

Table of Contents

Report Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Figures	3
1. GENERAL INFORMATION	4
1.1 Verification of Compliance	4
1.2 Equipment Modifications	5
1.3 Product Information	6
1.4 Test Methodology	6
1.5 Test Facility	6
1.6 Test Equipment	6
1.7 Statement of the Document Use	7
2. PRODUCT LABELING	8
3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION	9
3.1 Justification	9
3.2 Special Accessories	9
3.3 Configuration of Tested System	9
4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS	13
5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA	14
5.1 Test Methods and Conditions	14
5.2 Test Data	14
6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA	17
6.1 Field Strength Calculation	17
6.2 Test Methods and Conditions	17
6.2 Test Data	17
6.4. Occupied Bandwidth	19
7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT	21

Figures

Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label.....8

Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT8

Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1.....10

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2.....10

Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3.....11

Figure 3.4 Conducted Test Setup, Front.....12

Figure 3.5 Conducted Test Setup, Rear12

Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics.....13

Figure 5.1 Line Conducted.....15

Figure 5.2 Neutral Conducted16

Figure 6.1 Bandwidth Plot18

Figure 6.2 Pulse Train Timing19

Figure 7.1 Front View.....22

Figure 7.2 Rear View23

Figure 7.3 Inside View, Cover Opened24

Figure 7.4 Component Side.....25

Figure 7.5 Foil Side26

1. GENERAL INFORMATION


1.1 Verification of Compliance

EUT: SOLIRIS RTS WIND SENSOR
 Model: 6150546
 Applicant: SOMFY SYSTEM, INC.
 Test Type: FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION (15.231: a)
 Result: PASS
 Tested by: ADVANCED COMPLIANCE LABORATORY
 Test Date: August 31, 2005
 Report Number: 0048-050826-01

The above equipment was tested by Compliance Laboratory, Advanced Technologies, Inc. for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15 subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Advanced Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty u_c	norm.	±2.36	±2.99	±1.83



 Wei Li
 Lab Manager
 Advanced Compliance Lab

Date: September 1, 2005

1.2 Equipment Modifications

N/A

1.3 Product Information

System Configuration

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	SOLIRIS RTS WIND SENSOR 6150546 ⁽¹⁾	DWNRTS1	
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	24VAC / 120 VAC		
Operation Freq.	433.42MHz		
Device Type	Periodic Operation		
Receiver	SENSOR Receiver	DoC	

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

1.4 Test Methodology

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-2003 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

1.5 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at Hillsborough, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

1.6 Test Equipment

Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Last Cal dd/mm/y	Cal Due dd/mm/y
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3448A00290	EMI Receiver	12/01/05	12/01/06
EMCO	3104C	9307-4396	20-300MHz Biconical Antenna	12/02/05	12/02/06
EMCO	3146	9008-2860	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	09/02/05	09/02/06
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	28/08/05	28/08/06
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	28/08/05	28/08/06
EMCO	6502	2665	10KHz-30MHz Active Loop Antenna	27/02/05	27/02/06
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	18/08/05	18/08/06

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.

1.7 Statement for the Document Use

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

2. PRODUCT LABELING




Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label




Figure 2.2 FCC ID Label Location

3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). And its antenna was on PCB.

Testing was performed as EUT was operated continuously.

3.2 Special Accessories

N/A

3.3 Configuration of Tested System

Figure 3.1 to Figure 3.5 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.

NA

Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, position 1-X

NA

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, position 2-Y



Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, position 3-Z



Figure 3.4 Conducted Setup- Front



Figure 3.5 Conducted Setup- Rear

4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS

See Attachment.

Figure 4.1 System Schematics

5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA

5.1 Test Methods and Conditions

The EUT was under normal operational mode during the conducted emission test. EMI Receiver was scanned from 150KHz to 30MHz with maximum hold mode for maximum emission. Recorded data was sent to the plotter to generate output in linear format. At the input of the spectrum analyzer, a HP transient limiter is inserted for protective purpose. This limiter has a 10 dB attenuation in the range of 150KHz to 30MHz. That factor was automatically compensated by the receiver, so the readings are the corrected readings. The reference of the plot is the CISPR 22 Class B limit in Figure 5.1 through Figure 5.2.

Conducted Emission Technical Requirements				
Frequency Range	Class A		Class B	
	Quasi-Peak dBuV	Average dBuV	Quasi-Peak DBuV	Average dBuV
150kHz –0.5MHz	79 (8912uV)	66 (1995uV)	66-56	56-46
0.5MHz-30MHz	73 (4467uV)	60 (1000uV)	---	---
0.5MHz- 5MHz	---	---	56	46 (250uV)
5MHz-30MHz	---	---	60	50

Emissions that have peak values close to the specification limit (if any) are also measured in the quasi-peak mode to determine compliance.

5.2 Test Data

Figure 5.1-5.2 show the neutral and line conducted emissions for the standard operation.

Six Highest Data for AC Line Conducted Emissions						
Frequency (MHz)	0.153	0.206	12.08	12.15	-	-
Line(L)/Neutral(N)	N	L	N	L	-	-
Peak Reading (dBuV)	32.2	30.1	20.0	27.4	-	-
Class B Limit (average)	56	53	50	50	-	-
Margin (dB)	-23.8	-22.9	-30.0	-22.6	-	-

Test Personnel:



Tester Signature: _____

Date: August 31, 2005

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee





Fig. 5.1 Conducted Emission-Line





Fig. 5.2 Conducted Emission- Neutral

6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

6.1 Field Strength Calculation

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF + AG$$

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dB μ V/m

RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dB μ V

AF: Antenna Factor in dB/m

CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

The pulse train timing plots are showed in Figure 6.2.

The pulse train timing plots as follows:

The total time for each pulse train is 139.62 ms, The short pulse is 0.640ms, The middle pulse is 2.5 ms, The long pulse is 4.8m

Coeff. $= (56 \times 0.640 + 1 \times 4.8 + 4 \times 2.5 + (2.88 - 2.5)) / (71.68 + 5.44 + 22.88) = 51.02 / 100 = 0.51$

The maximum average field strength should be 0.51 of the peak field strength measured. So we use peak value minus 5.85dB as calculated maximum average field strength.

6.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range below 30MHz using peak detector and 9KHz IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth. For the range 30MHz - 1GHz, 120KHz IF bandwidth / 120KHz video bandwidth are used. Both bandwidths are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement. Up to 10th harmonics were investigated.

6.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 6.1.

Test Personnel:



Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee

Date: September 1, 2005

Radiated Test Data

Frequency (MHz)	Polarity [H or V], Position (X,Y,Z)	Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak Reading (dBμV/m)	Calculated Average Reading (dBμV/m)	FCC 3m Limit (dBμV/m)	Difference from limit (dB)
433.4	H,Z(1)	1.4	0	82.8	76.95	80.8(3)	-3.85
866.8	H,Z	1.5	0	46.5	40.65	60.8(4)	-20.15
1300.3	H,Z	1.3	0	50.1	44.25	54.0(2)	-9.75
1734	H,Z	1.3	0	48.6	42.75	60.8	-18.05
2167.4	H,Z	1.3	0	47.5	41.65	60.8	-19.15
433.4	V,Z	1.3	10	83.9	78.05	80.8	-2.75
866.8	V,Z	1.2	10	44.5	38.65	54.0	-15.35
1300.3	V,Z	1.2	10	51.0	45.15	60.8	-15.65
1734	V,Z	1.2	10	50.8	44.95	60.8	-15.85
2167.4	V,Z	1.2	10	48.4	42.55	60.8	-38.25
2600.8	V,Z	1.1	30	47.0	41.15	60.8	-19.65

- (1) See Figure 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 for definition of position X-1, Y-2, Z-3.
- (2) Restricted band.
- (3) Fundamental limit is 3750-12500 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading). Per FCC 15.231(a).
- (4) Spurious limit is 375-1250 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading). Per 15.231(a).

6.4 Occupied Bandwidth

The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency, in this case, 1.084MHz(433.5x0.25%). Bandwidth is determined at the points 20dB down from the modulated carrier. Figure 6.1 shows the occupied bandwidth plot.




Figure 6.1 Occupied Bandwidth




Figure 6.2 (a) Pulse Train Timing




Figure 6.2(b) Pulse Train Timing

7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT

The following photos show the inside details of the EUT.