FOUNTAIN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

COMPLIANCE LABORATORY

50 Randolph Rd.
Somerset, NJ 08873

Tel: (732) 560-9010
Fax: (732) 560-9173

ELECTROMAGNETIC EMISSION COMPLIANCE REPORT

of

TRANSMITTER
MODEL: TELIS 1
FCC ID: DWNTELIS1

JANUARY 19, 2000

This report concerns (check one): Original grant __x__ Class II change _____
Equipment type: TRANSMITTER

Deferred grant requested per 47 CF 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? yes _____ no __x__
If yes, defer until: _____ (date)
Company agrees to notify the Commission by _____ (date)
of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

Transition Rules Request per 15.37? yes _____ no __x__
If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B for unintentional radiators - the new 47 CFR [10-1-90 Edition] provision.

Report prepared for: SOMFY SYSTEM, INC.
Report prepared by: Fountain Compliance Lab
Report number: 0048-990804-01

NVLAP

The test result in this report IS supported and covered by the NVLAP accreditation

Table of Contents

Figures

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Verification of Compliance

EUT:	TRANSMITTER
Model:	TELIS 1
Applicant:	SOMFY SYSTEM, INC.
Test Type:	FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION
Result:	PASS
Tested by:	FOUNTAIN COMPLIANCE LABORATORY
Test Date:	12/20/99
Report Number:	0048-990804-01

The above equipment was tested by Fountain Technologies, Inc. Compliance Laboratory for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15, subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Fountain Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty u_c	norm.	±2.36	±2.99	±1.83



Wei Li
Lab Manager
Fountain Compliance Lab
Fountain Technologies, Inc.

Date: Jan. 19, 2000

1.2 Equipment Modifications

N/A

1.3 Product Information

System Configuration

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	TRANSMITTER	DWNTELIS1	
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	BATTERY		
Clock/OSC Freq.	433.5 MHz		
Device Type	Periodic Operation		

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

1.4 Test Methodology

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-1992 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

1.5 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at 50 Randolph Road, Somerset, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

1.6 Test Equipment

Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Last Cal dd/mm/yy	Cal Due dd/mm/yy
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3625A00341	EMI Receiver	15/12/99	15/12/00
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	20/05/99	20/05/00
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	26/04/99	26/04/00
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	21/10/99	21/10/00
ARA	BCD-235/B	172	30-200MHz Biconical Antenna	05/05/99	05/05/00
EMCO	3146	9008-2860	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	05/05/99	05/05/00

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.

1.7 Statement for the Document Use

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

2. PRODUCT LABELING



Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label



Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT

3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). And its antenna was permanently attached to the EUT (Made on the PCB).

This manually operated transmitter will deactivate immediately after press “O” button.

Testing was performed in either “UP arrow” or “ Down arrow” button. It is the worst case.

3.2 Special Accessories

N/A

3.3 Configuration of Tested System

Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.3 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.

Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2

Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3

4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS



Figure 4.1 System Schematics

5. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

5.1 Field Strength Calculation

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

$$FS = RA - AF - CF – AG$$

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dBµV/m
RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dBµV
AF: Antenna Factor in dB/m
CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

The pulse train timing plots are showed in Figure 5.1.
The pulse train timing plots as follows:
The total time for each pulse train is 139.62 ms, The short pulse is 0.640ms, The middlle pluse is 2.5 ms, The long pulse is 4.8m

Coeff=(56x0.640+2.5x12+4.8x1)/139.62 = -5.92dB

The maximum average field strength should be 0.316 of the peak field strength measured. So we use peak value minus 5.92dB as calculated maximum average field strength.

5.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The EUT exercise program was loaded during the radiated emission test. The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range 30MHz - 5GHz using peak detector. IF bandwidth is 120kHz and video bandwidth is 300kHz for measuring 30MHz-1GHz. Both bandwidth are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement.

5.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, calculated average reading, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 5.1.

Test Personnel:

Tester Signature 

Typed/Printed Name: David Tu Date: Dec. 20, 2000

Radiated Test Data

Frequency (MHz)	Polarity [H or V], Position (X,Y,Z)	Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak Reading (dBμV/m)	Calculated Average Reading (dBμV/m)	FCC 3m Limit (dBμV/m)	Difference from limit (dB)
433.5	H,X	2.5	270	76.6	70.7	80.8 (3)	-10.1
1733.7	H,X	1.0	000	48.0	48.0	60.8(4)	-12.8
433.5	V,X	2.3	180	72.7	66.8	80.8 (3)	-14
1300.2	V,X	1.7	135	44.5	44.5	54.0(2)	-9.5
1733.7	V,X	2.6	270	48.3	48.3	60.8(4)	-12.5
433.5	H,Y	2.5	090	77.0	71.1	80.8(3)	-9.7
433.5	V,Y	2.0	175	71.6	65.7	80.8 (3)	-15.1
1300.2	V,Y	1.4	135	44.7	44.7	54.0(2)	-9.3
433.5	H,Z	1.0	260	65.6	59.7	80.8 (3)	-21.1
1300.2	H,Z	1.3	180	46.7	46.7	54.0(2)	-7.3
433.5	V,Z	1.3	180	82.0	76.1	80.8 (3)	-4.7

(1) See Figure 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 for definition of position 1, 2, 3.
(2) Restricted band.
(3) Fundamental limit is 3750-12500 microvolts/meter linear interpolations.
(4) Spurious limit is 375-1250 microvolts/meter linear interpolations.

5.4 Occupied Bandwidth

The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency, in this case, 1.084MHz(433.5x0.25%). Bandwidth is determined at the points 20dB down from the modulated carrier. Figure 5.1 shows the occupied bandwidth plot.

See attachment: Pulsetrn.jpg

Figure 5.1 Pulse Train Timing

See attachment: ocpband.jpg

Figure 5.2 Occupied Bandwidth

6. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT

The following photos show the inside details of the EUT.

See Attachments: front.jpg, rear.jpg, inside.jpg, component.jpg, foil.jpg