# Intermec Technologies Corporation

## **CN3 Large Keyboard**

June 21, 2007

Report No. ITRM0161 Rev. 1

Report Prepared By



www.nwemc.com 1-888-EMI-CERT

© 2007 Northwest EMC, Inc



## **Certificate of Test**

Issue Date: June 21, 2007 Intermec Technologies Corporation Model: CN3 Large Keyboard

Emissions						
Test Description	Specification	Test Method	Pass	Fail		
Effective Radiated Power	FCC 22H:2006	ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-B:2002	$\boxtimes$			
Effective Radiated Power	FCC 24E:2006	ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-B:2002	$\boxtimes$			
Out of Band Emissions	FCC 22H:2006	ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-B:2002	$\boxtimes$			
Out of Band Emissions	FCC 24E:2006	ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-B:2002	$\boxtimes$			

Modifications made to the product See the Modifications section of this report

#### Test Facility

The measurement facility used to collect the data is located at:

Northwest EMC, Inc. 22975 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 400 Hillsboro, OR 97124

Phone: (503) 844-4066 Fax: 844-3826

This site has been fully described in a report filed with and accepted by the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) and Industry Canada.

Approved By: Don Facteau, IS Manager

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America.

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client, therefore the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested, the specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test.



Revision Number	Description	Date	Page Number
01	Changed the Model Name\EUT to CN3 Large Keyboard	7-12-07	1, 2, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 25



FCC: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of FCC radio, digital, and ISM device testing. Our Open Area Test Sites, certification chambers, and conducted measurement facilities have been fully described in reports filed with the FCC and accepted by the FCC in letters maintained in our files. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to FCC specifications in accordance with 47 CFR 2.960 and 2.962.

**NVLAP:** Northwest EMC, Inc. is accredited under the United States Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, and National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for satisfactory compliance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 for Testing Laboratories. The NVLAP accreditation encompasses Electromagnetic Compatibility Testing in accordance with the European Union EMC Directive 89/336/EEC, and ANSI C63.4. Additionally, Northwest EMC is accredited by NVLAP to perform radio testing in accordance with the European Union R&TTE Directive 1999/5/EEC, the requirements of FCC, and the RSS radio standards for Industry Canada.

Industry Canada: Accredited by NVLAP for performance of Industry Canada RSS and ICES testing. Our Open Area Test Sites and certification chambers comply with RSS 212, Issue 1 (Provisional) and have been filed with Industry Canada and accepted. Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO / IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by NIST and recognized by Industry Canada as a Certification Body (CB) per the APEC Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to Industry Canada technical requirements.

CAB: Designated by NIST and validated by the European Commission as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) to conduct tests and approve products to the EMC directive and transmitters to the R&TTE directive, as described in the U.S. - EU Mutual Recognition Agreement.

TÜV Product Service: Included in TUV Product Service Group's Listing of Recognized Laboratories. It qualifies in connection with the TUV Certification after Recognition of Agent's Testing Program for the product categories and/or standards shown in TUV's current Listing of CARAT Laboratories, available from TUV. A certificate was issued to represent that this laboratory continues to meet TUV's CARAT Program requirements. Certificate No. USA0604C.

TÜV Rheinland: Authorized to carryout EMC tests by order and under supervision of TÜV Rheinland, This authorization is based on "Conditions for EMC-Subcontractors" of November 1992.

















NEMKO: Assessed and accredited by NEMKO (Norwegian testing and certification body) for European emissions and immunity testing. As a result of NEMKO's laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification (Authorization No. ELA 119).

Australia/New Zealand: The National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), Australia has been appointed by the ACA as an accreditation body to accredit test laboratories and competent bodies for EMC standards. Accredited test reports or assessments by competent bodies must carry the NATA logo. Test reports made by an overseas laboratory that has been accredited for the relevant standards by an overseas accreditation body that has a Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with NATA are also accepted as technical grounds for product conformity. The report should be endorsed with the respective logo of the accreditation body (NVLAP).

VCCI: Accepted as an Associate Member to the VCCI, Acceptance No. 564. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities have been registered in accordance with Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures, Article 8. (Registration Numbers. - Hillsboro: C-1071, R-1025, C-2687, T-289, and R-2318, Irvine: R-1943, C-2766, and T-298, Sultan: R-871, C-1784, and T-294).

BSMI: Northwest EMC has been designated by NIST and validated by C-Taipei (BSMI) as a CAB to conduct tests as described in the APEC Mutual Recognition Agreement. License No.SL2-IN-E-1017.

GOST: Northwest EMC, Inc. has been assessed and accredited by the Russian Certification bodies Certinform VNIINMASH, CERTINFO, SAMTES, and Federal CHEC, to perform EMC and Hygienic testing for Information Technology Products. As a result of their laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification

> SCOPE For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit: http://www.nwemc.com/scope.asp





BSMI





Revision 03/18/05





California – Orange County Facility Labs OC01 – OC13

41 Tesla Ave. Irvine, CA 92618 (888) 364-2378 Fax: (503) 844-3826





Oregon – Evergreen Facility Labs EV01 – EV11

22975 NW Evergreen Pkwy. Suite 400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066 Fax: (503) 844-3826





Washington – Sultan Facility Labs SU01 – SU07

14128 339<sup>th</sup> Ave. SE Sultan, WA 98294 (888) 364-2378



Rev 11/17/06

#### Party Requesting the Test

Company Name:	Intermec Technologies Corporation
Address:	550 Second St. SE
City, State, Zip:	Cedar Rapids, IA 52401-2023
Test Requested By:	Scott Holub
Model:	CN3 Large Keyboard
First Date of Test:	June 16, 2007
Last Date of Test:	June 17, 2007
Receipt Date of Samples:	June 16, 2007
Equipment Design Stage:	Production
Equipment Condition:	No Damage

#### Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

**Functional Description of the EUT (Equipment Under Test):** GSM Radio installed in the CN3 Large Keyboard handheld computer.

#### **Testing Objective:**

To demonstrate compliance with the radiated emissions requirements of FCC Parts 22H and 24E

## **CONFIGURATION 1 ITRM0161**

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
GSM Radio	Unknown	MC75	Unknown

Peripherals in test setup boundary						
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number			
CNE Large Keyboard	Intermec Technologies Corporation	cn3-LrgKey	12090700028			

	Equipment modifications					
Item	Date	Test	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT	
1	6/16/2007	ERP and EIRP	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Northwest EMC following the test.	
2	6/17/2007	Out of Band Emissions	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Scheduled testing was completed.	

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

MODES OF OPERATION
Transmitting GSM in PCS band high channel
Transmitting GSM in PCS band low channel
Transmitting GSM in PCS band mid channel
Transmitting GSM in Cellular band mid channel
Transmitting GSM in Cellular band low channel
Transmitting GSM in Cellular band high channel

POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED								
Battery								
FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED								
Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	26 MHz					

#### **CLOCKS AND OSCILLATORS**

Not provided

#### SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

TEST EQUIPMENT					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
1-2 GHz Notch Filter	K&L Microwave	3TNF-1000/2000-N/N	HFU	8/29/2006	13
.5-1 GHz Notch Filter	K&L Microwave	3TNF-500/1000-N/N	HFT	8/29/2006	13
EV01 Cable D			EVD	3/30/2006	15
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	JSD4-18002600-26-8P	APU	3/23/2006	17
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHF	4/10/2006	24
EV01 cables g,h,I			EVF	5/10/2007	13
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APC	5/10/2007	13
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3160-08	AHK	NCR	0
High Pass Filter 1.2 - 18 GHz	Micro-Tronics	HPM50108	HFV	12/29/2006	13
Low Pass Filter 0-1000 MHz	Micro-Tronics	LPM50004	LFD	12/29/2006	13
Low Pass Filter 0-425 MHz	Micro-Tronics	LPM50003	LFB	12/29/2006	13
High Pass Filter	Micro-Tronics	HPM50111	HFO	12/29/2006	13
EV01 cables g,h,j			EVB	5/10/2007	13
EV01 cables c,g, h			EVA	12/29/2006	13
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-010100-24-10P	APW	5/10/2007	13
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AM-1616-1000	AOL	12/29/2006	13
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	8/24/2006	12
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/28/2005	24
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4446A	AAT	12/7/2006	13

MEASUR	REMENT BANDWIDTHS			
	Frequency Range	Peak Data	Quasi-Peak Data	Average Data
	(MHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)
	0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
	0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
	30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
	Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0
	Measurements were made	te using the bandwidths and o	detectors specified. No video	o filter was used.

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" or theoretically

#### TEST DESCRIPTION

The highest gain antenna to be used with the EUT was tested for final measurements. The EUT was configured for the lowest, a middle, and the highest transmit frequency in each operational band. For each configuration, the spectrum was scanned throughout the specified range. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT on a turntable, adjusting the position of the EUT and EUT antenna in three orthogonal axis, and adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization (per ANSI C63.4:2003). A preamp and high pass filter (and notch filter) were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

For licensed transmitters, the FCC references TIA/EIA-603 as the measurement procedure standard. TIA/EIA-603 Section 2.2.12 describes a method for measuring radiated spurious emissions that utilizes an antenna substitution method:

At an approved test site, the transmitter is place on a remotely controlled turntable, and the measurement antenna is placed 3 meters from the transmitter. The turntable azimuth is varied to maximize the level of spurious emissions. The height of the measurement antenna is also varied from 1 to 4 meters. The amplitude and frequency of the highest emissions are noted. The transmitter is then replaced with a ½ wave dipole that is successively tuned to each of the highest spurious emissions for emissions below 1 GHz, and a horn antenna for emissions above 1 GHz. A signal generator is connected to the dipole (horn antenna for frequencies above 1 GHz), and its output is adjusted to match the level previously noted for each frequency. The output of the signal generator is recorded, and by factoring in the cable loss to the antenna and its gain; the power (dBm) into an ideal ½ wave dipole antenna is determined for each radiated spurious emission.

For the purposes of preliminary measurements, the field strength of the spurious emissions can be measured and compared with a 3 meter limit. The 3 meter limit was calculated to be 82.5 dBuV/m at 3 meters. The final measurements must be made utilizing the substitution method described above.















## Out of Band Emissions





## Out of Band Emissions





Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

#### MODES OF OPERATION

Transmitting GSM in Cellular band

#### MODE USED FOR FINAL DATA

Transmitting GSM in Cellular band

#### POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED

Battery

#### POWER SETTINGS USED FOR FINAL DATA

Battery

FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATED						
Start Frequency	824 MHz	Stop Frequency	849 MHz			

#### SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

TEST EQUIPMENT					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4446A	AAT	12/7/2006	13
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/28/2005	24
Iniversal Radio Communication Teste	Rhode & Schwartz	CMU200	BSU	12/21/2006	24
EV01 cables c.g. h			EVA	12/29/2006	13

MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS							
	Frequency Range	Peak Data	Quasi-Peak Data	Average Data			
	(MHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)			
	0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2			
	0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0			
	30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0			
	Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0			
Measurements were made using the bandwidths and detectors specified. No video filter was used.							

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" or theoretically correct value. Our measurement data meets or exceeds the measurement uncertainty requirements of CISPR 16-4. In the case of transient tests our test equipment has been demonstrated by calibration to provide at least a 95% confidence that it complies with the test specification requirements. The measurement uncertainty for any test is available upon request.

#### TEST DESCRIPTION

The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was transmitting and/or receiving while set at the lowest channel, a middle channel, and the highest channel available. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT antenna in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.4:2003).

The amplitude and frequency of the highest emissions were noted. The EUT was then replaced with a horn antenna. A signal generator was connected to the horn antenna and its output was adjusted to match the level previously noted for each frequency. The output of the signal generator was recorded, and by factoring in the cable loss to the dipole antenna and its gain (dBi); the effective radiated power for each radiated spurious emission was determined.



NORTHWEST

## Effective Radiated Power (ERP)

PSA 2007.05.07





## Effective Radiated Power (ERP)





### Effective Radiated Power (EIRP)

Testing was performed using the mode(s) of operation and configuration(s) noted within the report. The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings used to complete the evaluation. The actual test parameters are specified in the test data, this includes items such as investigated frequency range (scanned) and test

levels. The testing methods and performance specifications, as well as the test site used for the evaluation are indicated in the test data.

MODES OF OPERATION	
Transmitting GSM in PCS 1900 band	
MODE USED FOR FINAL DATA	
Transmitting GSM in PCS 1900 band	

POWER SETTINGS INVESTIGATED Battery

#### POWER SETTINGS USED FOR FINAL DATA Battery

FREQUENCY RANGE INVESTIGATEDStart Frequency1850 MHz

Stop Frequency

1910 MHz

#### **CLOCKS AND OSCILLATORS**

None Provided

EMC

#### SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Radiated Emissions: Field Strength = Measured Level + Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain + Distance Adjustment Factor + External Attenuation

TEST EQUIPMENT								
Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval			
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4446A	AAT	12/7/2006	13			
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	8/24/2006	12			
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHE	10/3/2005	24			
Signal Generator	Agilent	E8257D	TGX	1/25/2007	13			
Power Meter	Gigatronics	8651A	SPM	9/19/2006	12			
Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80701A	SPL	9/19/2006	12			
EV01 cables g,h,j			EVB	5/10/2007	13			

#### MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTH

MEASUREMENT BANDWIDTHS							
	Frequency Range	Peak Data	Quasi-Peak Data	Average Data			
	(MHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)			
	0.01 - 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2			
	0.15 - 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0			
	30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0			
	Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0			
Measurements were made using the bandwidths and detectors specified. No video filter was used.							

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" or theoretically correct value. Our measurement data meets or exceeds the measurement uncertainty requirements of CISPR 16-4. In the case of transient tests our test equipment has been demonstrated by calibration to provide at least a 95% confidence that it complies with the test specification requirements. The measurement uncertainty for any test is available upon request.

#### TEST DESCRIPTION

The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was transmitting and/or receiving while set at the lowest channel, a middle channel, and the highest channel available. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT antenna in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.4:2003).

The amplitude and frequency of the highest emissions were noted. The EUT was then replaced with a horn antenna. A signal generator was connected to the horn antenna and its output was adjusted to match the level previously noted for each frequency. The output of the signal generator was recorded, and by factoring in the cable loss to the dipole antenna and its gain (dBi); the effective radiated power for each radiated spurious emission was determined.





## Effective Radiated Power (EIRP)

PSA 2007.05.07





## Effective Radiated Power (EIRP)



