a conventional tablet position (see Appendix A1). The antenna locations in the “NIECHEN” is to the front of the tablet and the second antenna is at the bottom of the tablet PC.

If used in the tablet position the antenna location is closest to the screen of the laptop. The ST Series tablets use interactive screen modes that allow the user to place their arms/hands on the tablet screen. To account for occasional exposure to the arms, SAR tests were performed with the tablet and the edge of the tablet screen facing the phantom.

Therefore SAR measurements were performed with the front and back and edge of the laptop facing the flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom (P 10.1). See Appendix A for photos of test positions.

7.1.1 “Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Tablet” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the tablet’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user whilst in the tablet configuration. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case configuration.

7.1.2 “Lap Arm Held” Position (0mm spacing)

The device was tested with the screen of the Tablet touching the flat phantom[1]**.** This orientation simulates use of the device in interactive or arm-held modes where the arm may be rested against the screen during normal use.

For this position, the Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the P 10.1 phantom and suspended in such way that the screen of the device was touching the phantom. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case assessment (with respect to SAR).

7.1.3 “Edge On” Position (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Edge On” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the Edge of the device was touching the phantom.

A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case assessment (with respect to SAR).

[1] TCB Workshop Notes 2003, Session 6 “Portable Transmitters”

7.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power, as specified in section 2.3 were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required.

Table 19: Testing configurations

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations		
			Channel (Low)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Lap-Arm Held	OFDM 5GHz LR	A			
		B	X	X	X
	OFDM 5GHz HR	A			
		B	X	X	X
Tablet	OFDM 5GHz LR	A	X	X	X
		B			
	OFDM 5GHz HR	A	X	X	X
		B			
Edge On	OFDM 5GHz LR	A		X	
		B	X	X	X
	OFDM 5GHz HR	A	X	X	X
		B	X	X	X
Lap-Arm Held	OFDM 5GHz HR	A		X	
(With Bluetooth)	LR	A		X	

Legend

X (blue)	Testing Required in this configuration
(green)	Testing not required in this configuration because the transmitting antenna is located on the opposite side of the tablet in this position.
"LR"	Stands for Lower 5 GHz Range
"HR"	Stands for Higher 5 GHz Range

7.3 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Occupational/ Controlled Exposure

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	8.0 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	20.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

7.4 FCC RF Exposure Limits for Un-controlled/Non–occupational

Spatial Peak SAR Limits For:	
Partial-Body:	1.6 mW/g (averaged over any 1g cube of tissue)
Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles:	4.0 mW/g (averaged over 10g cube of tissue)

8.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 7.2.

8.1 5200/5800MHz SAR Results

Table 20: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – OFDM Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
*Lap Arm Held	1	B	157	5785	Pre-scan Only	-
Lap Arm Held	2	B	149	5745	1.04	0.0
	3	B	157	5785	1.49	-0.1
	4	B	165	5825	1.20	-0.2
*Tablet	5	A	157	5785	Pre-scan Only	-
Tablet	6	A	149	5745	1.16	-0.0
	7	A	157	5785	1.53	0.2
	8	A	165	5825	1.18	-0.1
Tablet with Extended Batt	9	A	157	5785	1.09	-0.3
Edge On	10	A	149	5745	0.881	-0.3
	11	A	157	5785	1.18	0.4
	12	A	165	5825	1.57	-0.0
Edge On	13	B	149	5745	1.22	-0.3
	14	B	157	5785	0.939	0.4
	15	B	165	5825	0.910	-0.3

Table 20: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – OFDM Mode

1. Test Position	2. Plot No.	3. Antenna	4. Test Channel	5. Test Freq (MHz)	6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	7. Measured Drift (dB)
Tablet	16	A	36	5180	0.477	0.4
	17	A	52	5240	1.16	-0.2
	18	A	64	5320	1.08	-0.2
Tablet with Extended Batt	19	A	52	5240	0.980	-0.0
Lap Arm Held	20	B	36	5180	0.333	0.3
	21	B	52	5240	1.27	-0.2
	22	B	64	5320	1.32	0.009
Edge On	23	B	36	5180	1.29	0.1
	24	B	52	5240	1.31	0.2
	25	B	64	5320	1.37	0.3
Edge On	26	A	52	5240	0.668	-0.2
WLAN with Bluetooth On						
1. Test Position	**2. Plot No.**	**3. Antenna**	**4. WLAN Test Channel**	**5. Bluetooth Frequency (MHz)**	**6. Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)**	**7. Measured Drift (dB)**
Tablet with	27	A	157	2441	Pre-scan Only	
Bluetooth On	28	A	157	2441	1.04	-0.0
Lap Arm Held With BT on	29	A	48	2441	0.858	-0.4

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 26.9% for 5GHz testing is not added to the result.
*This plot was used for identifying the “hotspot” only.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 5GHz band was 1.53 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in Tablet position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 149 (5745MHz) and antenna A without the bluetooth operating.

9.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The model WLL4070, FCC ID: EJE-WB0026, IC: 337J-WB0026 Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.

The highest SAR level recorded was 1.57 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at 5825MHz (channel 165) in the “Edge On” position in OFDM modulation mode at the antenna A without the bluetooth operating. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, but was within the band of measurement uncertainty around the limit.