FCC Electromagnetic Compatibility Test Report

For

#### 3MTM Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation

Security Systems Division Library Systems St. Paul, MN 55144-1000

2 June 2004

Report Number F0504003

Prepared By:

CR-SEMS Product Safety Building 76-1-01 410 East Fillmore Avenue St. Paul, Minnesota 55144-1000 3M Product Safety 3M Center Building 76-1-01 St. Paul MN 55144-1000



### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

#### **USA STANDARD 47 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

<b>Radiated Emissions</b>	(FCC Part 15, Subpart B, Class A)
<b>Conducted Emissions</b>	(FCC Part 15, Subpart B, Class A)
<b>Radiated Emissions</b>	(FCC Part 15, Subpart C)
<b>Conducted Emissions</b>	(FCC Part 15, Subpart C)

MANUFACTURER'S NAME:	3M Company Security Systems Division Library Systems St. Paul, MN 55144-1000
NAME OF EQUIPMENT:	Pad Staff Workstation
MODEL NUMBER:	895
SERIAL NUMBER	Test Prototype (TP) 1
TEST REPORT NUMBER:	F0504003
DATE:	2 June 2004

As the responsible EMC Project Engineer, I hereby declare that the equipment tested, as specified in the test report, at the 3M Product Safety EMC Laboratory is in compliance with 47 CFR, Part 15, Subpart B and Subpart C. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical characteristics.

Roger D. Kuhn EMC Laboratory – Project Leader

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 3 of 36

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Title Page Certificate of Compliance

### Table of Contents

- 1.0 Test Summary 2.0
  - Introduction
    - 2.1 Scope
    - 2.2 EUT - Description and Operation
    - 2.3 **Block Diagram**
    - 2.4 Parts List
    - 2.5 Modifications to EUT
    - 2.6 Measurement Uncertainty
- 3.0 **Applicable Documents**
- 4.0 **Conducted Emissions** 
  - 4.1 Test Procedure
  - 4.2 Test Criteria
  - 4.3 Test Results
- 5.0 **Radiated Emissions** 
  - **Frequency Stability** 5.1
    - 5.1.1 Test Procedure
    - 5.1.2 Test Criteria
    - 5.1.3 Test Results
  - 5.2 **Emissions Bandwidth** 
    - 5.2.1 Test Procedure
    - 5.2.2 Test Criteria
    - 5.2.3 Test Results
  - 5.3 Spurious Emissions (12.5to 30 MHz.)
    - **Test Procedure** 5.3.1
    - 5.3.2 Test Criteria
    - 5.3.3 Test Results
  - 5.4 Radiated Emissions (30 to 5000 MHz.)
    - 5.4.1 **Test Procedure**
    - 5.4.2 Test Criteria
    - 5.4.3 Test Results
- 6.0 List of Test Equipment
- 7.0 Labeling Information
- Appendix A Conducted Emissions Test Data
- **Emissions Bandwidth Test Data** Appendix B
- Appendix C Spurious Emissions Test Data
- Appendix D Radiated Emissions Test Data
- Appendix E **Test Photographs**

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 4 of 36

#### **1.0 TEST SUMMARY**

Test Report Number:	F0504003
Requester:	Ed Goff
Company:	3M Security Systems Division Library Systems Building 209 St. Paul, MN 55144
Telephone Number:	651 - 737-4736
Test Dates:	6,7,10,11,12 May 2004
Equipment Under Test	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation
Date Of Receipt:	15 April 2004
Test Environment	Temperature: 20 to 30 degrees C Relative Humidity: 30 to 70 % RH
Test Results:	Passed the following tests: Conducted Emissions: FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class A; Radiated Emissions: FCC Part 15 Subpart B Class A; Conducted Emissions: FCC Part 15 Subpart C; Radiated Emissions: FCC Part 15 Subpart C;
Modifications:	No modifications were required
Test Location:	3M Product Safety EMC Laboratory Building 76 410 Fillmore Ave. St. Paul, MN 55144-1000

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 5 of 36

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1 Scope

This report contains results describing the conformance of the Equipment Under Test (EUT) to FCC Part 15, Subpart B, "Class A" rules for unintentional radiators and FCC Part 15, Subpart C rules for intentional radiators.

This report is the confidential property of the client and applies only to the specific item tested under the stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical characteristics. This report shall not be reproduced without the written approval of the testing laboratory. When approval has been granted, the report shall be reproduced in its entirety.

The appropriate testing standards and references that were used are contained in Section 3.0. Worst-case test data, test configuration, and photographs (worst case configuration) are provided in the Appendices. Equipment and documentation labeling information is contained in Section 7.0.

Subsequent tests are necessary from time to time on equipment taken at random from production. Re-testing of the EUT is also required when the EMC profile has been changed or is suspected of being changed.

The 3M Product Safety EMC Laboratory is recognized under the United States Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology's National Voluntary Laboratory Program (NVLAP) for satisfactory compliance with criteria established in Title 15, Part 285 Code of Federal Regulations. These criteria encompass the requirements of ISO/IEC Guide 25 and the relevant requirements of ISO 9002 (ANSI/ASQ Q92-1987) as suppliers of test results. Accreditation by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program is awarded for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation for: Electromagnetic Compatibility and Telecommunications, FCC, under Lab Code 200033. A complete copy of the Scope of Accreditation is available upon request. The FCC Site Registration Number is 93334.

The NVLAP accreditation or this test report does not in any way constitute or imply product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the U.S. Government.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 6 of 36

#### **2.2 EUT Description and Operation**

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) is the 3M<sup>™</sup> Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation consisting of the 3M Reader - Serial Number and the Reader Pad - Serial Number, Test Prototype 1.

The 3M<sup>™</sup> Pad Staff Workstation Model 895 is intended for use in check-in/check-out of library materials. Library items that use optical bar-code technology can be converted to RFID technology using the 3M Pad Staff Workstation Model 895 in conjunction with a bar code scanner supplied by the customer.

Designed for use with a PC computer supplied by the customer, the Model 895 includes software packages that enable the user to perform the above functions using 3M<sup>TM</sup> D8 RFID tags.

The 3M Pad Staff Workstation Model 895 is intended for use in an indoor library environment. It has not been evaluated for other uses or locations.

The reader has a transmit frequency of 13.5601 MHz. And a power output level of 1.00 watts (30 dBm) as measured into a 50-ohm load.

The EUT has an integral antenna with an area of 49 square inches (0.032 square meters) and is enclosed in the reader pad. The reader pad can either be placed on or mounted in the library's circulation desk and is connected to the reader via a coax cable employing SMA connectors.

All tests were made using an input of 120 V RMS, 60 Hz, and single-phase power. The EUT was tested with an EMC programs exercising all functions. The Reader was set to the fastest read time and was reading tags during all testing.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 7 of 36

#### 2.3 Block Diagram

The Block diagram is a separate exhibit.

#### 2.4 Part List

The Parts List is a separate exhibit.

#### 2.5 Modifications to the EUT

No modifications were required.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 8 of 36

#### 2.6 Measurement Uncertainty

The data and test results referenced in this report are true and accurate. However, there may be deviations within the calibration limits of the test equipment and facilities that can account for a nominal measurement deviation of  $\pm 2$  dB. Furthermore, EUT component and manufacturing process variables may result in additional deviation. The calculated confidence level is 95 %.

#### **3.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS**

The following documents were used as reference for the limits and test procedures specified herein.

CFR 47	Part 15 Radio Frequency Devices	2002
ANSI C63.4	American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the range of 9 KHz to 40 GHz.	2000
CISPR 16-1	Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods Part 1: Radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus	1998
CISPR 16-2	Specification for radio disturbance and immunity measuring apparatus and methods Part 2: Methods of measurements of disturbances and immunity	1996

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 9 of 36

#### 4.0 CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

Conducted emissions testing was performed in accordance with ANSI C63.4. The limits are prescribed in FCC Part 15, Subpart B and in FCC Part 15, Subpart C.

#### 4.1 Test Procedure

The EUT was placed in a shielded chamber for the tests and tested while exercising all functions with a dummy load attached to the Reader output terminal (See ANSI C63.4-1992 Paragraph 13.1.3.1).

A Line Impedance Stabilization Network (LISN) with a 50 Ohm / 50 microHenry characteristic impedance was used to isolate the EUT and give accurate and repeatable readings. An EMI test receiver was used for the emissions measurements in the range from 150 KHz to 30 MHz. Initial sweep measurements were taken with the receiver in continuous frequency overview mode utilizing peak level signal detection. Acceptance analysis was preformed on the initial measurements to determine which discrete frequencies to maximize. These frequencies were remeasured utilizing quasi-peak detection. Measurement results were automatically calculated via software running the EMI receiver. The final quasi-peak measurements recorded were determined by the following formula:

Result  $(\underline{dB\mu V})$  = receiver reading  $(\underline{dB\mu V})$  + LISN CF (dB) + cable loss (dB)

#### 4.2 Test Criteria

The FCC Part 15, Subpart B, "Class A" conducted limits are given below.

<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Limit</u>
<u>(MHz)</u>	Quasi-Peak (dBµV)	<u>Average (dBµV)</u>
0.15 to 0.5	79	66
0.5 to 30.0	73	60
The large limit shall small states	the sitis of fue are an are	

The lower limit shall apply at the transition frequency.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 10 of 36

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C conducted limits are given below.

<b>Frequency</b>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Limit</u>
<u>(MHz)</u>	<u>Quasi-Peak (dBµV)</u>	<u>Average (dBµV)</u>
0.15 to 0.50	66 to 56	56 to 46
0.50 to 5.0	56	46
5.0 to 30.0	60	50

The lower limit shall apply at the transition frequency.

#### 4.3 Test Results

The EUT met conducted emission requirements for FCC Part 15, Subpart B, "Class A" and met FCC Part 15, Subpart C. All the conducted emissions test data is shown in Appendix A. The worst-case peak and quasi-peak emissions were as follows:

<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>L1- Line</u>	<u>L2 - Neutral</u>	<u>Passing Margin</u>
(MHz)	(dBµV)	<u>Q-P (dBμV)</u>	<u>Q-P (dBµV)</u>	(dB)
10.899	60.0	46.6	46.5	13.4

FCC Part 15, Subpart C limit is shown.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 11 of 36

#### 5.0 RADIATED EMISSIONS

Radiated emissions testing was performed in accordance with ANSI C63.4. The limits are prescribed in FCC Part 15, Subpart B and in FCC Part 15, Subpart C.

#### 5.1 Frequency Stability

The Frequency Stability testing was preformed in accordance with ANSI C63.4 and FCC Part 15 to insure that the intentional radiator frequency stability was within the allowable limits for input power and temperature variations.

#### 5.1.1 Test Procedure

The Frequency Stability was measured using the radiated signals from the EUT so that the measurement equipment would not load the radio frequency circuits. An EMI receiver was used for the frequency stability measurements. The Reader was put into a continuous output mode through instructions from the host computer (test mode of operation). 1) The frequency was measured while the input AC power to the External Power Supply was varied over the required input voltage range. 2) The frequency was also measured while the ambient air temperature was varied over the required ambient temperature range (at startup, 2 minutes, 5 minutes, and 10 minutes).

#### 5.1.2 Test Criteria

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Frequency Stability Limits versus Supply Voltage is given below.

Carrier Frequency	Voltage Range	Max. Frequency Change
(MHz)	(% of Nominal Supply)	(%)
13.56	85 % to 115 %,	+/- 0.01 %
	(102 to 138 V RMS)	

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Frequency Stability Limits versus Temperature is given below.

Carrier Frequency	Temperature Range	Max. Frequency Change
<u>(MHz)</u>	(Degrees C)	<u>(%)</u>
13.56	-20 to +50	+/- 0.01 %

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 12 of 36

#### 5.1.3 Test Results

The EUT met the FCC Part 15, Subpart C Frequency Stability requirement.

Carrier Frequency Stability versus Supply Voltage

Carrier Frequency	Lowest Frequency	Highest Frequency	Frequency Change
(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(%)
13.5601	13.5599	13.5602	+/- 0.0015 %

#### Carrier Frequency Stability versus Temperature

Carrier Frequency	Lowest Frequency	<u>Highest Frequency</u>	Frequency Change
(MHz)	(MHz)	(MHz)	(%)
13.5601	13.5599	13.5602	+/- 0.0015 %

Frequency Stability Test Results

	Input Voltage		
Temperature	10.8 VDS	12.0 VDC	13.2 VDC
-20° C	13.5601	13.5601	13.5601
0° C	13.5602	13.5602	13.5602
23° C	13.5601	13.5601	13.5601
50° C	13.5601	13.5599	13.5601
55° C	13.5599	13.5599	13.5599

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 13 of 36

#### 5.2 Emission Bandwidth

The EUT was placed in an anechoic chamber and the Emission Bandwidth testing was preformed in accordance with ANSI C63.4 and FCC Part 15, Paragraph 15.225. The Emission Bandwidth measurements were made to determine the intentional radiator frequency and determine the level of electromagnetic energy radiated at that frequency and at the band edges from the EUT.

#### 5.2.1 Test Procedure

A measurement antenna (loop) was positioned at a distance of 5 meters (to insure far field measurements) from the center of the EUT. An EMI receiver was used for the emissions measurements. Initial sweep measurements were taken with the receiver in continuous frequency overview mode utilizing peak level signal detection. The intentional radiator frequency and band edge frequencies utilizing quasi-peak detection were then maximized. Maximizing a frequency involves finding the angle of the highest emission levels by rotating the EUT 360 degrees (sampling at least every 4 degrees). Then the antenna, which was fixed at 1-meter height, was rotated until the highest emissions levels found. Measurement results were automatically calculated via software running the EMI receiver. The final quasi-peak measurements recorded were determined by the following formula:

Result  $(dB\mu V/m)$  = receiver level  $(\mu V)$  + antenna factor (dB/m) + cable loss (dB) - preamp gain (dB) + lineal conversion (dB).

5.2.2 Test Criteria

The FCC Part 15 Subpart C, Paragraph 15.225 Carrier Frequency Limits are given below.

Lower Band Edge	Upper Band Edge
<u>(MHz)</u>	<u>(MHz)</u>
13.553	13.567

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 14 of 36

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C radiated limits are given below.

Frequency	Distance	Field Strength
<u>(MHz)</u>	<u>(m)</u>	<u>(dBµV/m)</u>
1.705 to 13.110	10	48.62
13.110 to 13.410	10	59.58
13.410 to 13.553	10	69.55
13.553 to 13.567	10	103.00
13.567 to 13.710	10	69.55
13.710 to 14.010	10	59.58
14.010 to 30.000	10	48.62
Note: A 40 dl	B/decade extrapolation factor v	vas used per 15.31.

#### 5.2.3 Test Results

The EUT met the FCC Part 15, Subpart C Emission Bandwidth requirements. The intentional radiator frequency was within the allowed band and all maximized quasi-peak measurements for the EUT were below the quasi-peak limits. The test scan is shown in Appendix B.

Frequency	Level	Limit	Passing Margin	Turntable	Antenna
(MHz)	$(dB\mu V/m)$	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	(Degrees)	Orientation/Angle (Polarity/degrees)
13.560 <sup>1</sup>	62.6	103.00	40.40	135	V / X+0
$13.553^2$	27.8	69.55	41.75	135	V / X+0
$13.567^2$	27.9	69.55	41.65	135	V / X+0

1 - Intentional Radiator Frequency

2 - Band edges measured with a receiver bandwidth setting of 1 KHz. Per ANSI C63.4 Paragraph 13.1.7.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 15 of 36

#### 5.3 Spurious Emissions (12.5 to 30 MHz.)

The EUT was placed in an anechoic chamber and the Spurious Emissions testing was preformed in accordance with ANSI C63.4 and FCC Part 15, Subpart C. The Spurious Emission measurements were made to determine the level of electromagnetic energy radiated from the EUT.

#### 5.3.1 Test Procedure

A measurement antenna (loop) was positioned at a distance of 5 meters (to insure far field measurements) from the center of the EUT. An EMI receiver was used for the emissions measurements. Initial sweep measurements were taken with the receiver in continuous frequency overview mode utilizing peak level signal detection. Acceptance analysis of these sweeps was used to determine which discrete frequencies, other than the intentional radiator frequency and band edge frequencies, were to be maximized. Maximizing a frequency involves finding the angle of the highest emission levels by rotating the EUT 360 degrees (sampling at least every 4 degrees). Then the antenna, which was fixed at 1-meter height, was rotated until the highest emissions levels found. Final measurements were taken utilizing quasi-peak detection. Measurement results were automatically calculated via software running the EMI receiver. The final measurements recorded were determined by the following formula:

Result  $(dB\mu V/m)$  = receiver level  $(\mu V)$  + antenna factor (dB/m) + cable loss (dB) - preamp gain (dB) + lineal conversion (dB).

5.2.2 Test Criteria

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C radiated limits are given below.

Frequency	Distance	Field Strength
(MHz)	<u>(m)</u>	<u>(dBµV/m)</u>
1.705 to 13.110	10	48.62
13.110 to 13.410	10	59.58
13.410 to 13.553	10	69.55
13.553 to 13.567	10	103.00
13.567 to 13.710	10	69.55
13.710 to 14.010	10	59.58
14.010 to 30.000	10	48.62
N	$JD/J \rightarrow J$	15 21

Note: A 40 dB/decade extrapolation factor was used per 15.31.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 16 of 36

#### 5.3.3 Test Results

The EUT met the FCC Part 15, Subpart C Spurious Emissions (12.5 to 30 MHz.) requirements. All maximized quasi-peak measurements for the EUT were below the quasi-peak limits. Test data is shown in Appendix C. The worst-case quasi-peak emission was as follows:

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Passing Margin (dB)	Turntable (degrees)	Antenna Orientation/Angle (Polarity/degrees)
13.5485	41.0	69.55	28.55	135	V / X+0

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 17 of 36

#### 5.4 Radiated Emissions (30 to 40000 MHz)

The EUT was placed in an anechoic chamber and the Spurious Emissions testing was preformed in accordance with ANSI C63.4, FCC Part 15, Subpart B "Class A", and FCC Part 15, Subpart C. The Radiated Emission measurements were made to determine the level of electromagnetic energy radiated from the EUT.

#### 5.4.1 Test Procedure

A measurement antenna was positioned at a distance of 3 meters from the center of the EUT. An EMI receiver was used for the emissions measurements in the range of 30 MHz to 1000 MHz (the upper limit of measurement is determined by Paragraph 15.33). Initial sweep measurements were taken with the receiver in continuous frequency overview mode utilizing peak level signal detection. Acceptance analysis of these sweeps was made to determine which discrete frequencies were to be maximized. Maximizing a frequency involves finding the angle of the highest emission levels by rotating the EUT 360 degrees (sampling at least every 4 degrees) and varying antenna height between 1 and 4 meters at the angle of highest emissions levels found. Final measurements were taken utilizing quasi-peak detection. Measurement results were automatically calculated via software running the EMI receiver. The final measurements recorded were determined by the following formula:

Result  $(dB\mu V/m)$  = receiver level  $(\mu V)$  + antenna factor (dB/m) + cable loss (dB) - preamp gain (dB) + lineal conversion (dB).

#### 5.4.2 Test Criteria

The FCC Part 15, Subpart C radiated limits are given below.

Frequency	Distance	Field Strength
<u>(MHz)</u>	<u>(m)</u>	<u>(dBµV/m)</u>
30 to 88	10	29.54
88 to 216	10	33.06
216 to 960	10	35.56
960 to 40000	10	43.52

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 18 of 36

The FCC Part 15, Subpart B, "Class A" radiated limits are given below. The lower limit shall apply at the transition frequency.

<u>(MHz)</u> (m)	<u>(dBµV/m)</u>
30 to 88 10	39.08
88 to 216 10	43.52
216 to 960 10	46.44
960 to 1000 10	49.54
1000 to 40000 3	$59.5 \text{ and } 79.5^*$
Per 15.35(B)	

#### 5.4.3 Test Results

\*

The EUT met the FCC Part 15, Subpart C and the FCC Part 15, Subpart B, "Class A" Radiated Emissions (30 to 40000MHz.) requirements. All maximized quasi-peak measurements for the EUT were below the quasi-peak limits. Test data is shown in Appendix D. The worst-case quasi-peak emission was as follows:

Frequency	Level	Limit	Passing Margin	Turntable	Antenna
(MHz)	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	(degrees)	(Meters/Polarity)
	<b>`</b> • <i>´</i>	<b>`</b> • <i>` `</i>			、 <b>·</b> · ·
67.797	27.1	$29.54^{1}$	2.44	0	1.1/V
1 - This is a ha	rmonic of the in	tentional radiato	r; therefore the lower	limit level (FC	C Part 15 Subpart C) is
shown.					

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 19 of 36	

#### 6.0 LIST OF TEST EQUIPMENT

The following test equipment was used to perform the indicated tests. All of the test equipment was calibrated by an accredited calibration laboratory or by the manufacturer. All calibration intervals are one year. All equipment calibrations, test procedures, and the test facility are traceable to the standards of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The test facility site attenuation verification results fall within the normalized site attenuation (NSA) criteria for open area test sites using volumetric measurements.

#### CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

EMCO LISN, Model 3825-2, Serial No. 1039 (cal due date: 08 Sep 04) Solar High Pass Filter, Model 8131 - 5.0 (cal due date: 28 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz EMI Receiver, Model ESBI 52, S/N 835387/003 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz EMI Receiver Display, Serial No. 835518/001 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz ES-K1, ES-K2, & ES-K12 EMI Software, Version 1.60

#### **Frequency Stability/Power Output**

Advantest Spectrum Analyzer, Model R3272A, Serial No. J00233 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Thermotron Environmental Chamber, Model SM-3SS, Serial No. 19972-S (cal due date: 17 June 04)

#### **RADIATED EMISSIONS**

ElectroMetrics Large Loop Antenna. Model ALR25M, Serial No. 603 (cal due date: 08 Sep 04)

EMCO Biconilog Antenna, Model 3143, Serial No. 1111 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) HP Pre-Amplifier, Model 8447D, Serial No. 2944A08064 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz EMI Receiver, Model ESBI 52, S/N 835387/003 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz EMI Receiver Display, Serial No. 835518/001 (cal due date: 09 Sep 04) Rohde & Schwarz ES-K1, ES-K2, & ES-K12 EMI Software, Version 1.60

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 20 of 36	

#### TEST FACILITY

Lindgren Semi-Anechoic Chamber, Model 11867A, serial No. 01211 (verification due date: 31 March 2006).

The radiated and conducted emission measurements were performed in our Anechoic Chamber located at 3M Building 76, 410 Fillmore Street, St. Paul, MN. Details concerning the site are on file with the FCC laboratory Division in Columbia Maryland.

The Facility Registration Number is 93334, 31-March - 2003.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	3M	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 21 of 36	

#### 7.0 LABELING INFORMATION

The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) requires the following labeling information. Since the equipment has intentional and unintentional radiators, it must be labeled as a digital device and as an intentional radiator.

#### Labels on the Product

The following statement shall be placed in a conspicuous location on the device:

This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) this device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation.

FCC ID: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Labels in the Manuals

The following statement shall be placed in a prominent location in the text of the user manual:

NOTE: This equipment has been tested and found to comply with the limits for a "Class A" digital device, pursuant to Part 15 of the FCC Rules. These limits are designed to provide a reasonable protection against harmful interference when the equipment is operated in a commercial environment. This equipment generates, uses, and can radiate radio frequency energy and, if not installed and used in accordance with the instruction manual, may cause harmful interference to radio communications. Operation of this equipment in a residential area is likely to cause harmful interference in which case the user will be required to correct the interference at his own expense.

FCC ID: \_\_\_\_\_

NO MODIFICATIONS. Modifications to this device shall not be made without the written consent of 3M, Incorporated. Unauthorized modifications may void the authority granted under Federal Communications Commission Rules permitting the operation of this device.

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 22 of 36	

# Appendix A

# **Conducted Emissions Test Data**

### CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

EMC Lab

SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>

EUT SERIAL # TP #1

#### TEST REPORT # 0504003

EUT MODEL #\_\_\_\_\_895

DESCRIPTION Pad Staff Workstation

FREQUENCY	PE	AK	<b>QUASI-PEAK</b>			Average				
(MHz)	(dBµV)			(dBµV)				(dB	μV)	
	L1	L2	L1	L2	Limit	Passing	L1	L2	Limit	Passing
	Line	Neutral	Line	Neutral		Margin	Line	Neutral		Margin
						(dB)				(dB)
0.155	44.6	33.3	46.6	33.3	65.7	19.1			55.7	
0.300	37.3	37.1	28.6	28.2	60.2	31.6			50.2	
0.400	27.2	27.2	27.2	27.2	57.9	30.7			47.9	
1.730	31.3	30.7	27.3	27.3	56.0	28.7			46.0	
10.899	48.4	48.5	46.6	46.5	60.0	13.4			50.0	
13.560	42.5	42.8	39.3	38.9	60.0	20.7			50.0	
15.485	46.3	46.5	42.5	42.6	60.0	17.4			50.0	
19.057	46.2	46.8	40.9	41.8	60.0	18.2			50.0	
27.121	38.3	39.0	35.6	36.1	60.0	23.9			50.0	

Note: All Quasi-peak reading were below the Average Limit so no Average Readings were taken.

Test Engineer:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 11 May 2004

Reviewed by:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2 June 2004





ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	3M	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 25 of 36	

# Appendix B

### **Emission Bandwidth**



ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 27 of 36	

# Appendix C

### **Spurious Emissions**

### SPURIOUS EMISSIONS

**3M** EMC Lab

SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>

# TEST REPORT # 0504003 EUT MODEL # 895 EUT SERIAL

EUT SERIAL # TP #1

DESCRIPTION Pad Staff Workstation

	MAX	XIMIZED	LIMIT	PASSING	MAXIMIZED		REMARKS
	QP	SIGNAL	LINE	MARGIN	POSI	TION	
FREQ.	H/V	(dBµV/m)*	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	TURNTABLE	ANTENNA	
(MHz)					Ň	(M)	
No							
Ring							
13.567	V	27.9	69.6	41.7	135	1.0	Antenna was at 0 degrees from the X-axis.
13.5485	V	41.0	69.6	28.6	135	1.0	Antenna was at 0 degrees from the X-axis.
27.1204	V	10.4	48.6	38.2	135	1.0	Antenna was at 0 degrees from the X-axis.

1 - Equipment noise baseline.

Test Engineer:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 12 May 2004

Reviewed by:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2 June 2004



ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 30 of 36	

# Appendix D

### **Radiated Emissions**

### **RADIATED EMISSIONS**

SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>

#### TEST REPORT #\_\_\_\_0504003\_\_\_\_\_\_ EUT MODEL #\_\_\_\_\_895 EUT SERIAL # TP #1

DESCRIPTION Pad Staff Workstation

	MAX	XIMIZED SIGNAI	LIMIT LINE	PASSING	MAXI	MIZED	REMARKS
FREO	H/V	(dBuV/m)*	(dBuV/m)	(dB)	TI IRNTABI F	ANTENNA	
(MHz)	11/ 1	(aDµ 1/11)	(uDµ 1/11)	(uD)	()	(M)	
40.680	V	26.1	29.5	3.4	235	1.0	1
54.235	V	21.1	29.5	8.4	70	1.0	1
67.797	V	27.1	29.5	2.4	0	1.1	1
94.916	V	20.1	33.1	13.0	10	1.0	1
135.597	V	22.7	33.1	10.4	340	1.0	1
149.154	V	22.1	33.1	11.0	123	1.0	1
610.188	V	25.7	35.6	9.9	118	1.0	1
				1	1		

Note 1 - This is a harmonic of the intentional radiator, so the Subpart C limit is used.

Test Engineer:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 10 May 2004

Reviewed by:\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2 June 2004







ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ	
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 33 of 36	

# Appendix E

### Photographs

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 34 of 36



### Conducted Emissions



Bandwidth and Spurious Emissions

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 35 of 36



Radiated Emissions (30-1000 MHz)

ЗМ	Model 895 Pad Staff Workstation	Report: F0504003	ЗМ
EMC Laboratory	Product Safety	2 June 2004	Page 36 of 36

# **End of Report**