

6 Randolph Way Hillsborough, NJ 08844 Tel: (908) 927 9288 Fax: (908) 927 0728

٦

### **ELECTROMAGNETIC EMISSION COMPLIANCE REPORT** of

#### **RTS SWITCH** MODEL: DECOFLEX FCC ID: DWNDECOFLEX

March 6, 2008

This report concerns (check one): Original grant <u>x</u> Class II change <u>Equipment type: Low Power Intentional Radiator</u>					
Deferred grant requested per 47 Cl If Company agrees to notify the Com of the intended date of announcem issued on that date.	yes, defer until: (date)				
Transition Rules Request per 15.37 If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B [10-1-90 Edition] provision.	7? yes <u>no x</u> for unintentional radiators - the new 47 CFR				
Report prepared for: Report prepared by: Report number:	Somfy System, Inc. Advanced Compliance Lab 0048-080214-01				
	port IS supported and covered by the NVI AP accreditat				

The test result in this report IS supported and covered by the NVLAP accreditation

# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents2Figures31. GENERAL INFORMATION41.1 Verification of Compliance41.2 Equipment Modifications51.3 Product Information61.4 Test Methodology61.5 Test Facility61.6 Test Equipment61.7 Statement of the Document Use72. PRODUCT LABELING83. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION93.1 Justification93.2 Special Accessories93.3 Configuration of Tested System9
1. GENERAL INFORMATION  4    1.1 Verification of Compliance  4    1.2 Equipment Modifications  5    1.3 Product Information  6    1.4 Test Methodology  6    1.5 Test Facility  6    1.6 Test Equipment  6    1.7 Statement of the Document Use  7    2. PRODUCT LABELING  8    3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION  9    3.1 Justification  9    3.2 Special Accessories  9
1.1 Verification of Compliance41.2 Equipment Modifications51.3 Product Information61.4 Test Methodology61.5 Test Facility61.6 Test Equipment61.7 Statement of the Document Use72. PRODUCT LABELING83. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION93.1 Justification93.2 Special Accessories9
1.1 Verification of Compliance41.2 Equipment Modifications51.3 Product Information61.4 Test Methodology61.5 Test Facility61.6 Test Equipment61.7 Statement of the Document Use72. PRODUCT LABELING83. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION93.1 Justification93.2 Special Accessories9
1.3 Product Information  6    1.4 Test Methodology  6    1.5 Test Facility  6    1.6 Test Equipment  6    1.7 Statement of the Document Use  7    2. PRODUCT LABELING  8    3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION  9    3.1 Justification  9    3.2 Special Accessories  9
1.4 Test Methodology
1.5 Test Facility  6    1.6 Test Equipment  6    1.7 Statement of the Document Use  7    2. PRODUCT LABELING  8    3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION  9    3.1 Justification  9    3.2 Special Accessories  9
1.6 Test Equipment
1.7 Statement of the Document Use
2. PRODUCT LABELING
3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION
3.1 Justification93.2 Special Accessories9
3.2 Special Accessories9
-
3.3 Configuration of Tested System9
4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS
5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA14
5.1 Test Methods and Conditions14
5.2 Test Data14
6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA
6.1 Field Strength Calculation17
6.2 Test Methods and Conditions17
6.2 Test Data17
6.4. Occupied Bandwidth19
7. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT

# Figures

Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label	8
Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT	8
Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1	10
Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2	10
Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3	11
Figure 3.4 Conducted Test Setup, Front	12
Figure 3.5 Conducted Test Setup, Rear	12
Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics	13
Figure 5.1 Line Conducted	15
Figure 5.2 Neutral Conducted	16
Figure 6.1 Bandwidth Plot	19
Figure 6.2 Pulse Train Timing	20
Figure 7.1 Front View	23
Figure 7.2 Rear View	24
Figure 7.3 Component Side	25
Figure 7.4 Foil Side	26

### **1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **1.1 Verification of Compliance**

EUT:	RTS SWITCH
Model:	DECOFLEX
Applicant:	SOMFY SYSTEM, INC.
Test Type:	FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION (15.231: a)
Result:	PASS
Tested by:	ADVANCED COMPLIANCE LABORATORY
Test Date:	March 3, 2008
Report Number:	0048-080214-01

The above equipment was tested by Compliance Laboratory, Advanced Technologies, Inc. for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15 subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Advanced Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty $u_c$	norm.	±2.36	±2.99	±1.83

Wei Li Lab Manager Advanced Compliance Lab

Date: March 6, 2008

## **1.2 Equipment Modifications**

N/A

### **1.3 Product Information**

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	RTS SWITCH	DWNDECOFLEX	
	DECOFLEX <sup>(1)</sup>		
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	3V Battery		
Operation Freq.	433.42MHz		
Device Type	Periodic Operation		
Receiver	SENSOR Receiver	DoC	

### **System Configuration**

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

#### **1.4 Test Methodology**

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-2003 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

#### **1.5 Test Facility**

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at Hillsborough, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

#### 1.6 Test Equipment

Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Last Cal dd/mm/y	Cal Due dd/mm/y
				У	У
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3448A00290	EMI Receiver	12/01/08	12/01/09
EMCO	3104C	9307-4396	20-300MHz Biconical Antenna	12/02/08	12/02/09
EMCO	3146	9008-2860	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	09/02/08	09/02/09
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	28/08/07	28/08/08
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-0009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	28/08/07	28/08/08
EMCO	6502	2665	10KHz-30MHz Active Loop Antenna	27/02/08	27/02/09
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	18/08/07	18/08/08

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.

#### **1.7 Statement for the Document Use**

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

#### 2. PRODUCT LABELING

FCC ID: DWNDECOFLEX

This device complies with part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operating is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

Figure 2.1 FCC ID Label




Figure 2.2 FCC ID Label Location

# **3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION**

### 3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). Its antenna is integrated on PCB and the transmission will be deactivated with 5s (or immediately) after the operation button is released.

Testing was performed as EUT was operated continuously.

### **3.2 Special Accessories**

N/A

### **3.3 Configuration of Tested System**

Figure 3.1 to Figure 3.5 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.

## NA

## Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, position 1-X

# NA

Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, position 2-Y



Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, position 3-Z

N/A Figure 3.4 Conducted Setup- Front

N/A Figure 3.5 Conducted Setup- Rear

# 4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS

See Attachment.

**Figure 4.1 System Schematics** 

### **5. CONDUCTED EMISSION DATA**

#### **5.1 Test Methods and Conditions**

The EUT was under normal operational mode during the conducted emission test. EMI Receiver was scanned from 150KHz to 30MHz with maximum hold mode for maximum emission. Recorded data was sent to the plotter to generate output in linear format. At the input of the spectrum analyzer, a HP transient limiter is inserted for protective purpose. This limiter has a 10 dB attenuation in the range of 150KHZ to 30MHZ. That factor was automatically compensated by the receiver, so the readings are the corrected readings. The reference of the plot is the CISPR 22 Class B limit in Figure 5.1 through Figure 5.2.

Conducted Emission Technical Requirements						
	Cla	ss A	Class B			
Frequency Range	Quasi-Peak dBuV	Average dBuV	Quasi-Peak DBuV	Average dBuV		
150kHz -0.5MHz	79 (8912uV)	66 (1995uV)	66-56	56-46		
0.5MHz-30MHz	73 (4467uV)	60 (1000uV)				
0.5MHz- 5MHz			56	46 (250uV)		
5MHz-30MHz			60	50		

Emissions that have peak values close to the specification limit (if any) are also measured in the quasipeak mode to determine compliance.

#### 5.2 Test Data

Figure 5.1-5.2 show the neutral and line conducted emissions for the standard operation.

N/A

Test Personnel:

6 Im

Tester Signature:

Date: March 6, 2008

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee

# <u>N/A</u>

Fig. 5.1 Conducted Emission-Line

# <u>N/A</u>

Fig. 5.2 Conducted Emission- Neutral

## 6. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

#### **6.1 Field Strength Calculation**

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

FS = RA + AF + CF + AG

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dBuV/m

RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dBµV

AF. Antenna Factor in dB/m

CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

The pulse train timing plots are showed in Figure 6.2.

The pulse train timing plots as follows:

The total time for each pulse train is 139.62 ms, The short pulse is 0.640ms, The middle pulse is 2.5 ms. The long pulse is 4.8m

Coeff. =(56x0.640+1x4.8+4x2.5+(2.88-2.5))/(71.68+5.44+22.88)=51.02/100=0.51

The maximum average field strength should be 0.51 of the peak field strength measured. So we use peak value minus 5.85dB as calculated maximum average field strength.

#### 6.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range below 30MHz using peak detector and 9KHz IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth. For the range 30MHz - 1GHz, 120KHz IF bandwidth / 120KHz video bandwidth are used. Both bandwidths are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement. Up to 10<sup>th</sup> harmonics were investigated.

### 6.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 6.1.

Test Personnel: 2 dum

Typed/Printed Name: Edward Lee

Date: March 6, 2008

Frequenc	Polarity	Height	Azimuth	Peak	Calculated	FCC	Difference
у	[H or V],			Reading	Average	3m Limit	from limit
	Position	(m)	(Degree)	$(dB\mu V/m)$	Reading	$(dB\mu V/m)$	(dB)
(MHz)	(X,Y,Z)				(dBµV/m		
433.42	H,Z	1.4	100	73.5	67.65	80.8	-13.15
866.84	H,Z	1.3	90	43.7	37.85	60.8	-22.95
1300.26*	H,Z	1.1	90	47.8	41.95	54.0	-12.05
1733.68	H,Z	1.1	90	48.0	42.15	60.8	-18.65
433.42	V,Z	1.2	90	75.8	69.95	80.8	-10.85
866.84	V,Z	1.2	50	46.6	40.75	60.8	-20.05
1300.26*	V,Z	1.1	100	48.8	42.95	54.0	-11.05
1733.68	V,Z	1.1	100	48.1	42.25	60.8	-18.55
2167.10	V,Z	1.1	90	46.4	40.55	60.8	-20.25

### **Radiated Test Data**

(1) See Figure 3.3 for definition of position Z, which is the typical orientation when EUT is installed.

(2) Restricted band.

(3) Fundamental limit is 3750-12500 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading). Per FCC 15.231(a).

(4) Spurious limit is 375-1250 microvolts/meter linear interpolations (average reading). Per 15.231(a).

#### 5.4 Occupied Bandwidth

The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the center frequency, in this case, 1.084MHz(433.5x0.25%). Bandwidth is determined at the points 20dB down from the modulated carrier. Figure 5.2 shows the occupied bandwidth plot.




Figure 6.1 Occupied Bandwidth




Figure 6.2 (a) Pulse Train Timing

