Compliance with 47 CFR 15.247(i)

"Systems operating under the provisions of this section shall be operated in a manner that ensures that the public is not exposed to radio frequency energy levels in excess of the Commission's guidelines. See § 1.1307(b)(1) of this chapter."

In the following configurations, the radios will only be used with a separation distance of 20 centimeters or greater between the antenna and the body of the user or nearby persons and can therefore be considered a mobile transmitter per 47 CFR 2.1091 (b). The following MPE estimates are for the following configurations:

- Bluetooth radio in the 6820 printer
- Bluetooth radio in the 6820 printer with CN3e handheld computer docked in the terminal holder
 - o Bluetooth in 6820; 802.11(b)/(g) combo radio in CN3e
 - Bluetooth in 6820; 802.11(b)/(g) combo radio and EM5625 radio in CN3e
 - o Bluetooth in 6820; 802.11(b)/(g) combo radio and MC75 in CN3e

The radios can transmit simultaneously. Each radio transmits through its own antenna. The radios are subject to routine RF evaluation to the General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits of 1.1310.

The MPE estimates are as follows:

Table 1 in 47 CFR 1.1310 defines the maximum permissible exposure (MPE) for the general population. The exposure level at a 20 cm distance from the EUT's transmitting antenna is calculated using the general equation:

 $S = (PG)/4\pi R^2$ Where: S = power density (mW/cm²) P = power input to the antenna (mW) G = numeric power gain relative to an isotropic radiator R = distance to the center of the radiation of the antenna (20 cm = limit for MPE estimates) PG = EIRP

Solving for S, the maximum power densities 20 cm from the transmitting antennas are summarized in the tables on the following pages:

RF Exposure Info for 6820 printer and CN3e handheld computer

MPE Estimates for Self Co-located Device

FCC ID: EHABTS080-1

Bluetooth Radio in 6820

| Antenna Type | Antenna Part No. | Transmit Frequency (MHz) | Max Peak Conducted Output Power (mW) | Antenna Gain (dBi) | Minimum Antenna Cable Loss (dB) | Power Density @ 20 cm (mW/cm ²) | General Population Exposure Limit from 1.1310 (mW/cm ²) | Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Integral | PCB Trace | 2400 | 13.86 | -1.23 | 0 | 0.00208 | 1.000 | 0.002 |

Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.002

FCC ID: EHA-06CN3

802.11/Bluetooth combo radio in CN3e

| Antenna Type | Antenna Part No. | Transmit (MHz) | Max Peak (mW) | Antenna Gain (dBi) | Minimum (dB) | Power (mW/cm ⁺) | General (mW/cm ⁺) | Ratio of Power |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| 802.11 PIFA | 805-646-001 | 2400 | 106.41 | 1.34 | 0 | 0.02882 | 1.000 | 0.029 |
| BT Chip | Unknown | 2400 | 4.33 | -3.33 | 0 | 0.00040 | 1.000 | 0.000 |

Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.029 (802.11) Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.0004 (BT)

FCC ID: EHA-07CN3

Composite device: EM5625 radio and 802.11/Bluetooth Combo radio in CN3e

| Antenna Type | Antenna Part No. | Transmit (MHz) | Max Peak (mW) | Antenna Gain (dBi) | Minimum (dB) | Power (mW/cm ⁺) | General (mW/cm ⁺) | Ratio of Power |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Diversity | 805-648-001 | 824 | 724.44 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.15803 | 0.549 | 0.288 |
| Diversity | 805-648-001 | 1850 | 765.6 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.21025 | 1.000 | 0.210 |
| 802.11 PIFA | 805-646-001 | 2400 | 106.41 | 1.34 | 0 | 0.02882 | 1.000 | 0.029 |
| BT Chip | Unknown | 2400 | 4.33 | -3.33 | 0 | 0.00040 | 1.000 | 0.000 |

Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.288 (Cellular/PCS) Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.029 (802.11) Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.0004 (BT)

FCC ID: EHA-08CN3

Composite Device: MC75 radio and 802.11/Bluetooth Combo radio in CN3e

| Antenna Type | Antenna Part No. | Transmit (MHz) | Max Peak (mW) | Antenna Gain (dBi) | Minimum (dB) | Power (mW/cm ⁺) | General (mW/cm ⁺) | Ratio of Power |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Dualband | MiniMAG | 824 | 1412.538 | -1 | 0 | 0.22322 | 0.549 | 0.406 |
| Dualband | MiniMAG | 1850 | 724.436 | 1.65 | 0 | 0.21073 | 1.000 | 0.211 |
| 802.11 PIFA | 805-646-001 | 2400 | 106.41 | 1.34 | 0 | 0.02882 | 1.000 | 0.029 |
| BT Chip | Unknown | 2400 | 4.33 | -3.33 | 0 | 0.00040 | 1.000 | 0.000 |

Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.406 (Cellular/PCS) Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.029 (802.11) Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit = 0.0004 (BT)

Worst Case Co-located Exposure Condition in of 6820 and CN3e with DHIB

Per Note 24 shown below, the Sum of Worst Case Power Ratios cannot exceed 1.0

| Bluetooth Radio Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit | of Power Density to | | Sum of Worst Case Ratios (Power Density to the Exposure Limit) | | |
|--|---------------------|--------|--|-----|------|
| 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.0004 | 0.031 | 1.0 | PASS |

The results shown in the above table are equivalent to the Sum of the EIRP of the Two Co-located Transmitters (EIRP TX1 + EIRP TX2) compared to the exposure limit. The benefit of this method, is that accounts for transmitters operating at different frequencies against different exposure limits.

(Power Density

to the Exposure

Limit)

Sum of Worst

Case Ratios

Worst Case Co-located Exposure Condition in of 6820 and CN3e with DHIB and EM5625

| | | 000 44/Diss(s | | | |
|------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
| Bluetooth | 802.11/Bluetooth | 802.11/Blueto oth Combo | EM5625 | Sum of Worst | |
| Radio | Combo Radio | Radio | Radio | Case Ratios | FCC Limit for |
| Worst Case | Worst Case Patie | Worst Case | Worst Case | (Power Density | Sum of Worst |

Ratio of Powe

Density to the

Exposure Limi

Worst Case

Ratio of Power

Density to the

Exposure Limi

Worst Case Ratio

of Power Density to

the Exposure Limit

frequencies against different exposure limits.

Ratio of Power

Density to the

Exposure Limi

Per Note 24 shown below, the Sum of Worst Case Power Ratios cannot exceed 1.0

| 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.0004 | 0.288 | 0.319 | 1.0 | PASS | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| The results shown in the above table are equivalent to the Sum of the EIRP of the Two Co-located Transmitters (EIRP TX1 + | | | | | | | | |
| EIRP TX2) co | ompared to the ex | kposure limit. | The benefit | of this method, | is that accour | nts for transmitters operating at different | | |

Worst Case Co-located Exposure Condition in of 6820 and CN3e with DHIB and MC75

Per Note 24 shown below, the Sum of Worst Case Power Ratios cannot exceed 1.0

| Bluetooth Radio Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit | of Power Density to the Exposure Limit | Density to the Exposure Limit | MC75 Radio Worst Case Ratio of Power Density to the Exposure Limit | (Power Density to the Exposure Limit) | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|---|-----|------|
| 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.0004 | 0.406 | 0.437 | 1.0 | PASS |

The results shown in the above table are equivalent to the Sum of the EIRP of the Two Co-located Transmitters (EIRP TX1 + EIRP TX2) compared to the exposure limit. The benefit of this method, is that accounts for transmitters operating at different frequencies against different exposure limits.

Excerpts from TCB Training, April 3, 2002, "Mobile Transmitters", Slide 6:

"Devices operating in multiple frequency bands

- D When RF exposure evaluation is required for TCB approval
 - <u>Separate antennas</u> estimated minimum separation distances may be considered for the frequency bands that do not require evaluation or TCB approval, however, the estimated distance should take into account the effect of co-located transmitters. (Note 24)

<u>Note 24</u> According to multiple frequency exposure criteria, the ratio of field strength or power density to the applicable exposure limit at the exposure location should be determined for each transmitter and the sum of these ratios must not exceed 1.0 for the location to be compliant."

The sum of the ratios (power density to the exposure limit) does not exceed 1.0; therefore, the exposure condition is compliant with FCC rules.