



849 NW STATE ROAD 45
NEWBERRY, FL 32669 USA
PH: 888.472.2424 OR 352.472.5500
FAX: 352.472.2030
EMAIL: INFO@TIMCOENGR.COM
[HTTP://WWW.TIMCOENGR.COM](http://WWW.TIMCOENGR.COM)

RF Exposure Evaluation Report

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| APPLICANT | KELVIN HUGHES LIMITED |
| | VOLTAGE, MOLLISON AVENUE ENFIELD EN3 7XQ UNITED KINGDOM |
| FCC ID | CICDTX-A3-FDLR |
| MODEL NUMBER | DTX-A3-AFFB |
| PRODUCT DESCRIPTION | X BAND MARINE RADAR |
| STANDARD APPLIED | CFR 47 Part 2.1091 |
| PREPARED BY | Mario de Aranzeta |

We, TIMCO ENGINEERING, INC. declare that the device has been evaluated in accordance with 47 CFR Part 2.1091 and meets the requirements.

The attached report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of TIMCO ENGINEERING, INC.

GENERAL REMARKS

Attestations

This equipment has been evaluated in accordance with the standards identified in this report. To the best of my knowledge and belief, these evaluations were performed using the procedures described in this report.

I attest that the necessary evaluations were made, under my supervision, at:

Timco Engineering Inc.
849 NW State Road 45
Newberry, FL 32669

Authorized Signatory Name:



Mario de Aranzeta
Engineering Project Manager

Date: June 30, 2014

GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| EUT Description | X BAND MARINE RADAR |
| FCC ID | CICDTX-A3-FDLR |
| Model Number | DTX-A3-AFFB |
| Frequency Range | 9220 TO 9480 MHz |
| Type of Emission | 48M2PON |
| Modulation | Pulsed |
| EUT Power Source | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 110–120Vac/50– 60Hz |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> DC Power 12V |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Battery Operated Exclusively |
| Test Item | <input type="checkbox"/> Prototype |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Production |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Production |
| Type of Equipment | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fixed |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Mobile |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Portable |
| Test Conditions | The temperature was 26°C relative humidity of 54%. |
| Revision History to the EUT | None |
| Test Facility | Timco Engineering Inc. 849 NW State Road 45 Newberry, FL 32669 USA. |

RF Exposure Requirements

General information

Device type: Part 80 and 90 radar assembly designed for X band use.

Devices that operate under Part 90 of this chapter are subject to RF exposure evaluation prior to equipment authorization or use.

Antenna

The manufacturer does specify the antennas and the gains run from 28 to 39 dB in gain.

| Configuration | Antenna | Type | Gain |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Fixed mounted | Slot arrays | Horizontal | 28 to 39 dB |
| | | | |

Operating configuration and exposure conditions:

The conducted output power is 200 Watts Peak/ 27 Watts average. This value is based on a 50 μ s pulse length and a 2500 Hz pulse repetition rate.

MPE Calculation:

The minimum separation distance is calculated as follows:

$$E(V/m) = \frac{\sqrt{30 \times P \times G}}{d} \quad \text{Power density: } P_d(mW/cm^2) = \frac{E^2}{3770}$$

The limit for general uncontrolled exposure environment is shown in FCC rule Part 1 section 1310Table 1.

Case 1: lowest gain antenna

Inputs:

Frequency: 9400 MHz

Antenna gain 28 dBi

Antenna diameter: 3.7 m

Mean power (average): 27 Watts

Results: The distance required for compliance is less than the diameter of the antenna and therefore the user will always be at a distance greater than required for compliance.

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| $P := 27000$ | mean power in mW | $f := 9400$ | MHz | Frequency |
| $G_i := 28$ | Gain in dBi | $\lambda := \left(\frac{29892}{f} \right)$ | | |
| $G_n := 10^{\left(\frac{G_i}{10} \right)}$ | | $\lambda = 3.18$ | centimeters | |
| $G_n = 630.957$ | Gain numeric | | | |
| $D := 370$ | cm | antenna diameter | | |
| $R := \frac{(0.6 \cdot D^2)}{\lambda}$ | | | | |
| $R = 2.583 \times 10^4$ | Distance to center of radiation | | | |
| $S_{limit} := \frac{(P \cdot G_n)}{(4 \cdot \pi \cdot R^2)}$ | | | | FCC and IC limit |
| $S_{limit} = 2.032 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ | | | controlled exposure limit |
| $\Sigma S_{exp}(t_{exp}) = S_{limit}(t_{ave})$ | | | | 5 $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ |
| $\Sigma := S_{limit} \cdot 6$ | | | | uncontrolled exposure limit |
| $\Sigma = 0.012$ | $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ | | | 1 $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ |

Applicant: KELVIN HUGHES LIMITED

FCC ID: CICDTX-A3-FDLR

Report: K\KELVIN_CIC\1055AUT14\1055AUT14TestReport.docx

Case 2: highest gain antenna

Inputs:

Frequency: 9400 MHz

Antenna gain 39 dBi

Antenna diameter: 5.5 m

Mean power (average): 27 Watts

Results: The distance required for compliance is less than the diameter of the antenna and therefore the user will always be at a distance greater than required for compliance.

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| $P := 27000$ | mean power in mW | $f := 9400$ | MHz | Frequency |
| $G_i := 39$ | Gain in dBi | $\lambda := \left(\frac{29892}{f} \right)$ | | |
| $G_n := 10^{\left(\frac{G_i}{10} \right)}$ | | $\lambda = 3.18$ | centimeters | |
| $G_n = 7.943 \times 10^3$ | Gain numeric | | | |
| $D := 550$ | cm | antenna diameter | | |
| $R := \frac{(0.6 \cdot D^2)}{\lambda}$ | | | | |
| $R = 5.708 \times 10^4$ | Distance to center of radiation | | | |
| $S_{limit} := \frac{(P \cdot G_n)}{(4 \cdot \pi \cdot R^2)}$ | | | | FCC and IC limit |
| $S_{limit} = 5.239 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ | | | controlled exposure limit |
| $\Sigma S_{exp}(t_{exp}) = S_{limit}(t_{ave})$ | | | | 5 $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ |
| $\Sigma := S_{limit} \cdot 6$ | | | | uncontrolled exposure limit |
| $\Sigma = 0.031$ | $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ | | | 1 $\frac{mW}{cm^2}$ |

Applicant: KELVIN HUGHES LIMITED

FCC ID: CICDTX-A3-FDLR

Report: K\KELVIN_CIC\1055AUT14\1055AUT14TestReport.docx