

SAR Compliance Test Report

Date of Report	5/05/2023	Client's Contact person:	Anne Pieter Haytema
Number of pages:	24	Responsible Test engineer:	Kalle Orava
Testing laboratory:	Verkotan Oy Elektroniikkatie 17 90590 Oulu Finland	Client:	Nedap N.V. Parallelweg 2 7141 DC Groenlo The Netherlands
Tested device	SmartTag-B Neck		
Related reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC published RF exposure KDB procedures IEC/IEEE 62209-1528, 2020 Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices RSS-102, Issue 5, 2015 Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)		
Documentation:	The test report must always be reproduced in full; reproduction of an excerpt only is subject to written approval of the testing laboratory		
Test Results:	The EUT complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results relate only to devices specified in this document		
Date and signatures:	05.05.2023		

Laboratory Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS	4
1.2.1 Standalone SAR	4
1.2.2 Maximum Drift	4
1.2.3 Measurement Uncertainty	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)	5
2.1 SUPPORTED FREQUENCY BANDS AND OPERATIONAL MODES	5
3. OUTPUT POWER	6
3.1 MAXIMUM SPECIFIED CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	6
3.2 TESTED CONDUCTED POWER	6
4. TEST EQUIPMENT	7
4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	8
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4	8
4.2 PHANTOMS	9
4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS	9
4.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION STATUS	10
4.5 SYSTEM CHECK	10
4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification	10
5. TEST PROCEDURE	11
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER	11
5.2 TEST POSITIONS	11
5.2.1 Body-worn Configuration, 0 mm separation distance	11
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES	11
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS	12
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	13
7. TEST RESULTS	14
7.1 SAR RESULTS FOR BODY EXPOSURE CONDITION WITH 0MM SEPARATION	14
7.2 IEC 62209-2 AMD1:2019	14
APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT	15
APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN	16
APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCANS	17
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS	18
APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS	22

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Equipment under Test (DUT):

Product:	Cow monitoring device
Manufacturer:	Nedap
Model:	SmartTag-B Neck
Hardware Version:	0.06
Serial Number:	P000296052
FCC ID Number:	CGDIFER-B
ISED ID Number:	1444A-IFER-B
DUT Number:	21304
Battery Type used in testing:	Lithium coin cell
State of the Sample:	Production sample

Testing information:

Testing performed:	20.03.2023 – 22.03.2023
Notes:	-
Document history:	-
Document ID:	FCC ISED_SAR report_SmartTag-b ID6033_05.05.2023.docx
Temperature °C	22±2 / Controlled
Humidity RH%	30±20 / Controlled
Measurement performed by:	Kalle Orava
FCC Test Firm Designation Number:	FI0005
ISED Company Number:	22218

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum reported* SAR values for Body-worn for transmitting systems are shown in a table below. The device conforms to the requirements of the standards when the maximum reported SAR value is less than or equal to the limit. The SAR limit specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and Health Canada's RF exposure guideline, Safety Code 6 for Head/Body SAR_{1g} is 1.6 W/kg.

1.2.1 Standalone SAR

System	Highest Reported* SAR _{1g} (W/kg) in Body-Worn Exposure Condition, 0mm separation distance	Result
RFID	0.00010	PASS

* Reported SAR Values are scaled to upper limit of power tuning tolerance.

1.2.2 Maximum Drift

Maximum Drift During Measurements	0 dB*
-----------------------------------	-------

*Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurements, the measurements are not applicable.

1.2.3 Measurement Uncertainty

SAR 1g: 0.3 – 3 GHz:

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	±22.1 %
---------------------------------	---------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

The DUT is monitoring and tracking device for cows, indicating health status. Supported transmitting wireless standards include RFID (433.6 – 434.2 MHz).

This SAR report applies for SmartTag-B Neck with types FE4, IFE4, FER4 and IFER4. Difference between the types is made with software. Component placement and transmitting circuitry are identical in all the types. SmartTag-B Neck IFER4 was used for testing as it contains all the possible features.




Figure 1. Overview of the DUT

Device Category	Portable
Exposure Environment	General population uncontrolled

2.1 Supported Frequency Bands and Operational Modes

TX Frequency bands	Modes of Operation	Transmitter Frequency Range [MHz]
		RFID

3. OUTPUT POWER

3.1 Maximum specified conducted output power

From the customer, including tune-up tolerances;

According to the RF SoC datasheet of "EFR32FG23A010F256GM48-C", maximum TX power is 13.2 dBm for 433 MHz band.

RFID	Max Output Power [dBm]
433.6 – 434.2	13.2

3.2 Tested conducted power

Measured conducted output power at transmitting antenna connector;

RFID:

RFID	Max Output Power [dBm]
	5.2

4. TEST EQUIPMENT

Dasy52 near field scanning system, manufactured by SPEAG was used for SAR testing. The test system consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and a phantom containing the tissue equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location of maximum electromagnetic field.




Figure 2 Schematic Laboratory Picture

4.1 Test Equipment List

Main used test system components are listed below. For full equipment list and calibration intervals, please contact the testing laboratory.

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date
DASY5 Software	52.8.8.1258	-	NA
DAE4, converter	DAE4	710	10/2022
Isotropic DOS probe	EX3DV4	3852	10/2022
Inline Peak Power Sensor	MA24105A	2102058	11/2022
System validation dipole	SID450	37/16 DIP 0G450-434	02/2022
Vector Signal Generator	MG3710A	6261911026	NA
Amplifier, 10W	10S1G4A	320421	NA

Dipole calibration period supporting data:

Dipole and serial number	Frequency (MHz)	Measured on 10/2022			Calibrated		
		Return loss (dB)	Impedance [Ω]		Return loss [dB]	Impedance [Ω]	
37/16 DIP 0G450-434	450	-35.4	48.7	-1.1	-37.88	48.9	-0.6

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix D
Frequency	10 MHz to >6 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g, Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 10 mm Body diameter: 12 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

Eli Phantom:

The phantom used in SAR tests was an ELI phantom, manufactured by SPEAG. ELI phantom is used for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC published RF Exposure KDB Procedures.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC published RF Exposure KDB Procedures. The dielectric parameters of the used tissue simulants were within $\pm 10\%$ of the recommended values at frequencies under 3GHz and $\pm 5\%$ at frequencies above 3GHz. A liquid compensation algorithm was used in DASY5 with which measured peak average SAR values were corrected for the deviation of used liquid. Depth of the tissue simulant was at least 15.0 cm from the inner surface of the flat phantom.

Tissue simulant liquid Ingredients
Deionized Water, tween, salt

4.4 System Validation Status

Frequency [MHz]	Dipole Type / SN	Probe Type / SN	Calibrated Signal Type	DAE Unit / SN	Dielectric Constant [ϵ']	Conductivity σ [S/m]	Head tissue simulant
450	37/16 DIP 0G450-434	EX3DV4 - SN: 3852	CW	DAE 4 / 710	42.53	0.94	12/2022

4.5 System Check

Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp. [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Input Power [mW]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Target SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Deviation [%]	Plot #
20.03.2023	WB Head	22	450	250	1.14	4.67	4.56	-2.36	1

4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification

Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Target		Measured		Deviation	
				Dielectric Constant [ϵ'] Target	Conductivity σ [S/m] Target	Dielectric Constant [ϵ']	Conductivity σ [S/m]	ϵ [%]	σ [%]
20.03.2023	WB Head	21.8	433.6	43.69	0.87	42.38	0.92	-3.0	6.0
20.03.2023	WB Head	21.8	434.2	43.69	0.87	42.38	0.92	-3.0	6.0
20.03.2023	WB Head	21.8	450.0	43.5	0.87	42.14	0.93	-3.1	7.1

5. TEST PROCEDURE

Testing was carried out in accordance with FCC KDB Publications 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01 and RSS-102, Issue 5.

During normal operations, DUT transmits 10ms approximately every 2.5 – 3 minutes. The device was set to transmit using maximum power with increased duty cycle of 1%.

Normal duty cycle for the device is $10\text{ms} / 150\text{s} * 100 = 0.0067\%$

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Figure 3. Device holder supplied by SPEAG

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Body-worn Configuration, 0 mm separation distance

Body SAR was tested from the front and back. The device was placed in the SPEAG holder/on the top of a Rohacell and lifted towards the phantom until the distance between the phantom and the device was 0mm.

Photos of the test positions are presented in appendix A

5.3 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy52 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation of Large Sets of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighboring points by a least-square method. For the zoom scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the zoom scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget According to IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 (Frequency band: 300MHz - 3GHz range)								
Symbol	Error Description	Uncert. value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(c) 1g	(c) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)
Measurement System Errors								
CF	Probe Calibration	±12.0%	N	√2	1	1	±6.0%	±6.0%
CF _{drift}	Probe Calibration Drift	±1.7%	R	√3	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
LIN	Probe Linearity	±4.7%	R	√3	1	1	±2.7%	±2.7%
BBS	Broadband Signal	±3.0%	R	√3	1	1	±1.7%	±1.7%
ISO	Probe Isotropy	±7.6%	R	3	1	1	±4.4%	±4.4%
DAE	Data Acquisition	±0.3%	N	1	1	1	±0.3%	±0.3%
AMB	RF Ambient	±1.8%	N	1	1	1	±1.8%	±1.8%
Δ _{sys}	Probe Positioning	±3.9%	N	1	0.14	0.14	±0.5%	±0.5%
DAT	Data Processing	±1.2%	N	1	1	1	±1.2%	±1.2%
Phantom and Device Errors								
LIQ(σ)	Conductivity (meas.) ^{DAK}	±2.5%	N	√1	0.78	0.71	±2.0%	±1.8%
LIQ(T _a)	Conductivity (temp.) ^{BB}	±3.3%	R	√3	0.78	0.71	±1.5%	±1.4%
EPS	Phantom Permittivity	±14.0%	R	3	0	0	±0%	±0%
DIS	Distance DUT - TSL	±2.0%	N	1	2	2	±4.0%	±4.0%
D _{xyz}	Device Positioning (±0.5mm)	±1.0%	N	1	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
H	Device Holder	±3.6%	N	√1	1	1	±3.6%	±3.6%
MOD	DUT Modulation ^m	±2.4%	R	√3	1	1	±1.4%	±1.4%
TAS	Time-average SAR	±2.6%	R	3	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%
RF _{drift}	DUT drift	±2.5%	N	1	1	1	±2.5%	±2.5%
VAL	Val Antenna Unc. ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
RF _{in}	Unc. Input Power ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
Correction to the SAR results								
C(ε, σ)	Deviation to Target	±1.9%	N	√1	1	0.84	±1.9%	±1.6%
C(R)	SAR scaling ^p	±0%	R	3	1	1	±0%	±0%
u(ΔSAR)	Combined Uncertainty						±11.0%	±10.9%
U	Expanded Uncertainty						±22.1%	±21.9%

7. TEST RESULTS

7.1 SAR Results for Body Exposure Condition with 0mm separation

RFID:

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Test position	Measured SAR _{1g} ***[W/kg]	Power Drift** [dB]	Duty Cycle [%]	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
RFID	433.6	13.2	5.2	Front, 0mm	0*	0	1	6.31	0	
RFID	433.6	13.2	5.2	Back, 0mm	0.0000158	0	1	6.31	0.00010	2

*Due to low e-field generated by DUT, the measurements are not applicable.

**Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

*** all reported SAR values are based on area scan measurements. Zoom scan evaluations failed due to low e-field generated by the DUT.

7.2 IEC 62209-2 AMD1:2019

According to IEC 62209-2 AMD1:2019, the zoom scan complies if the peak spatial-average SAR is below 0.1 W/kg, or if the following criteria is met:

1. The smallest horizontal distance from the local SAR peaks to all points 3 dB below the SAR peak is larger than the horizontal grid step.
2. Ratio of SAR at the second measured point (M2) to the SAR at the closest measured point (M1) at the x-y location of the measured maximum is at least 30%.

Zoom scan compliance according to IEC 62209-2 AMD1:2019 is automatically verified by DASY5 software and all zoom scans in this test report do pass the criteria. The smallest horizontal distance and Ratio between measurement points M2 and M1 of the highest SAR results is available in Appendix C.

APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT

Size of the DUT is: 95mm x 60mm x 30mm



Figure 4. Back of the DUT, 0mm



Figure 5. Front side of the DUT, 0mm

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN

Plot 1

Date/Time: 20.03.2023 15.05.05

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Dipole 450 MHz 0G450; Type: DIP 0G450; Serial: 434

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D450 (450.0 MHz); Frequency: 450 MHz;
Communication System PAR: 0 dB;
Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.932$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 42.14$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section
Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(10.2, 10.2, 10.2) @ 450 MHz; Calibrated: 27.10.2022
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 31.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn710; Calibrated: 19.10.2022
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_ELI back; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASY52 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/System check 450MHz/Area Scan (191x61x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.66 W/kg

Configuration/System check 450MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm
Reference Value = 43.56 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.98 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.14 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.747 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below: Larger than measurement grid

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 61.2%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.69 W/kg



APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Plot 2

Date/Time: 20.03.2023 16.51.09

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Nedap, SmartTag-B Neck;

Communication System: UID 0, RFID (0); Communication System Band: 433; Frequency: 433.6 MHz;
Communication System PAR: 20 dB;
Medium parameters used: $f = 434$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.922$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 42.376$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section
Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(10.2, 10.2, 10.2) @ 433.6 MHz; Calibrated: 27.10.2022
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 31.0, -4.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn710; Calibrated: 19.10.2022
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_ELI back; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/Nedap SmartTag-B Neck, 433.6MHz, back 0mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = n.a. ; SAR(10 g) = n.a. (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below: Larger than measurement grid

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 7.5%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.000283 W/kg

Configuration/Nedap SmartTag-B Neck, 433.6MHz, back 0mm/Area Scan (81x71x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0000576 W/kg



APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Client **Verkotan**

Certificate No **EX-3852_Oct22**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3852**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-14.v6, QA CAL-23.v5,
QA CAL-25.v7
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date **October 27, 2022**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3) °C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (MATE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03525/03524)	Apr-23
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03524)	Apr-23
OCP DAK-3.5 (weighted)	SN: 1249	20-Oct-22 (OCP-DAK3.5-1249_Oct22)	Oct-23
OCP DAK-12	SN: 1016	20-Oct-22 (OCP-DAK12-1016_Oct22)	Oct-23
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: CC2552 (20x)	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03527)	Apr-23
DAE4	SN: 660	10-Oct-22 (No. DAE4-660_Oct22)	Oct-23
Reference Probe EG3DV2	SN: 3013	27-Dec-21 (No. EG3-3013_Dec21)	Dec-22

Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: 0B41293874	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498087	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
RF generator HP 8648C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Network Analyzer EB356A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-22)	In house check: Oct-34

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by	Michael Weber	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by	Sven Kühn	Technical Manager	

Issued: October 27, 2022

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 27, 2022

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k = 2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.41	0.39	0.46	±10.1%
DCP (mV) ^B	99.8	98.2	99.9	±4.7%

Calibration Results for Modulation Response

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Max dev.	Max Unc ^E k = 2
0	CW	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	147.5	±2.5%	±4.7%
		Y	0.00	0.00	1.00		138.0		
		Z	0.00	0.00	1.00		137.1		

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 5).

^B Linearization parameter uncertainty for maximum specified field strength.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 27, 2022

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle	126.7°
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm

Note: Measurement distance from surface can be increased to 3–4 mm for an Area Scan job.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 27, 2022

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^D	Conductivity ^E (S/m)	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k = 2)
6	55.0	0.75	15.18	15.18	15.18	0.00	1.00	±13.3%
30	55.0	0.75	13.34	13.34	13.34	0.00	1.00	±13.3%
64	54.2	0.75	11.88	11.88	11.88	0.00	1.00	±13.3%
128	52.8	0.76	11.57	11.57	11.57	0.00	1.00	±13.3%
220	49.0	0.81	10.92	10.92	10.92	0.00	1.00	±13.3%
450	43.5	0.87	10.20	10.20	10.20	0.16	1.30	±13.3%
900	41.5	0.97	8.82	8.82	8.82	0.44	0.94	±12.0%
1300	40.8	1.14	8.54	8.54	8.54	0.27	1.22	±12.0%
1450	40.5	1.20	8.63	8.63	8.63	0.39	0.80	±12.0%
1640	40.2	1.31	8.33	8.33	8.33	0.34	0.90	±12.0%
1810	40.0	1.40	7.90	7.90	7.90	0.38	0.90	±12.0%
1900	40.0	1.40	7.72	7.72	7.72	0.36	0.90	±12.0%
2450	39.2	1.80	7.48	7.48	7.48	0.41	0.90	±12.0%
3300	38.2	2.71	6.85	6.85	6.85	0.30	1.30	±13.1%
3500	37.9	2.91	6.83	6.83	6.83	0.30	1.35	±13.1%
3700	37.7	3.12	6.65	6.65	6.65	0.30	1.35	±13.1%
3900	37.5	3.32	6.38	6.38	6.38	0.40	1.60	±13.1%
4100	37.2	3.53	6.19	6.19	6.19	0.40	1.60	±13.1%
5250	35.9	4.71	4.90	4.90	4.90	0.40	1.80	±13.1%
5600	35.5	5.07	4.61	4.61	4.61	0.40	1.80	±13.1%
5750	35.4	5.22	4.65	4.65	4.65	0.40	1.80	±13.1%

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ±10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Validity of ConvF assessed at 6 MHz is 4–9 MHz, and ConvF assessed at 13 MHz is 9–19 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ±110 MHz.

^D At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ' and σ') can be relaxed to ±10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ' and σ') is restricted to ±5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SFEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ±1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ±2% for frequencies between 3–6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.53.5.22.BES.A

VERKOTAN LTD.
ELEKTRONIKKATIE 17
90590, OULU, FINLAND
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 450 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 37/16 DIP 0G450-434

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 02/22/2022

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 \pm 10 %		0.87 \pm 10 %	
450	43.5 \pm 10 %	42.8	0.87 \pm 10 %	0.91
750	41.9 \pm 10 %		0.89 \pm 10 %	
835	41.5 \pm 10 %		0.90 \pm 10 %	
900	41.5 \pm 10 %		0.97 \pm 10 %	
1450	40.5 \pm 10 %		1.20 \pm 10 %	
1500	40.4 \pm 10 %		1.23 \pm 10 %	
1640	40.2 \pm 10 %		1.31 \pm 10 %	
1750	40.1 \pm 10 %		1.37 \pm 10 %	
1800	40.0 \pm 10 %		1.40 \pm 10 %	
1900	40.0 \pm 10 %		1.40 \pm 10 %	
1950	40.0 \pm 10 %		1.40 \pm 10 %	
2000	40.0 \pm 10 %		1.40 \pm 10 %	
2100	39.8 \pm 10 %		1.49 \pm 10 %	
2300	39.5 \pm 10 %		1.67 \pm 10 %	
2450	39.2 \pm 10 %		1.80 \pm 10 %	
2600	39.0 \pm 10 %		1.96 \pm 10 %	
3000	38.5 \pm 10 %		2.40 \pm 10 %	
3300	38.2 \pm 10 %		2.71 \pm 10 %	
3500	37.9 \pm 10 %		2.91 \pm 10 %	
3700	37.7 \pm 10 %		3.12 \pm 10 %	
3900	37.5 \pm 10 %		3.32 \pm 10 %	
4200	37.1 \pm 10 %		3.63 \pm 10 %	
4600	36.7 \pm 10 %		4.04 \pm 10 %	
4900	36.3 \pm 10 %		4.35 \pm 10 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Page: 8/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vJ

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.53.5.22.BE5.A

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 42.8 sigma : 0.91
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58	4.67 (0.47)	3.06	3.08 (0.31)
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4		24	
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3300	-		-	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	
3900	-		-	
4200	-		-	
4600	-		-	
4900	-		-	

Page: 9/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vJ

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MTVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MTVG.