

REPLY TO RICHARD FABINA 'S COMMENTS OF 11/10/2008:

Please provide a cover letter containing a brief description of the changes being made to this device in this Class II Permissive Change/Reassessment application. In accordance with Section 2.1043(a) of the FCC Rules, these changes may not be changes to the basic frequency determining and stabilizing circuitry (including clock or data rates), frequency multiplication stages, basic modulator circuit or maximum power or field strength ratings. If these changes are being made a new grant of certification will be required. IC also requires a detailed description of the differences between the modified device and the previously certified device in accordance with Section 5.4(a) of RSP-100. No such description was provided with the submitted documents.

I am supplying a new cover letter stating that the only difference between the old and new circuits is the use of LEAD FREE PARTS.

The submitted schematic diagram appears incomplete. It is missing lines in components and between interconnected components. Please provide a complete schematic diagram so I can compare it to the original schematic diagram.

I RE-PRINTED THE SCHEMATIC; PLEASE SEE EXHIBIT 2-3A

Please provide a description of what heights the measurement antenna was raised and lowered between to maximize radiated emission measurements. This information is not provided in the test setup description.

*In EXHIBIT 5-2 we quoted that the test is done in accordance with ANSI C63.4-2003.
In ANSI C63.4-2003 5.4.5 The scan height is given:*

5.4.5 Antenna positioner

"A continuously variable height, remotely controlleddetermination of the height of maximum radiation at each EUT emission frequency, over a range of 1 to 4 m above the reflecting plane."

I have revised our EXHIBIT (5-1A) to refer to the 1 to 4 Meter height range.