Subject: RE: E2X-SWL2200C request for information
Date: Mon, 15 Jul 2002 12:07:52 -0400
From: Kathy Grzovic <kgrzovic@rheintech.com>
To: 'Dennis Ward' <dennis@yosemite.net>
CC: Desmond Fraser <Desmond@rheintech.com>

Dennis:

I'm sorry that the photo of the component
side is unclear. I had an engineer look
at the EUT and the schematics and he's
certain that the capacitor is not populated.
The schematics may also be a little difficult
to read however on page 4 of the schematics file,
the port C64 is marked NOPOP (near the top of
the page, between the numbers 4 and 3, closer to
4).

This confirms our previous statement that the
manufacturer does not intend to support this
port, and if and when he intends to do so,
a Class II permissive change application will
be submitted.

Please advise if this adequately addresses
this issue.

Thanks again Dennis.

Kathy

-----Original Message-----
From: Dennis Ward [mailto:dennis@yosemite.net]
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2002 08:43 PM
To: Kathy Grzovic
Subject: Re: E2X-SWL2200C request for information

Hi Kathy
in your response to item one you stated that the client does not want to
use this port. However, that really does not answer the question. The
schematic shows this port is available, IF the manufacturer does not want
to use this available port, how is the port disabled? As mentioned, the
photo seemed to show the capacitor as being populated, but I could not tell
because the photo for the component side was not clear. If the location
for the capacitor is not populated, thus disabling the availability of the
port, please state this specifically so it is not ambiguous. If it is not
populated, please state that the manufacturer has no intention of making
this port available.

thanks
Dennis

Kathy Grzovic wrote:

> Dennis:
>
> I can't thank you enough for reviewing

> and commenting so quickly. We have
> uploaded a response and a modified
> test report. Please advise if there
> are any questions.
>
> Thanks again.
>
> Kathy
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dennis Ward [mailto:dennis@yosemite.net]
> Sent: Friday, July 12, 2002 04:02 PM
> To: atcbinfo@rheintech.com
> Subject: E2X-SWL2200C request for information
>
> Hi Desmond / Kathy
> I know that this is 'urgent' for you. I have attached the request for
> information. As soon as I receive the revised report, manual and other
> info I can proceed with the issuance of the grant.
>
> Also, I noticed that the 731 does not seek confidentiality on the
> schematics etc. Is this correct? If not, I will need teh
> confidentiality request stating what is confidential.
>
> thanks
> Dennis Ward
> dward@americantcb.com