

Return address: P.O. Box 15, 9822 ZG Niekerk, The Netherlands

Smidshornerweg 18
P.O. Box 15
9822 ZG Niekerk
The Netherlands

ATCB
Attn.: Mrs. M. Bosley
Certification Department
6731 Whittier Avenue, Suite C110
McLean, Virginia 22101
USA

www.tuv-eps.com

T +31 594 505005
F +31 594 504804
E info@tuv-eps.com

Subject

Reply to ATCB comments

Date

April 21, 2009.

Our reference

17b_CGDVELO3_2nd_ReplyToATCBcomments

Your reference

--

Page

1 of 1

Our General Terms and Conditions, as filed at the Chamber of Commerce in Groningen, are applicable to all orders given to TÜV Rheinland EPS B.V.

TÜV Rheinland EPS B.V. is registered at the Chamber of Commerce in Groningen with no. 27247331.

Dear Mrs. Bosley,

Related to your comments based on our request for certification for the following product,:

FCC ID : CGDVELO3
Brand : Nedap
Model : VP1101
Description : A proximity tag reader operating on 134 kHz.

We would like to provide you with the following information:

Question 1:

In response to item 9 of my request for additional information dated April 3, 2009, you dropped your request for confidentiality of the operational description exhibit and provided an updated operational description (which is eligible for confidentiality). In order to drop the request for confidentiality of the operational description, you must provide new confidentiality request letters for both the FCC and IC. Alternatively you can request confidentiality of the updated operational description that you just submitted and use the FCC and IC confidentiality request letters that have already been submitted and reviewed. Please let me know how you wish to proceed on this matter of the confidentiality of the operational description.

Answer 1:

I hereby would like the already submitted request for confidentiality letters to apply to the updated operational description.

Question 2:

I understand that you will be providing the suggested Canadian Representative letter so IC can verify that they have agreed to be the contact for obtaining a sample of this device in Canada. If obtaining this suggested letter becomes a burden and delays this equipment approval unnecessarily, you may forgo the submission of this letter and let IC contact the Canadian Representative before listing this device on the REL in Canada. Estimated delays in listing a device on the REL in Canada range from one week to several weeks. If obtaining the suggested letter extends this equipment approval beyond that time frame, it may be desirable to forgo the suggested Canadian Representative letter. This decision should be made by your client, NEDAP. Let me know if you change your mind about providing this letter.

Answer 2: (see next page)

My client, NEDAP, provided an updated Canadian Representative letter, see
uploaded document:
"1444AVELOS3_CanadianRepresentativeLetter_Update.pdf"

Date
April 21, 2009

Our reference
17b_CGDVELOS3_2nd_ReplyToATCBcomments
Your reference
--

Page
2 of 2

Date
April 21, 2009

Our reference
17b_CGDVELO3_2nd_ReplyToATCBcomments
Your reference
--

Page
3 of 3

Best regards,
TÜV Rheinland EPS B.V.



R. van der Meer
Test Engineer