Computime Limited

Application
For
Class II Permissive Change
(FCC ID: DI2RCM-RX)

Superregenerative Receiver

0302322 o/ 0206471 DL/Sandy April 9, 2003

- The test results reported in this test report shall refer only to the sample actually tested and shall not refer or be deemed to refer to bulk from which such a sample may be said to have been obtained.
- This report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior authorization from Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited.
- For Terms And Conditions of the services, it can be provided upon request.
- The evaluation data of the report will be kept for 3 years from the date of issuance.

LIST OF EXHIBITS

INTRODUCTION

EXHIBIT 1: General Description

EXHIBIT 2: System Test Configuration

EXHIBIT 3: Emission Results

EXHIBIT 4: Equipment Photographs

EXHIBIT 5: Product Labelling

EXHIBIT 6: Technical Specifications

EXHIBIT 7: Instruction Manual

EXHIBIT 8: Miscellaneous Information

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

Computime Limited - MODEL: Ambient RCM-RX FCC ID: DI2RCM-RX

This report concerns (check one:) Original Gran	nt	Class II Change	e <u>X</u>
Equipment Type: <u>Superregenerative Receiver</u> (example	e: computer,	printer, modem,	etc.)
Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)?	Yes	N	No <u>X</u>
	If yes, de	efer until:	ate
Company Name agrees to notify the Commission by: _	date		aic
of the intended date of announcement of the product s date.	o that the g	rant can be issued	l on that
Transition Rules Request per 15.37?	Yes	N	lo <u>X</u>
Transition Rules Request per 15.37? If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B for unintentional redition] provision.			

Table of Contents

1.0 General Description	2
1.1 Product Description	2
1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	2
1.3 Test Methodology	3
1.4 Test Facility	
2.0 System Test Configuration	5
2.0 System Test Configuration 2.1 Justification	
2.2 EUT Exercising Software	
2.3 Special Accessories	
-	
2.4 Equipment Modification	
2.5 Measurement Uncertainty	
2.6 Support Equipment List and Description	0
3.0 Emission Results	8
3.1 Field Strength Calculation.	
3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	10
3.3 Radiated Emission Data	
3.4 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph	13
3.5 Conducted Emission Data	
4.0 Equipment Photographs	16
5.0 Product Labelling	18
6.0 <u>Technical Specifications</u>	20
7.0 <u>Instruction Manual</u>	22
8.0 Miscellaneous Information.	24
8.1 Stabilization Waveform	
8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization.	
8.3 Calculation of Average Factor	
8.4 Emissions Test Procedures	

List of attached file

Exhibit type	File Description	filename	
Test Report	Test Report	report.pdf	
Operation Description	Technical Description	descri.pdf	
Operation Description	Technical Change	change.pdf	
Test Setup Photo	Radiated Emission	radiated photos.pdf	
Test Setup Photo	Conducted Emission	conducted photos.pdf	
Test Report	Conducted Emission Test Result	conducted.pdf	
External Photo	External Photo	external photos.pdf	
Internal Photo	Internal Photo	internal photos.pdf	
Block Diagram	Block Diagram	block.pdf	
Schematics	Circuit Diagram	circuit.pdf	
ID Label/Location	Label Artwork and Location	label.pdf	
User Manual	User Manual	manual.pdf	
Test Report	Stabilization Waveform	superreg.pdf	

EXHIBIT 1

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.0 **General Description**

1.1 Product Description

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) is a Receiver operating at 350MHz. The EUT is powered by 120V 60Hz a.c. The EUT is designed to control a fireplace which equipped with an ON / OFF type millivolt gas control valve. With the slide in the ON position, the system will remain on until the slide switch is placed in the OFF or RS position. With the slide switch in the RS position (centered) the system will only operate if the EUT receives signal from the remote transmitter. With the slide switch in the OFF position, the system is off. The receiver will be under the control of the transmitter if the dip switches setting of both devices are the same.

For electronic filing, the technical change and brief circuit description are saved with filename: change.pdf and descri.pdf respectively.

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for Class II Permissive Change of a receiver.

1.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (1992). All radiated measurements were performed in an Open Area Test Site. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Site only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been placed on file with the FCC.

EXHIBIT 2

SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

2.0 **System Test Configuration**

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.4 (1992).

The device was powered from AC 120V 60Hz mains.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. The step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was mounted to a cardboard box, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the unit is powered up, it received continuously.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Computime Limited will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.

2.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining of the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

2.6 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested with the Fireplace connected with signal cable of 0.4m long.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

Derek Leung Supervisor Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd. Agent for Computime Limited

Signature

April 9, 2003 Date

EXHIBIT 3

EMISSION RESULTS

3.0 **Emission Results**

Data is included of the worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG$$

where $FS = Field Strength in dB\mu V/m$

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dBuV

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

In the following table(s), the reading shown on the data table reflects the preamplifier gain. An example for the calculations in the following table is as follows:

$$FS = RR + LF$$

where $FS = Field Strength in dB\mu V/m$

 $RR = RA - AG \text{ in } dB\mu V$ LF = CF + AF in dB

Assume a receiver reading of 52.0 dB μ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB are added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted, giving a field strength of 32 dB μ V/m. This value in dB μ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

 $RA = 52.0 dB\mu V/m$

 $AF = 7.4 dB RR = 23.0 dB\mu V$

CF = 1.6 dB LF = 9.0 dB

AG = 29.0 dB

FS = RR + LF

 $FS = 23 + 9 = 32 dB\mu V/m$

Level in mV/m = Common Antilogarithm [$(32 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20$] = 39.8 $\mu\text{V/m}$

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission at 702.600 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 0.2 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Ben W. K. Ho, Compliance Engineer

Typed/Printed Name

April 9, 2003

Date

Company: Computime Limited Date of Test: March 5, 2003

Model: Ambient RCM-RX

Table 1
FCC Class B Radiated Emissions

	Frequency	Reading	Antenna	Pre-Amp	Net	Limit	Margin
Polarization			Factor	Gain	at 3m	at 3m	
	(MHz)	(dBµV)	(dB)	(dB)	$(dB\mu V/m)$	$(dB\mu V/m)$	(dB)
Н	328.040	38.7	14.6	16	37.3	46	-8.7
Н	337.040	40.8	14.6	16	39.4	46	-6.6
Н	343.830	43.2	14.6	16	41.8	46	-4.2
Н	348.310	45.9	14.6	16	44.5	46	-1.5
Н	350.540	45.3	14.9	16	44.2	46	-1.8
Н	355.770	44.0	14.9	16	42.9	46	-3.1
Н	359.500	40.9	14.9	16	39.8	46	-6.2
Н	365.430	37.9	14.9	16	36.8	46	-9.2
Н	677.130	37.7	20.7	16	42.4	46	-3.6
Н	686.910	37.2	20.7	16	41.9	46	-4.1
Н	698.130	40.5	20.7	16	45.2	46	-0.8
Н	702.600	40.5	21.3	16	45.8	46	-0.2
Н	707.110	40.2	21.3	16	45.5	46	-0.5
Н	713.110	40.2	21.3	16	45.5	46	-0.5
Н	1155.820	44.8	24.2	34	35.0	54	-19.0
Н	1157.320	46.2	24.2	34	36.4	54	-17.6

NOTES: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

- 2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distances were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
- 3. Negative sign in the column shows value below limit.
- 4. Horn antenna was used for emission greater than 1 GHz.

Test Engineer: Ben W. K. Ho

3.4 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Conducted Emission

For electronic filing, the worst case conducted emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: conducted photos.pdf.

3.5 Conducted Emission Data

For electronic filing, the graph and data table of conducted emission is saved with filename: conducted.pdf.

Judgement: Passed by at least 20 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Signature

Ben W. K. Ho, Compliance Engineer

Typed/Printed Name

April 9, 2003

Date

EXHIBIT 4

EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

4.0 **Equipment Photographs**

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf

EXHIBIT 5

PRODUCT LABELLING

5.0 **Product Labelling**

For electronics filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

EXHIBIT 6

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

6.0 **Technical Specifications**

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematic of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

EXHIBIT 7

INSTRUCTION MANUAL

7.0 **Instruction Manual**

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

EXHIBIT 8

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

8.0 <u>Miscellaneous Information</u>

This miscellaneous information includes details of the stabilizing process (including a plot of the stabilized waveform), the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

8.1 Stabilization Waveform

Previous to the testing, the superregenerative receiver was stabilized as outlined in the test procedure. For the electronic filing, the plot saved with filename: superreg.pdf shows the fundamental emission when a signal generator was used to stabilize the receiver. Please note that the antenna was placed as close as possible to the EUT for clear demonstration of the waveform and that accurate readings are not possible from this plot.

8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF*.

This device is a superregenerative receiver. The stabilized signals are continuous, and no desensitization of the measurement equipment occurs.

8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

The emission limits are specified using spectrum analyzers or receivers which incorporate quasi-peak detectors. Typical measurements are made using peak detectors, however, emissions which approach the respective emission limit are measured using a quasi-peak detector.

For measurements above 1 GHz, spectrum analyzers or receivers using average detectors are employed, or the appropriate average factor can be applied.

Measurements using spectrum analyzers with filters other than peak detectors are recorded in the data table section of this report.

This device is a superregenerative receiver.

It is not necessary to apply average factor to the measurement results.

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd. in the measurements of superregenerative receivers operating under the Part 15, Subpart B rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.4 - 1992. Superregenerative receivers are stabilized prior to measurement by generating a signal well above the receiver threshold whose frequency is tuned until the emissions stabilize into a line spectrum. The signal is usually generated as CW with a Marconi 2022D signal generator and a short whip antenna and is at a level of several hundred to several thousand mV/m. Plots of the stabilized signal will be shown. If a modulated signal is used, it will be noted.

The equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the groundplane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axis to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are also varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels. The height of the antenna is varied from one to four meters.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements were made as described in ANSI C63.4 - 1992.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 100 kHz or greater when frequency is below 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. A discussion of whether pulse desensitivity is applicable to this unit is included in this report (See Exhibit 8.2). Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, unless otherwise reported. Measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.