

FCC OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C CLASS II PERMISSIVE CHANGE IC RSS-102 ISSUE 3

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

802.11bgn Mini Card (Tested inside of NB 300/305)

FCC ID: CJ6UPA3758WL IC: 248H-DPA3758W Model: PA3758U-1MPC

REPORT NUMBER: 09U12937-1A

ISSUE DATE: November 24, 2009

Prepared for

TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC. 9740 IRVINE BLVD. IRVINE, CA 92618, USA

Prepared by

COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES 47173 BENICIA STREET FREMONT, CA 94538, USA



Revision History

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Revised By
ICV.	133uc Date	I (C VISIONS	revised by
	November 23, 2009	Initial Issue	
Α	November 24, 2009	Adding KDB 616217 reference in section 2	S.H.
		Adding Antenna-to-User Distance in section 5	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Α	TTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS	4
2.	TE	EST METHODOLOGY	5
3.	F	ACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	5
4.	C	ALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY	6
	4.1.	MEASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION	6
	4.2.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	7
5.	E	QUIPMENT UNDER TEST	9
6.	S	YSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	10
7.	C	OMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	11
8.	LI	QUID PARAMETERS CHECK	12
	8.1.	LIQUID CHECK RESULTS FOR 2450 MHZ	13
9.	S	YSTEM CHECK	14
	9.1.	SYSTEM CHECK RESULTS FOR D2450V2	14
10		OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION	15
11		SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	16
12		WORST-CASE SAR TEST PLOTS	17
13		ATTACHMENTS	18
14		TEST SETUP PHOTO	19
15		HOST DEVICE PHOTO	20

1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

COMPANY NAME: TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.

9740 IRVINE BLVD. IRVINE,

CA 92618, USA

EUT DESCRIPTION: 802.11 bgn Mini Card

(Tested inside of NB 300 / NB305)

 FCC ID:
 CJ6UPA3758WL

 MODEL:
 PA3758U-1MPC

 IC:
 248H-DPA3758W

DEVICE CATEGORY: Portable

EXPOSURE CATEGORY: General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

DATE TESTED: November 22, 2009

THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES:

FCC / IC Rule Parts	Frequency Range [MHz]	The Highest 1-g SAR(mW/g)	Limit (mW/g)
15.247 / RSS-102	2400 – 2483.5	0.00167	1.6

APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND TEST PROCEDURES:

STANDARD	TEST RESULTS
FCC OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C	Pass
RSS-102 ISSUE 3	Pass

Compliance Certification Services, Inc. (CCS) tested the above equipment in accordance with the requirements set forth in the above standards. All indications of Pass/Fail in this report are opinions expressed by CCS based on interpretations and/or observations of test results. Measurement Uncertainties were not taken into account and are published for informational purposes only. The test results show that the equipment tested is capable of demonstrating compliance with the requirements as documented in this report.

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by CCS and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by CCS will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, any agency of the Federal Government, or any agency of any government.

Approved & Released For CCS By:

•

Sunay Shih

SUNNY SHIH ENGINEERING SUPERVISOR COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES

2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, KDB 248227 SAR Measurement Procedure for 802.11abg Transmitters, KDB 447498 D01 Mobile Portable RF Exposure v04, supplemental to KDB 616217 D3 and IC RSS 102 Issue 3.

DATE: November 24, 2009 IC: 248H-DPA3758W

3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 47173 Benicia Street, Fremont, California, USA.

CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.ccsemc.com.

4. CALIBRATION AND UNCERTAINTY

4.1. MEASURING INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION

The measuring equipment utilized to perform the tests documented in this report has been calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, and is traceable to recognized national standards.

Name of Early and	Man Continu	anufacturer Type/Model Serial No.			Cal.	Due date
Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Seriai No.	MM	DD	Year
Robot - Six Axes	Stäubli	RX90BL	N/A			N/A
Robot Remote Control	Stäubli	CS7MB	3403-91535			N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	SPEAG	SEUMS001BA	1041			N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261			N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM1)	SPEAG	QD000P40CA	1185			N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM2)	SPEAG	QD000P40CA	1050			N/A
Oval Flat Phantom (ELI 4.0)	SPEAG	QD OVA001 B	1003			N/A
Electronic Probe kit	HP	85070C	N/A	N/A		N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	MY40001647	11	22	2010
Signal Generator	Agilent	8753ES-6	MY40001647	11	22	2010
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3686	3	23	2010
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	500	9	15	2010
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D900V2	108	1	21	2010
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1800V2	294	1	29	2010
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d043	1	29	2010
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	748	4	14	2010
ESG Vector Signal Generator	Agilent	E4438C	US44271090	9	17	2010
Power Meter	Giga-tronics	8651A	8651404	1	11	2010
Power Sensor	Giga-tronics	80701A	1834588	1	11	2010
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	90606			N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5			N/A
Simulating Liquid	SPAEG	H2450	N/A	Withir	1 24 h	rs of first test
Simulating Liquid	SPAEG	M2450	N/A	Withir	1 24 h	rs of first test
Simulating Liquid	SPAEG	M5800	N/A	Withir	1 24 h	rs of first test

4.2. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Measurement uncertainty for 300 MHz – 3000 MHz

Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Ur	ıc.(±%)
Oncertainty component	101. (± /6)	Frome Dist.	DIV.	Ci (ig)	Ci (10g)	Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	1.59	R	1.732	1	1	0.92	0.92
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	0.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.00	0.00
Probe Positioner Mechnical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	N	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	N	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			11.44	10.49
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)			K=2			22.87	20.98
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)			K=2			22.87	20.9

Notesfor table

- 1. Tol. tolerance in influence quaitity
- 2. N Nomal
- 3. R Rectangular
- 4. Div. Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty
- 5. Ci is te sensitivity coefficient

Measurement uncertainty for 3 GHz - 6 GHz

Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Un	c.(±%)
Oncertainty component	101. (± /0)	Dist.	DIV.	Oi (ig)	OI (TOG)	Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	3.00	R	1.732	1	1	1.73	1.73
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.00	R	1.732	1	1	1.73	1.73
Probe Positioner Mechnical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration							
algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	Ν	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	Ν	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			11.66	10.73
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)			K=2			23.32	21.46

Notes for table


- 1. Tol. tolerance in influence quaitity
- 2. N Nomal
- 3. R Rectangular
- 4. Div. Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty
- 5. Ci is te sensitivity coefficient

DATE: November 24, 2009 IC: 248H-DPA3758W

5. EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST

802.11bgn Mini Card (Tested inside of NB 300 NB 305) Display sizes: 10.1"							
Normal operation:	Lap-held only						
Antenna tested:	<u>Vendor</u> <u>Antenna</u> <u>Part number</u>						
	Hitachi TX 1 HFT60-CP43W						
Antenna-to-user distance	17 cm						
Antenna-to-antenna distance:	WLAN-to-Bluetooth main antenna: > 20 cm						
Co-located Tx:	802.11bgn can transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth						
Require SAR evaluation for	According to KDB447498 2) a) i)						
Simultaneous transmission?	Bluetooth's output power is ≤ 60/f(GHz) mW and measured WLAN 1-g SAR are < 0.4 W/kg, therefore simultaneous transmission SAR is not required.						

6. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

Page 10 of 21

7. COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	50	83	35	9	15	19	00	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose Water: De-ionized, 16 M Ω + resistivity HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

8. LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within \pm 5% of the values given in the table below.

Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom (for 150 – 3000 MHz and 5800 MHz)

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	He	ead	Body		
raiget Frequency (MHZ)	ϵ_{r}	σ (S/m)	ε_{r}	σ (S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.8	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.9	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.2	54	1.3	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.4	
1800 – 2000	40	1.4	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.8	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.4	52	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6	

(ε_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

8.1. LIQUID CHECK RESULTS FOR 2450 MHZ

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters for Muscle 2450 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 40% Measured by: Sunny Shih

f (MHz)		Liquid	Parameters	Measured	Target	Delta (%)	Limit (%)
2450	e'	53.90	Relative Permittivity (ε_r) :	53.901	52.7	2.28	± 5
2430	e"	14.88	Conductivity (σ):	2.028	1.95	4.02	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 24 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 23 deg. C

November 22, 2009 11:55 AM

Frequency	e'	e"
2400000000.	54.0912	14.6829
2405000000.	54.0806	14.6990
2410000000.	54.0635	14.7278
2415000000.	54.0506	14.7474
2420000000.	54.0278	14.7683
2425000000.	54.0259	14.7895
2430000000.	53.9903	14.7882
2435000000.	53.9603	14.8235
2440000000.	53.9510	14.8591
2445000000.	53.9376	14.8645
2450000000.	53.9008	14.8819
2455000000.	53.8728	14.9114
2460000000.	53.8556	14.9427
2465000000.	53.8460	14.9557
2470000000.	53.8197	14.9678
2475000000.	53.7995	14.9958
2480000000.	53.7715	15.0267
2485000000.	53.7631	15.0510
2490000000.	53.7296	15.0860
2495000000.	53.7226	15.1048
2500000000.	53.7060	15.1252

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

 $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 e'' = 2 \pi f \varepsilon_0 e''$

where $\mathbf{f} = \text{target } f * 10^6$

 $\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$

REPORT NO: 09U12937-1A DATE: November 24, 2009 FCC ID: CJ6UPA3758WL IC: 248H-DPA3758W

9. SYSTEM CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Head or Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E-Field Probe EX3DV4 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the
 center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the
 long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and
 15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole. For 5 GHz band The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 3 mm.
 For 5 GHz band Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.5 mm
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW±3%.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power

Reference SAR Values for HEAD & BODY-tissue from calibration certificate of SPEAG. Certificate no: D2450V2-748_Apr08

f (MHz)	Head	Tissue	Body Tissue	
	SAR _{1g}	SAR _{10g}	SAR _{1g}	SAR _{10g}
2450			50.8	23.7

9.1. SYSTEM CHECK RESULTS FOR D2450V2

System Validation Dipole: D2450V2 SN: 748

Date: November 22, 2009

Ambient Temperature = 24°C; Relative humidity = 40% Measured by: Sunny Shih

	Medium	CW Signal (MHz)	Forward power (mW)	Measured (Normalized to 1 W)		Target	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
	Body	2450	250	1g SAR:	55.1	50.8	8.46	±10
Бойу	2450	250	10g SAR:	25.3	23.7	6.75	±10	

REPORT NO: 09U12937-1A DATE: November 24, 2009 FCC ID: CJ6UPA3758WL IC: 248H-DPA3758W

10. OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

The client provided a special driver and program, Realtek 11n Single Chip PCIE WLAN MP Diagnostic Program 0.0025.0724.2009, which enable a user to control the frequency and output power of the module.

The modes with highest output power channel were chosen for the conducted output power measurement. Since 802.11g output power is not ½ dB higher than 802.11b mode, only 802.11b mode is chosen for final SAR evaluation.

Results:

802.11bgn mode (2.4 GHz band)

Mode	Channel	f (MHz)	Antenna	Output Pwr (dBm)
802.11b	6	2437 (M)	Tx1	18.25
802.11n 20 MHz	6	2437 (M)	Tx1	16.72

11. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

Results

Mode	Ch. No.	Freq. (MHz)	Antenna	SAR_1g (mW/g)	SAR_10g (mW/g)
802.11b	6	2437	Main	0.00167	0.00090

12. WORST-CASE SAR TEST PLOTS

WORST-CASE SAR PLOT

Date/Time: 11/22/2009 8:34:00 PM

DATE: November 24, 2009 IC: 248H-DPA3758W

Test Laboratory: Compliance Certification Services

R_Laptop mode - Lapheld

DUT: Toshiba; Type: NA; Serial: NA

Communication System: 802.11bg; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 2.01 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 54$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Room Ambient Temperature: 24.0 deg. C; Liquid Temperature: 23.0 deg. C

DASY4 Configuration:

- Area Scan setting Find Secondary Maximum Within: 2.0 dB and with a peak SAR value greater than 0.0012W/kg
- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3686: ConvF(6.48, 6.48, 6.48); Calibrated: 3/23/2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn500; Calibrated: 9/15/2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0; Type: QDOVA001BA; Serial: SN:1003
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

802.11b M-ch/Area Scan (15x14x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.014 mW/g

802.11b M-ch/Zoom Scan (7x7x9)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=3mm


Reference Value = 1.09 V/m; Power Drift = -0.067 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.007 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00167 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0009 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.004 mW/g



13. ATTACHMENTS

No.	Contents	No. of page (s)
1	System Performance Check Plots	2
2	Certificate of E-Field Probe – EX3DV4 SN 3686	10
3	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D2450V2 SN:748	6

DATE: November 24, 2009

IC: 248H-DPA3758W