Advanced
Compliance Laboratory

6 Randolph Way
Hillsborough, NJ 08844
Tel: (908) 927 9288
Fax: (908) 927 0728

ELECTROMAGNETIC EMISSION COMPLIANCE REPORT

of

REMOTE CONTROL
MODEL: **LD02 & RT-5C**
FCC ID: DWNLD02

March 27, 2012

This report concerns (check one): Original grant __x__ Class II change _____
Equipment type: Low Power Intentional Radiator

Deferred grant requested per 47 CF 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? yes _____ no __x__
If yes, defer until: _____ (date)
Company agrees to notify the Commission by _____ (date)
of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

Transition Rules Request per 15.37? yes _____ no __x__
If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B for unintentional radiators - the new 47 CFR [10-1-90 Edition] provision.

Report prepared for:	SOMFY SYSTEMS Inc.
Report prepared by:	Advanced Compliance Lab
Report number:	0048-110915-02

NVLAP®

The test result in this report IS supported and covered by the NVLAP accreditation

Table of Contents

Report Cover Page 1

Table of Contents 2

Figures 3

1. GENERAL INFORMATION 4
- **1.1 Verification of Compliance** 4
- **1.2 Equipment Modifications** 5
- **1.3 Product Information** 6
- **1.4 Test Methodology** 6
- **1.5 Test Facility** 6
- **1.6 Test Equipment** 6
- **1.7 Statement of the Document Use** 7

2. PRODUCT LABELING 8

3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION 9
- **3.1 Justification** 9
- **3.2 Special Accessories** 9
- **3.3 Configuration of Tested System** 9

4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS 13

5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA 14
- **5.1 Test Methods and Conditions** 14
- **5.2 Test Data** 14

6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA 17
- **6.1 Field Strength Calculation** 17
- **6.2 Test Methods and Conditions** 17
- **6.2 Test Data** 17
- **6.4. Occupied Bandwidth** 19

7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT 21

Figures

Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label....8

Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT....8

Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1....10

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2....10

Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3....11

Figure 3.4 Conducted Test Setup, Front....12

Figure 3.5 Conducted Test Setup, Rear....12

Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics....13

Figure 5.1 Line Conducted....15

Figure 5.2 Neutral Conducted....16

Figure 6.1 Bandwidth Plot....19

Figure 6.2 Pulse Train Timing....20

Figure 7.1 Front View....22

Figure 7.2 Rear View....23

Figure 7.3 Insider View....24

Figure 7.4 Component Side....25

Figure 7.5 Foil Side....26

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Verification of Compliance

EUT:	REMOTE CONTROL
Model:	LD02&RT-5C (The LD02 and RT-5C are using the same PCBA but a different plastic casing)
Applicant:	SOMFY SYSTEMS INC.
Test Type:	FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION (15.231(a))
Result:	PASS
Tested by:	ADVANCED COMPLIANCE LABORATORY
Test Date:	March 12-26, 2012
Report Number:	0048-110915-02

The above equipment was tested by Compliance Laboratory, Advanced Technologies, Inc. for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15 subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Advanced Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty u_c	norm.	±2.36	±2.99	±1.83



Wei Li
Lab Manager
Advanced Compliance Lab

Date: March 27, 2012

1.2 Equipment Modifications

N/A

1.3 Product Information

System Configuration

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	REMOTE CONTROL (1)	DWNLD02	
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	3V Battery		
Operation Freq.	433.92 HMz		
Device Type	Periodic Operation		
Receiver	Receiver	Verification	

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

1.4 Test Methodology

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-2003 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

1.5 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at Hillsborough, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

1.6 Test Equipment

Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Cal Due dd/mm/yy
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3448A00290	EMI Receiver	15/10/12
EMCO	3104C	9307-4396	20-300MHz Biconical Antenna	15/10/12
EMCO	3146	9008-2860	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	15/10/13
Fischer Custom	LISN-1	900-4-0008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	18/03/12
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	24/03/12
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	22/01/13
Agilent	E4440A	US40420700	PSA Spectrum Analyzer	25/08/13

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.

1.7 Statement for the Document Use

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

2. PRODUCT LABELING

FCC ID: DWNLD02

This device complies with part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operating is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label



Figure 2.2 FCC ID Label Location

3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). Its antenna is on PCB. The EUT is for remote control and does not send data.

The transmission does stop when the button is released after the completion of the frame. This time is than 5 seconds.

Testing was performed as EUT was operated continuously. Fresh batteries were used.

3.2 Special Accessories

N/A

3.3 Configuration of Tested System

Figure 3.1 to Figure 3.5 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.

Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, position 1-X

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, position 2-Y

Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, position 3-Z

N/A

Figure 3.4 Conducted Setup- Front

N/A

Figure 3.5 Conducted Setup- Rear

4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS

See Attachment.

Figure 4.1 System Schematics

5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA

5.1 Test Methods and Conditions

The EUT was under normal operational mode during the conducted emission test. EMI Receiver was scanned from 150KHz to 30MHz with maximum hold mode for maximum emission. Recorded data was sent to the plotter to generate output in linear format. At the input of the spectrum analyzer, a HP transient limiter is inserted for protective purpose. This limiter has a 10 dB attenuation in the range of 150KHZ to 30MHZ. That factor was automatically compensated by the receiver, so the readings are the corrected readings. The reference of the plot is the CISPR 22 Class B limit in Figure 5.1 through Figure 5.2.

Conducted Emission Technical Requirements				
	Class A		Class B	
Frequency Range	Quasi-Peak dBuV	Average dBuV	Quasi-Peak DBuV	Average dBuV
150kHz –0.5MHz	79 (8912uV)	66 (1995uV)	66-56	56-46
0.5MHz-30MHz	73 (4467uV)	60 (1000uV)	---	---
0.5MHz- 5MHz	---	---	56	46 (250uV)
5MHz-30MHz	---	---	60	50

Emissions that have peak values close to the specification limit (if any) are also measured in the quasi-peak mode to determine compliance.

5.2 Test Data

Figure 5.1-5.2 show the neutral and line conducted emissions for the standard operation.

N/A

Test Personnel:

Tester Signature: _____ Date: _____

Typed/Printed Name:_____

N/A

Fig. 5.1 Conducted Emission-Line

N/A

Fig. 5.2 Conducted Emission- Neutral

6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

6.1 Field Strength Calculation

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF + AG$$

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dBμV/m
RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dBμV
AF: Antenna Factor in dB/m
CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

The pulse train timing plots are showed in Figure 6.2.
The pulse train timing plots as follows:
The total time for each pulse train is 139.62 ms, The short pulse is 0.640ms, The middle pulse is 2.5 ms, The long pulse is 4.8ms.
Coeff. =(55x0.640+1x4.8+5x2.5)/100=0.525
The maximum average field strength should be 0.525 of the peak field strength measured. So we use peak value minus 5.6dB as calculated maximum average field strength.

6.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range below 30MHz using peak detector and 9KHz IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth. For the range under 1GHz, 120KHz IF bandwidth / 120KHz video bandwidth are used. Both bandwidths are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement. Up to 10th harmonics were investigated.

6.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 6.1.

Test Personnel: 

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee Date: March 26, 2012

Radiated Test Data

Frequency (MHz)	Polarity [H or V], Position (X,Y,Z)	Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak Reading (dBmV/m)	Calculated Average Reading (dBmV/m	FCC 3m Limit (dBmV/m)	Difference from limit (dB)
433.93	H,X(1)	1.1	180	76.80	71.20	80.8(3)	-9.60
867.85	H,X	1.1	225	47.97	42.37	60.8(4)	-18.43
1301.81	H,X	1.0	225	49.13	43.53	54.0(2)	-10.47
1735.71	H,X	1.0	225	47.95	42.35	60.80	-18.45
433.93	V,X	1.1	000	67.88	62.28	80.80	-18.52
867.85	V,X	1.1	090	40.93	35.33	60.80	-25.47
1301.81	V,X	1.0	090	49.65	44.05	54.00	-9.95
1735.71	V,X	1.0	090	48.38	42.78	60.80	-18.02
433.93	H,Y	1.1	000	76.74	71.14	80.80	-9.66
867.85	H,Y	1.1	000	48.74	43.14	60.80	-17.66
1301.81	H,Y	1.0	225	49.82	44.22	54.00	-9.78
1735.71	H,Y	1.0	090	48.53	42.93	60.80	-17.87
433.93	V,Y	1.1	045	67.21	61.61	80.80	-19.19
867.85	V,Y	1.1	090	42.14	36.54	60.80	-24.26
1301.81	V,Y	1.1	000	52.20	46.60	54.00	-7.40
1735.71	V,Y	1.1	000	50.47	44.87	60.80	-15.93
433.93	H,Z	1.2	090	63.10	57.50	80.80	-23.30
867.85	H,Z	1.2	180	40.34	34.74	60.80	-26.06
1301.81	H,Z	1.1	180	47.97	42.37	54.00	-11.63
1735.71	H,Z	1.1	090	49.14	43.54	60.80	-17.26
433.93	V,Z	1.2	090	79.15	73.55	80.80	-7.25
867.85	V,Z	1.2	090	47.73	42.13	60.80	-18.67
1301.81	V,Z	1.1	045	52.27	46.67	54.00	-7.33
1735.71	V,Z	1.1	090	49.10	43.50	60.80	-17.30

(1) See Figure 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 for definition of position X-1, Y-2, Z-3.
(2) Restricted band.
(3) Fundamental limit is 1500-5000 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading) for 260-470 MHz fundamental frequency range; 4390uV/m for 433.92MHz Fundamental. Per FCC 15.231(b).
(4) Spurious limit is 150-500 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading). Per 15.231(b).

5.4 Occupied Bandwidth

The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency, in this case, 1.0847MHz(433.9x0.25%). Bandwidth is determined at the points 20dB down from the modulated carrier. Figure 5.2 shows the occupied bandwidth plot.



Figure 6.1 Occupied Bandwidth



Tx stopped within 5s after button released



Figure 6.2 Pulse Train Timing

7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT

The following photos show the inside details of the EUT.